Section 2

Public attitudes toward crime and criminal justice-related topics

Surveys of public opinion focusing on criminal justice topics are conducted regularly in the United States. These surveys are conducted by polling and research organizations in general social surveys or for political campaigns; others are conducted for government agencies or commissions concerned with specific problems. Selected populations such as high school seniors, college students, and police officers are often the focus of opinion surveys. Results from this wide variety of opinion polls examining attitudes toward particular social issues are presented in this section.

Public perceptions of problems facing the country, local communities, and public schools begin this section. Confidence in the criminal justice system as a whole and specific institutions such as the police, local courts, the U.S. Supreme Court, and prisons; and attitudes toward the ethics of lawyers and police officers are presented. Ratings of various measures of police performance and police behavior are presented as well.

A series of tables details public perceptions of the level of crime in the country and in neighborhoods, and feelings of safety on the streets and in one's home. Teenagers' perceptions of why kids use drugs and the negative influences facing kids today are included. Public attitudes toward spending tax dollars on the crime and drug problem are presented as are attitudes toward various measures to reduce the crime rate and drug problem, including the results of a survey of police chiefs on how to deal with the drug problem. Perceptions of the severity of courts and appropriate sanctions for drunk drivers as well as attitudes toward a proposal to require drug testing to obtain a driver's license are included. Questions about the purpose of criminal sentencing; attitudes toward rehabilitation, punishment, and mandatory sentences; and measures to reduce prison crowding also are presented. Several tables deal with attitudes toward the death penalty over time and currently. Issues involving firearms including prevalence of ownership and laws governing possession, sale, registration, and other gun control measures, have been examined through opinion surveys and are presented as well.

Attitudes about the legalization of marijuana and the harmfulness of drug use have been examined among several populations including the general public, teenagers, high school seniors, and young adults. A large segment of this section presents the results of several surveys of student populations. These include students' attitudes about selected social problems, and the availability and harmfulness of drugs and alcohol. Annual surveys of high school seniors examine their attitudes and beliefs about social problems, crime and violence, the performance of the police and courts, harmfulness of drug use, and the legalization of marijuana. Attitudes of eighth and tenth graders on the harmfulness and availability of drugs also are included. A survey of college freshmen includes items on marijuana legalization, criminals' rights, capital punishment, gun control, and drug testing. Finally, public attitudes about pornography and the legality of prostitution, homosexuality, and abortion are examined.

When available, survey results are displayed by demographic characteristics of respondents--such as age, sex, race, income, and occupation--enabling comparisons across important social dimensions. Some questions have been asked repeatedly over time and whenever possible, these trends are presented.

Two appendices outline survey sampling procedures, methodology, and definitions of terms used in the surveys. Appendix 6 describes procedures used in The Gallup Poll, the Gallup/Phi Delta Kappa Education Poll, the Harris Poll, and surveys conducted by Market Segment Research and Consulting, Inc. and The Pew Research Center for The People & The Press. Also included in Appendix 6 is a description of the General Social Survey (GSS) conducted by the National Opinion Research Center. The GSS is a survey of a national probability sample that has been conducted since 1972. Appendix 7 describes the Monitoring the Future Project, a series of surveys focusing on the behaviors and attitudes of high school seniors and young adults. This project provides data from nationwide surveys of high school seniors and young adults that have been conducted annually since 1975 by the Institute for Social Research at the University of Michigan. Beginning in 1991, eighth and tenth grade students also have been included in the survey.

Readers should be aware that many factors, including slight differences in the wording of survey questions, may have significant effects on responses. In addition, the margin of error for survey results, presented in Appendix 6, may vary slightly between surveys. Thus, attention to the exact wording of questions and the appropriate estimate of error should always accompany comparisons.

Attitudes toward the most important problem facing the country

United States, 1982-97

Question: "What do you think is the most important problem facing this country today?"

	Oct.	Apr.	Oct.	Feb.	Jan.	July	Apr.	Sept.	May	Nov.	Apr.	July	Mar.	Mar.	Jan.	Jan.	Aug.	Jan.	May	Jan.
	15-18, 1982	15-18, 1983	7-10, 1983	10-13, 1984	25-28, 1985	11-14, 1986	10-13, 1987	9-11, 1988	4-7, 1989	9-12, 1989	5-8, 1990	19-22, 1990	7-10, 1991	26-29, 1992	8-11, 1993	15-17, 1994	15-16, 1994	16-18, 1995	9-12, 1996	10-13, 1997
High cost of living;																				
inflation; taxes	18%	18%	12%	10%	11%	4%	5%	2%	3%	2%	1%	1%	2%	6%	3%	4%	3%	7%	11%	6%
Unemployment	61	54	41	29	20	23	13	9	6	3	3	3	8	25	22	18	6	15	13	NA
International problems;																				
foreign affairs	2	2	7	11	NA	NA	NA	4	4	3	NA	NA	1	3	8	3	4	2	4	3
Crime; violence	3	2	5	4	4	3	3	2	6	3	2	1	2	5	9	37	52	27	25	23
Fear of war/nuclear war;																				
international tensions	3	11	14	11	27	22	23	5	2	1	1	1	2	NA	NA	NA	(a)	(a)	NA	NA
Moral decline in society;																	(-)	()		
ethics	3	4	5	7	2	3	5	1	5	3	1	2	2	5	7	8	7	6	14	9
Excessive government																				
spending; Federal																				
budget deficit	4	5	4	12	18	13	11	12	7	7	6	21	8	8	13	5	3	14	15	8
Dissatisfaction with																				
government	3	NA	2	2	NA	NA	5	NA	2	1	1	1	NA	8	5	6	5	5	12	7
Economy (general)	11	8	4	5	6	7	10	12	8	7	7	7	24	42	35	14	17	10	12	21
Poverty; hunger;																				
homeless	NA	NA	NA	NA	6	6	5	7	10	10	11	7	10	15	15	11	5	10	7	10
Drugs; drug abuse	NA	NA	NA	NA	2	8	11	11	27	38	30	18	11	8	6	9	9	6	10	17
Trade deficit; trade																				
relations	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	3	3	2	2	1	1	4	3	2	1	1	2	1
Education; quality of																				
education	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	2	3	2	1	2	2	8	8	7	5	5	13	10
Environment; pollution	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	4	3	8	5	2	3	3	1	1	1	3	1
AIDS	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	1	NA	1	2	(a)	3	2	2	2	1	(a)	1
Abortion	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	(a)	3	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	1	1	0	1
Recession; depression	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	(a)	(a)	NA	NA	4	3	NA	(a)	1	0	(a)	NA
Health care	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	12	18	20	29	12	10	7
No opinion; don't know	2	2	4	4	3	3	4	12	7	6	9	5	6	2	2	2	2	2	7	6

Note: Exact wording of response categories varies across surveys. Multiple responses are possible; the Source records up to three problems per respondent. Some problems mentioned by a small percentage of respondents are not included in the table. For a discussion of public opinion survey sampling procedures, see Appendix 6.

^aLess than 0.5%.

Source: George H. Gallup, *The Gallup Report*, Report No. 198, p. 27; Report No. 226, p. 17; Report No. 235, pp. 20, 21; Report No. 252, pp. 28, 29; Report No. 260, pp. 6, 7; Report No. 277, pp. 6, 7; Report No. 285, pp. 4, 5; Report No. 290, p. 6 (Princeton, NJ: The Gallup Poll); George Gallup, Jr., *The Gallup Poll*, Mar. 14, 1991, pp. 2, 3; Apr. 3, 1992, pp. 1, 2; Jan. 30, 1997, p. 2 (Princeton, NJ: The Gallup Poll); George Gallup, Jr., *The Gallup Poll*, No. 352, p. 7 (Princeton, NJ: The Gallup Poll); and data provided by The Gallup Organization, Inc. Table constructed by SOURCEBOOK staff. Reprinted by permission.

Teenagers' attitudes toward the most important problem facing the United States today and in the future

United States, 1996

Question: "Please indicate below what you feel to be the most important problem facing the United States today. Indicate what you feel will be the most important problem facing the United States in the year 2000."

	Most important today	Most im- portant in the year 2000	
Crime/violence	23.7%	15.1%	
Decline of moral and social values	14.5	11.1	
AIDS	14.2	15.0	
Drugs	9.3	6.2	
Environmental pollution/deterioration	3.5	10.0	
Decline of the family	3.2	3.1	
U.S. budget	2.8	4.9	
Government	2.3	3.5	
National debt	2.3	3.3	
Unemployment	2.2	4.3	
Racial discrimination	2.0	1.1	
Poverty	1.9	2.3	
Racial tension	1.7	1.0	
Legal system	1.2	1.1	
Paroling of criminals	0.9	0.6	
Inflation	0.7	0.9	
Terrorism	0.6	1.7	
Health care	0.5	1.2	

Note: These data are from a mail survey of 938 students ages 13 to 17 conducted in 1996 by NFO Research, Inc. for the Horatio Alger Association of Distinguished Americans, Inc. The overall sample was selected to conform to the latest available U.S. Bureau of the Census data for boys and girls ages 13 to 17. The sample was selected based on nine geographic divisions, market size, household income, household size, and age and sex of teenagers. A total of 86% of the students responding to the survey were enrolled in public schools; 10% of the students attended private schools; and 3% took part in other schooling options.

Source: Horatio Alger Association of Distinguished Americans, Inc., *The Mood of American Youth 1996* (Alexandria, VA: Horatio Alger Association of Distinguished Americans, Inc. and National Association of Secondary School Principals, 1996), p. 51. Table adapted by SOURCEBOOK staff. Reprinted by permission.

Table 2.3
Attitudes toward important issues for the government to address

United States, 1993-97

Question: "What do you think are the two most important issues for the government to	2
address?"	

Issue	October 1993	November 1994	October 1995	April 1996	June 1997
Federal deficit	11%	11%	18%	22%	19%
Health care	55	30	16	16	9
Crime/violence	12	37	16	16	17
The economy ^a	13	12	10	14	6
Education	8	10	9	14	14
Welfare	3	9	12	13	12
Taxes	11	14	11	11	11
Employment/jobs	14	10	6	9	6
Programs for the elderly	3	4	7	6	2
Domestic/social issues ^a	8	5	5	4	3
Drugs	3	3	5	4	8
Abortion	2	2	2	4	3
Medicare ^b	Х	Х	14	3	4
Foreign policy ^a	3	4	4	3	4
Peace/world peace/nuclear arms	3	1	2	3	2
Homelessness ^c	Х	х	4	3	4
(Programs for) the poor	3	7	3	2	2

Note: The issues mentioned are spontaneous, unprompted replies by the respondents. The numbers indicate the percent of respondents who mentioned the item as one of the top two issues for the government to address. Some issues mentioned by a relatively small percentage of respondents have been omitted. For a discussion of public opinion survey sampling procedures, see Appendix 6.

^aNon-specific.

^bPreviously coded under "programs for the elderly."

^cPreviously coded under "programs for the poor."

Source: Louis Harris and Associates, Inc., *The Harris Poll*, Apr. 10, 1996, p. 3; June 16, 1997, p. 6 (Los Angeles: Creators Syndicate, Inc.). Table adapted by SOURCEBOOK staff. Reprinted by permission.

Table 2.4

Attitudes toward the most important issue facing communities today

By race/ethnicity, United States, 1996

Question: "Which of the following issues facing your community today do you feel is the most important?"

Issue	White	Hispanic	African- American	Asian
Education	58%	28%	52%	45%
Drugs	9	27	20	13
Gang violence	2	14	7	11
Crime	8	4	8	15
Child abuse	4	16	4	2
AIDS	1	8	5	5
Health care	7	1	1	4
Care for the elderly	8	1	3	2
Alcoholism	2	1	0	0

Note: These data were collected and analyzed by Market Segment Research and Consulting, Inc. as part of the 1996 Ethnic Market Report. A total of 5,000 interviews of respondents 18 years of age and older were conducted January through March 1996. The sample included 1,000 Whites, 2,000 Hispanics, 1,000 African-Americans, and 1,000 Asians. The "don't know/refused" category has been omitted; therefore percents may not sum to 100. Some data have been revised by the Source and therefore will differ from previous editions of SOURCEBOOK. For a discussion of public opinion survey sampling procedures, see Appendix 6.

Source: Table provided to SOURCEBOOK staff by Market Segment Research and Consulting, Inc. Reprinted by permission.

Table 2.5

Teenagers' attitudes toward the most important problem facing people their age

United States, 1996^a

Question: "What is the most important problem facing people your age--that is, the thing which concerns you most?"

Problem	Percent	
Drugs	31%	
Social pressures (popularity and "fitting-in")	14	
Crime and violence in school	8	
Sexual issues	7	
Other crime and violence	6	
Doing well in school	5	
Getting along with parents/other problems at home	2	
Jobs/opportunity	2	
Getting into college	2	
Other	13	
Don't know/no response	11	

Note: These data are derived from telephone interviews of nationwide samples of teenagers, ages 12 to 17, and parents of teenagers. The survey was conducted by Luntz Research Companies for the National Center on Addiction and Substance Abuse at Columbia University. Randomly generated telephone numbers were pre-screened to determine if a teen in the appropriate age range resided there. Subsequent calls were made to conduct the actual interviews. In total, 1,200 teen interviews were completed, as well as 1,166 parent interviews. Households in which both a parent and teen were interviewed accounted for 819 parent and 819 teen interviews. Weighting was applied in the parent survey data to bring the sex of parent distribution in line with national population data.

^aPercents may not add to 100 because of rounding.

Source: National Center on Addiction and Substance Abuse at Columbia University, **Na**tional Survey of American Attitudes on Substance Abuse II: Teens and Their Parents (New York: National Center on Addiction and Substance Abuse at Columbia University, 1996), p. 48. Table adapted by SOURCEBOOK staff. Reprinted by permission.

Attitudes toward the biggest problems facing public schools

By school status, United States, 1993-96^a

Question: "What do you think are the biggest problems with which the public schools of this community must deal?"

		Nati	onal		1	No childre	n in schoo	I	F	Public sch	ool parent	s	Nor	n-public so	chool pare	ents
	1993	1994	1995	1996	1993	1994	1995	1996	1993	1994	1995	1996	1993	1994	1995	1996
Use/abuse of drugs	16%	11%	7%	16%	17%	11%	7%	17%	14%	13%	7%	14%	9%	7%	8%	12%
Lack of discipline	15	18	15	15	15	18	17	16	15	17	11	12	19	22	18	18
Lack of proper financial																
support	21	13	11	13	19	12	10	14	24	16	12	13	13	9	3	7
Difficulty in getting																
good teachers	5	3	2	3	4	4	2	3	7	2	3	3	3	2	(b)	3
Standards/quality of																
education	9	8	4	NA	9	8	4	NA	8	5	4	NA	18	11	4	NA
Overcrowded/large schools	8	7	3	8	6	5	3	6	11	11	5	11	10	10	3	15
Parents' lack of support/																
interest	4	3	2	NA	5	4	2	NA	4	2	2	NA	3	3	3	NA
Pupils' lack of interest/																
truancy, poor attitudes	4	3	2	5	3	3	2	5	4	3	1	6	4	5	2	4
Integration/segregation/																
racial discrimination	4	3	2	2	4	3	2	2	4	2	2	3	4	2	(b)	2
Fighting/violence/gangs	13	18	9	14	12	19	9	14	14	16	8	15	17	17	8	17
Lack of family structure/																
problems of home life ^c	NA	5	3	4	NA	5	3	5	NA	3	1	1	NA	4	5	1
Crime/vandalism	NA	4	2	3	NA	5	2	3	NA	4	2	1	NA	3	2	3
Lack of respect for self/																
others ^a	NA	3	3	2	NA	2	3	2	NA	3	4	1	NA	1	6	2
There are no problems ^e	NA	1	3	3	NA	1	2	2	NA	2	6	7	NA	2	2	3

Note: Some problems mentioned by a small percentage of respondents have been omitted. For a discussion of public opinion survey sampling procedures, see Appendix 6.

^aTotals add to more than 100% because of multiple responses.

^bLess than 0.5%.

^c"Problems of home life" added in 1994. ^d"For self/others" added in 1996.

^eReworded to "no problems" in 1996.

Source: Stanley M. Elam, Lowell C. Rose, and Alec M. Gallup, "The 25th Annual Phi Delta Kappa/Gallup Poll of the Public's Attitudes Toward the Public Schools," *Phi Delta Kappan* (October 1993), p. 139; "The 26th Annual Phi Delta Kappa/Gallup Poll of the Public's Attitudes Toward the Public Schools," *Phi Delta Kappan* (September 1994), p. 43; Stanley M. Elam and Lowell C. Rose, "The 27th Annual Phi Delta Kappan (September 1995), pp. 52, 53; and Stanley M. Elam, Lowell C. Rose, and Alec M. Gallup, "The 28th Annual Phi Delta Kappa/Gallup Poll of the Public's Attitudes Toward the Public Schools," *Phi Delta Kappan* (September 1995), pp. 52, 53; and Stanley M. Elam, Lowell C. Rose, and Alec M. Gallup, "The 28th Annual Phi Delta Kappa/Gallup Poll of the Public's Attitudes Toward the Public Schools," *Phi Delta Kappan* (September 1996), p. 49. Table adapted by SOURCEBOOK staff. Reprinted by permission.

Reported confidence in selected institutions

United States, 1973-97

Question: "As far as people in charge of running . . . are concerned, would you say you have a great deal of confidence, only some confidence, or hardly any confidence at all in them?"

(Percent reporting "a great deal of confidence")

	1973	1974	1975	1976	1977	1978	1979	1980	1981	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	1987	1988	1989	1990	1991	1992	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997
The military	40%	33%	24%	23%	27%	29%	29%	28%	28%	31%	35%	45%	32%	36%	35%	33%	32%	43%	47%	50%	57%	39%	43%	47%	37%
Medicine	57	50	43	42	43	42	30	34	37	32	35	43	39	33	36	40	30	35	NA	29	22	23	26	29	29
The White House	18	28	NA	11	31	14	15	18	28	20	23	42	30	19	23	17	20	21	21	16	23	18	13	15	15
Major educational institutions such as colleges and																									
universities	44	40	36	31	37	41	33	36	34	30	36	40	35	34	36	34	32	35	21	25	23	25	27	30	27
The U.S. Supreme		40	00	01	07	-	00	00	04	00	00	40	00	04	00	04	02	00	21	20	20	20	21	00	21
Court	33	40	28	22	29	29	28	27	29	25	33	35	28	32	30	32	15	32	23	30	26	31	32	31	28
Congress	NA	18	13	9	17	10	18	18	16	13	20	28	16	21	20	15	16	12	9	10	12	8	10	10	11
Television news	41	31	35	28	28	35	37	29	24	24	24	28	23	27	29	28	25	27	20	22	23	20	16	21	18
Organized religion	36	32	32	24	29	34	20	22	22	20	22	24	21	22	16	17	16	20	NA	NA	NA	NA	24	NA	20
State governments	24	NA	NA	16	19	15	NA	NA	NA	NA	18	23	16	19	18	NA	18	10	NA						
Local governments	28	NA	NA	21	18	19	NA	NA	NA	NA	18	23	18	21	18	NA	17	NA							
Major companies	29	21	19	16	20	22	18	16	16	18	18	19	17	16	21	19	16	14	15	11	16	19	21	21	18
The press	30	25	26	20	18	23	28	19	16	14	19	18	16	19	19	18	18	18	14	13	15	13	11	14	11
Law firms	24	18	16	12	14	18	16	13	NA	NA	12	17	12	14	15	13	NA	NA	NA	11	11	8	9	11	7
Organized labor	20	18	14	10	14	15	10	14	12	8	10	12	13	11	11	13	10	14	NA	NA	NA	NA	8	NA	9
Executive branch																									
of the Federal																									
Government	19	28	13	11	23	14	17	17	24	NA	NA	NA	19	18	19	16	17	14	NA	13	15	12	9	12	12
Wall Street	NA	NA	NA	NA	19	15	NA	12	NA	8	9	9	12	13	15	13	17	17							

dures, see Appendix 6.

Table 2.8

Reported confidence in selected institutions

United States, selected years 1973-97

Question: "I am going to read you a list of institutions in American society. Please tell me how much confidence you, yourself, have in each one--a great deal, quite a lot, some, or very little."

(Percent saying "a great deal" or "quite a lot")

	1973	1975	1977	1979	1981	1983	1985	1986	1987	1988	1989	1990	1991	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997
Church or organized																		
religion	66%	68%	64%	65%	64%	62%	66%	57%	61%	59%	52%	56%	56%	53%	54%	57%	57%	56%
Military	NA	58	57	54	50	53	61	63	61	58	63	68	69	68	64	64	66	60
U.S. Supreme Court	44	49	46	45	46	42	56	54	52	56	46	47	39	44	42	44	45	50
Banks and banking	NA	NA	NA	60	46	51	51	49	51	49	42	36	30	37	35	43	44	41
Public schools	58	NA	54	53	42	39	48	49	50	49	43	45	35	39	34	40	38	40
Congress	42	40	40	34	29	28	39	41	NA	35	32	24	18	18	18	21	20	22
Newspapers	39	NA	NA	51	35	38	35	37	31	36	NA	39	32	31	29	30	32	35
Big business	26	34	33	32	20	28	31	28	NA	25	NA	25	22	22	26	21	24	28
Television	37	NA	NA	38	25	25	29	27	28	27	NA	25	24	21	NA	NA	NA	NA
Organized labor	30	38	39	36	28	26	28	29	26	26	NA	27	22	26	26	26	25	23
Police	NA	52	54	58	60	59												
Criminal justice																		
system	NA	17	15	20	19	19												
Presidency	NA	50	43	38	45	39	49											

Note: For a discussion of public opinion survey sampling procedures, see Appendix 6.

Source: George Gallup, Jr., **The Gallup Poll Monthly**, No. 313 (Princeton, NJ: The Gallup Poll, October 1991), p. 37; George Gallup, Jr., **The Gallup Poll**, Mar. 31, 1994, p. 2; May 5, 1995, p. 2; June 6, 1996, p. 2 (Princeton, NJ: The Gallup Organization, Inc.); and data provided by The Gallup Organization, Inc. Reprinted by permission.

Table 2.9					Table 2.10					
Reported confidence in	the criminal just	tice system			Reported confidence in the cri	iminal justi	ce system			
By demographic characte	eristics, United Sta	ites, 1997			By demographic characteristics,	United State	es, 1996 ^a			
	read you a list of in fidence you, yours little: the criminal j	self, have in eac			Question: "I am going to read yo how much confidence some, or very little	, you, yours	elf have in e	each one: a g		
	Great deal/quite		Very	2		A great deal	Quite a lot	Some	Very little	Don't know
	a lot	Some	little	None ^a	- National	8.0%	14.8%	40.1%	32.6%	4.4%
National	20%	40%	35%	5%	Serie					
Sex					<u>Sex</u> Male	10.6	16.0	37.8	31.7	3.8
Male	23	37	34	5	Female	5.5	13.8	42.3	33.4	5.0
Female	17	42	36	4	1 onlaid	0.0	10.0	42.0	00.4	0.0
- officio				•	Race, ethnicity					
Race					White	6.4	16.0	41.6	32.8	3.2
White	19	41	34	5	Black	12.7	7.3	40.0	35.5	4.5
Black	24	30	43	3	Hispanic	13.9	15.2	32.9	26.6	11.4
Nonwhite ^b	22	33	40	4						
					Age					
Age					18 to 24 years	13.4	14.8	48.6	22.5	0.7
18 to 29 years	23	40	33	4	25 to 39 years	7.8	16.4	38.3	32.8	4.7
30 to 49 years	14	45	36	5	40 to 59 years	7.1	14.1	41.6	33.0	4.2
50 to 64 years	22	32	39	5	60 years and older	6.7	11.6	34.8	39.6	7.3
50 years and older	24	33	36	4	Education					
65 years and older	25	35	32	4	College graduate	5.1	20.4	46.3	23.8	4.4
	20	00	02	•	Some college	8.3	13.3	42.6	35.2	0.6
Education					High school graduate	9.4	12.1	36.0	37.8	4.7
College post graduate	19	57	22	1	Less than high school graduate	10.7	13.1	30.3	32.0	13.9
College graduate	21	50	23	4	,					
Some college	18	39	36	5	Income					
No college	20	33	41	5	Over \$60,000	5.3	18.0	48.2	27.2	1.3
-					\$30,000 to \$60,000	6.8	14.9	43.8	31.5	3.0
Income					\$15,000 to \$29,999	9.1	15.3	36.4	34.7	4.5
\$50,000 and over	16	50	29	4	Less than \$15,000	16.5	12.2	30.9	31.7	8.6
\$30,000 to \$49,999	20	35	41	3						
\$20,000 to \$29,999	18	37	35	10	Community					
Under \$20,000	22	34	38	3	Urban	6.0	12.6	46.7	31.1	3.6
					Suburban	8.7	15.7	44.4	28.7	2.4
Community				_	Small city	5.6	18.9	40.0	27.2	8.3
Urban area	19	42	32	5	Rural/small town	9.1	13.6	35.1	38.0	4.2
Suburban area	21	38	35	5						
Rural area	16	41	39	2	Region		477	00.4	05.4	4 7
Destas					Northeast	5.7	17.7	39.4	35.4	1.7
Region Foot	10	14	25	4	Midwest	9.6	18.4	36.4	30.9	4.8
East	18	41	35	4	South	9.8	10.8	44.5	30.3	4.6
Midwest	19 21	44 35	34 37	2	West	4.8	14.9	37.8	36.5	6.0
South West	21 19	35 42	37 31	5 8	Politics					
*****	19	42	31	0	Politics Republican	9.5	15.8	39.5	32.4	2.9
Politics					Democrat	9.5 9.4	15.8	39.5 45.2	32.4 24.9	2.9 5.6
Republican	17	39	40	4	Independent/other	9.4 4.3	15.0	45.2 35.7	24.9 40.8	5.6 4.7
Democrat	23	39	40 32	4 3						
	23 18	39 41	32	3 7	Note: The National Opinion Surv					
Independent	10	41	33	1	sioned by the College of Crimina	al Justice's S	Survey Rese	arch Progran	n at Sam Ho	ouston Sta

.

Note: The "don't know/refused" category has been omitted; therefore percents may not sum to 100. For a discussion of public opinion survey sampling procedures, see Appendix 6.

^aResponse volunteered.

^bIncludes black respondents.

Source: Table constructed by SOURCEBOOK staff from data provided by The Gallup Organization, Inc. Reprinted by permission.

Note: The National Opinion Survey on Crime and Justice-1996 was designed and commissioned by the College of Criminal Justice's Survey Research Program at Sam Houston State University. The data were collected by the Public Policy Research Institute of Texas A & M University through telephone interviews conducted from May 16 to June 9, 1996. A total of 1,085 interviews were conducted from a stratified random sample of all working telephone numbers in the United States. The data presented are weighted estimates adjusted according to U.S. Bureau of the Census racial/ethnic group and gender population figures for 1990. The "other" racial category is comprised of a small number of respondents and therefore was omitted.

^aPercents may not add to 100 because of rounding.

Source: Table constructed by SOURCEBOOK staff from data provided by the Survey Research Program, College of Criminal Justice, Sam Houston State University.

Table 2.11					Table 2.12				
Reported confidence in	the police				Reported confidence in local poli	ce			
By demographic characte	eristics, United Sta	ates, 1997			By demographic characteristics, Uni	ted States, 199	6		
	read you a list of in hfidence you, your little: the police?"				Question: "I am going to read you a how much confidence, yo some, or very littleyou				
	Great deal/quite	0	Very	a		A great deal	Quite a lot	Some	Very little
	a lot	Some	little	None ^a	- National	25.6%	34.3%	27.8%	12.3%
National	59%	30%	10%	1%					
					Sex				
<u>Sex</u>					Male	26.2	33.8	26.3	13.7
Male	60	28	11	1	Female	25.1	34.7	29.2	11.0
Female	57	33	9	1					
					Race, ethnicity				
Race					White	24.5	38.5	26.0	11.0
White	61	30	8	1	Black	26.1	18.0	35.1	20.7
Black	39	37	23	1	Hispanic	31.2	22.1	35.1	11.7
Nonwhite ^b	45	35	19	1					
					Age				
Age					18 to 24 years	22.7	31.2	29.8	16.3
18 to 29 years	53	30	15	2	25 to 39 years	25.5	33.9	27.6	12.9
30 to 49 years	59	35	5	1	40 to 59 years	25.9	34.9	28.0	11.1
•	60	25	14	1		28.2	36.2		9.2
50 to 64 years	60	25	14	I	60 years and older	28.2	30.2	26.4	9.2
50 years and older	62	27	11	(c)	Education				
65 years and older	64	29	7	0	College graduate	30.2	38.8	24.7	6.2
		20	•	0	Some college	27.6	32.5	26.6	13.3
Education					High school graduate	20.8	34.7	30.3	14.2
College post graduate	64	33	4	0	Less than high school graduate	23.6	28.5	30.9	17.1
	66	30	3	0	Less man nigh school graddate	23.0	20.5	30.9	17.1
College graduate					1				
Some college	54	34	11	1	Income				
No college	59	28	12	1	Over \$60,000	26.4	41.4	27.8	4.4
					\$30,000 to \$60,000	24.0	33.6	28.4	13.9
Income					\$15,000 to \$29,999	22.1	34.6	29.2	14.2
\$50,000 and over	60	31	8	1	Less than \$15,000	30.4	28.3	24.6	16.7
\$30,000 to \$49,999	63	28	9	0					
\$20,000 to \$29,999	52	35	10	3	Community				
Under \$20,000	54	34	11	1	Urban	27.1	24.7	34.9	13.3
					Suburban	27.5	36.6	25.4	10.6
Community					Small city	25.1	39.7	26.3	8.9
Urban area	51	36	11	2	Rural/small town	24.0	34.3	27.1	14.6
Suburban area	65	27	8	(c)		2	00		
Rural area	58	30	11	(0)	Region				
	50	50		1	Northeast	18.7	30.4	33.3	17.5
Pagion							30.4	22.9	17.5
<u>Region</u>	<u> </u>	20	0		Midwest	25.8			
East	62	29	9	1	South	25.6	36.0	28.5	9.9
Midwest	58	34	7	(c)	West	30.5	29.7	28.5	11.2
South	54	31	14	1					
West	62	28	7	3	Politics				
					Republican	29.2	35.8	26.3	8.7
Politics					Democrat	24.9	35.3	29.1	10.7
Republican	66	26	8	1	Independent/other	22.5	35.5	26.4	15.6
Democrat	58	32	8	1	· ·				
Independent	53	33	13	2	Note: See Note, table 2.10. The "do	n't know" and "r	etused" categ	ories have be	en omitted

Note: The "don't know/refused" category has been omitted; therefore percents may not sum to 100. For a discussion of public opinion survey sampling procedures, see Appendix 6.

^aResponse volunteered. ^bIncludes black respondents. ^cLess than 0.5%.

Source: Table constructed by SOURCEBOOK staff from data provided by The Gallup Organization, Inc. Reprinted by permission.

Source: Table constructed by SOURCEBOOK staff from data provided by the Survey Re-search Program, College of Criminal Justice, Sam Houston State University.

PAGE 120 INTENTIONALLY BLANK

Reported confidence in the U.S. Supreme Court

By demographic characteristics, United States, 1997

Question: "I am going to read you a list of institutions in American society. Please tell me how much confidence you, yourself, have in each one--a great deal, quite a lot, some, or very little: the U.S. Supreme Court?"

	Great deal/quite a lot	Some	Very little	None ^a
National	50%	32%	14%	2%
<u>Sex</u> Male Female	54 45	27 37	15 13	2 2
Race	-10	01	10	L
White	51	33	12	2
Black	39	27	29	3
Nonwhite ^b	42	30	25	2
Age				
18 to 29 years	50	32	15	2
30 to 49 years	51	34	14	1
50 to 64 years	48	32	12	3
50 years and older	48	30	14	2
65 years and older	48	28	15	2
Education			2	
College post graduate	64	31	6	0
College graduate Some college	68 48	24 34	6 15	2 1
No college	48 42	34 34	15	3
No college	42	34	17	3
Income			_	
\$50,000 and over	58	33	7	(c)
\$30,000 to \$49,999	48	34	15	2
\$20,000 to \$29,999	47 43	31	17	3 2
Under \$20,000	43	33	16	2
<u>Community</u>			10	()
Urban area	51	30	18	(c)
Suburban area	51	32	11	3
Rural area	43	37	14	2
Region				
East	54	30	12	2
Midwest	47	35	14	1
South	47	32	16	2 1
West	53	32	12	1
Politics				
Republican	52	35	11	1
Democrat	52	27	16	2
Independent	46	35	14	3

Note: The "don't know/refused" category has been omitted; therefore percents may not sum to 100. For a discussion of public opinion survey sampling procedures, see Appendix 6.

^aResponse volunteered. ^bIncludes black respondents. ^cLess than 0.5%.

Source: Table constructed by SOURCEBOOK staff from data provided by The Gallup Organization, Inc. Reprinted by permission.

Reported confidence in the U.S. Supreme Court

By demographic characteristics, United States, selected years 1980-96

Question: "I'm going to name some institutions in this country. As far as the people running these institutions (U.S. Supreme Court) are concerned, would you say you have a great deal of confidence, only some confidence, or hardly any confidence at all in them?"

		4000			4000			1000			4004			1000			4007	
	A	1980		A	1982		A	1983		A	1984		A	1986		A	1987	
	great deal	Only some	Hardly any															
National	25%	50%	20%	31%	53%	12%	27%	55%	14%	33%	51%	12%	30%	52%	14%	36%	50%	10%
Sex																		
Male	27	49	21	32	53	13	31	50	16	40	44	15	36	47	15	41	46	11
Female	23	51	18	30	53	12	24	58	12	29	56	11	25	56	14	33	52	10
Race																		
White	24	50	20	30	54	12	27	55	14	35	50	13	31	53	13	38	47	11
Black/other	29	47	16	31	49	13	26	53	15	25	54	11	24	49	19	26	61	7
<u>Age</u>																		
18 to 20 years	34	57	2	43	47	9	38	49	11	29	52	19	47	42	10	62	24	10
21 to 29 years	30	52	15	33	53	12	33	55	10	45	42	11	38	48	10	41	51	6
30 to 49 years	24	53	20	28	56	14	24	60	15	30	56	12	30	55	14	36	52	9
50 years and older	22	46	22	31	52	12	26	51	16	30	51	13	24	52	17	32	48	13
Education ^a															_			
College	28	55	16	36	53	10	34	53	12	40	50	8	37	52	9	44	49	6
High school graduate	24	50	22	27	55	14	24	58	15	30	52	15	26	56	15	32	51	12
Less than high	04	00	00	00	45		40	47	40	05	40	47	04	00	07		47	40
school graduate	21	39	20	30	45	11	19	47	19	25	46	17	21	39	27	24	47	18
Income	V	V	v	V	V	v	V	V	X	V	V	V	V	V	V	V	V	X
\$50,000 and over \$30,000 to \$49,999	X X	X X	X X															
\$20,000 to \$29,999	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	X	x	x	X	x	x	x	x	x
\$20,000 to \$29,999 Under \$20,000	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	Â	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x
011081 \$20,000	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~
Occupation	05	50	40		50	40		50		40	40	9	00	50		47	45	-
Professional/business Clerical	25 25	56 51	16 19	36 29	53 58	10 11	34 26	52 57	11	40 24	49 62	9 12	36 25	52 57	11 14	47 33	45 58	7 7
Manual	25 24	46	19 21	29 28	58 52	15	26 22	57 57	14 17	24 33	62 48	12	25 27	57 51	14	33 29	58 52	13
Farmer	24	40	29	38	50	12	41	36	10	45	40	14	36	39	15	35	40	22
ranner	21	-1	23	50	50	12	41	50	10	40	40	15	50	55	15	55	40	22
Region																		
Northeast	24	50	19	34	49	13	30	54	12	32	51	13	32	52	13	43	48	7
Midwest	27	57	14	30	57	11	30	54	12	30	55	12	30	54	13	32	52	12
South	24	51	22	27	53	15	26	53	17	32	49	14	28	50	16	33	50	12
West	24	46	24	32	53	11	22	60	15	41	48	9	30	54	14	41	48	8
Religion																		
Protestant	23	52	19	29	54	13	27	54	16	33	52	11	28	52	15	35	50	11
Catholic	29	42	21	33	54	10	26	58	12	32	52	13	32	54	11	39	48	9
Jewish	25	59	12	35	43	19	43	45	10	45	45	10	37	55	8	55	35	5
None	21	57	19	30	53	16	30	51	14	36	43	17	33	47	19	33	53	10
Politics																		
Republican	23	53	21	34	50	13	30	53	16	42	47	10	33	51	14	42	48	6
Democrat	28	48	17	30	54	11	27	54	15	34	51	12	29	53	14	34	50	12
Independent	22	50	21	29	54	14	26	57	12	27	54	14	28	52	15	35	50	12

Note: The "don't know" category has been omitted; therefore percents may not sum to 100. Readers interested in responses to this question for previous years should consult previous editions of SOURCEBOOK. For a discussion of public opinion survey sampling procedures, see Appendix 6. Source: National Opinion Research Center, "General Social Surveys, 1972-94," "General Social Surveys, 1996," Storrs, CT: The Roper Center for Public Opinion Research, University of Connecticut. (Machine-readable data files.) Table constructed by SOURCEBOOK staff.

^aIn 1996, education categories were revised slightly and therefore are not directly comparable to data presented for prior years.

	1988			1989			1990			1991			1993			1994			1996	
A			A			A			A			А			Α			А		
great deal	Only some	Hardly any																		
35%	50%	11%	34%	50%	11%	35%	48%	13%	38%	48%	13%	31%	52%	13%	30%	50%	16%	28%	50%	17%
39	47	10	38	47	12	36	48	13	44	42	14	34	51	12	34	48	16	33	45	18
31	53	11	32	52	10	34	48	12	33	52	12	28	52	14	27	52	17	24	54	16
36 26	49 56	11 10	36 26	49 55	11 12	37 27	47 53	12 14	38 34	47 48	12 14	32 20	51 55	12 20	30 29	50 50	17 15	30 23	49 53	16 18
57	37	7	44	47	3	39	48	4	32	42	21	38	52	7	32	47	21	38	40	15
43	45	8	40	50	8	38	47	10	50	41	7	32	55	13	37	51	11	30	46	19
34 30	55 50	8 14	34 31	54 46	9 15	36 32	47 50	14 12	36 33	51 48	12 15	32 28	52 50	14 14	30 27	52 48	16 19	26 29	55 46	15 17
40	51	8	42	50	7	44	47	9	45	45	9	36	51	11	36	49	13	33	52	13
32	51	13	29	52	14	29	48	17	32	49	16	24	54	17	26	52	20	24	51	20
27	46	12	26	41	13	21	51	13	23	57	13	27	46	13	19	42	20	22	41	22
x	Х	x	х	Х	x	Х	Х	x	х	Х	x	37	52	10	38	48	14	34	51	12
X X	X X	X X	28 33	58 46	12 17	31 30	54 53	13 15	27 31	54 49	16 16									
x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	26	51	16	26	46	22	24	49	21
38 32	52 54	9	43 33	51 50	5 12	42 35	48 48	10	42 45	47	10	36 25	52 57	9 14	37 28	48	14	34 29	50 52	12 17
32 32	54 50	10 12	33 27	50 52	12	35 28	48 49	10 17	45 32	45 50	10 15	25 29	57 49	14	28 26	54 52	16 17	29 24	52 49	20
33	33	33	31	19	31	35	53	12	30	60	5	26	58	5	35	38	25	13	42	29
35	53	9	37	45	9	39	43	13	44	39	14	32	50	12	35	47	14	31	49	13
33 37	51 44	11 12	33 32	55 48	9 16	37 29	48 53	10 13	34 37	51 48	13 13	33 25	52 55	11 16	29 27	50 51	18 18	29 26	51 49	17 18
32	58	8	38	52	6	29 39	44	15	38	51	9	23 34	48	13	32	51	15	29	49 51	17
35	48	12	30	54	12	33	50	13	35	48	15	29	52	14	28	51	17	25	51	18
34 39	55 61	9 0	47 35	40 41	6 18	40 67	42 33	12 0	45 48	44 43	9 10	36 30	50 50	12 15	32 42	51 46	14 12	33 48	48 44	15 4
38	52	7	28	54	12	34	33 44	16	48 24	43 64	11	29	56	13	33	40 47	18	48 30	44 45	4 19
42	49	7	40	52	6	41	48	9	46	43	11	33	54	11	32	49	16	32	51	15
33 30	52 50	12 12	32 33	51 46	13 13	31 33	48 48	16 13	34 34	50 50	13 14	27 32	52 50	16 13	32 27	48 53	16 17	30 24	51 49	13 21
30	50	12	33	40	13	33	40	13	34	50	14	32	50	13	21	55	17	24	49	21

Table 2.15					Table 2.16				
Reported confidence in the local of	court system				Reported confidence in the State	prison system			
By demographic characteristics, Uni	ted States, 199	6			By demographic characteristics, Uni	ited States, 199	6		
Question: "I am going to read you a how much confidence, yo some, or very littleyou	ou, yourself have	e in each one:			Question: "I am going to read you a how much confidence, yo some, or very littleyou	ou, yourself hav	e in each one		
some, or very indeyou	r iocar court sys				some, or very indeyou		Jotenni		
	A great deal	Quite a lot	Some	Very little		A great deal	Quite a lot	Some	Very little
National	11.7%	22.3%	43.2%	22.8%	National	7.9%	17.6%	42.2%	32.3%
Sex					Sex				
Male	14.2	21.1	41.1	23.6	Male	10.6	19.0	37.8	32.7
Female	9.4	23.5	45.2	21.9	Female	5.3	16.2	46.4	32.0
Race, ethnicity					Race, ethnicity				
White	12.1	23.4	43.0	21.5	White	7.2	19.1	43.9	29.7
Black	5.7	11.4	54.3	28.6	Black	9.0	6.0	42.0	43.0
Hispanic	18.1	20.8	31.9	29.2	Hispanic	17.6	20.6	26.5	35.3
Age					Age				
18 to 24 years	10.2	21.2	49.6	19.0	18 to 24 years	14.4	20.9	38.1	26.6
25 to 39 years	13.8	20.4	43.6	22.1	25 to 39 years	8.3	17.5	43.6	30.7
40 to 59 years	11.1	24.9	42.3	21.7	40 to 59 years	6.5	16.5	43.5	33.5
60 years and older	9.9	21.9	39.7	28.5	60 years and older	4.2	17.4	39.6	38.9
Education	445	07.0	40.0	44.0	Education	0.4	10.0	40 7	00.4
College graduate	14.5	27.3	43.3	14.9	College graduate	6.1	18.8	48.7	26.4
Some college	10.3	19.9	52.7	17.0	Some college	7.2	18.4	43.3	31.1
High school graduate	10.5	19.8	40.2	29.4	High school graduate	9.1	15.2	37.5	38.2
Less than high school graduate	11.7	23.4	25.2	39.6	Less than high school graduate	12.6	17.5	35.0	35.0
Income					Income				
Over \$60,000	12.4	27.1	47.2	13.3	Over \$60,000	5.4	15.3	50.5	28.8
\$30,000 to \$60,000	12.4	20.6	47.6	19.4	\$30,000 to \$60,000	8.1	18.9	43.6	29.4
\$15,000 to \$29,999	9.7	21.1	42.7	26.4	\$15,000 to \$29,999	10.4	16.1	37.0	36.5
Less than \$15,000	11.8	22.8	32.3	33.1	Less than \$15,000	8.9	19.5	31.7	39.8
Community					<u>Community</u>				
Urban	6.3	19.4	47.5	26.9	Urban	5.8	12.3	45.2	36.8
Suburban	14.2	21.5	45.3	19.0	Suburban	7.2	13.6	47.5	31.7
Small city	7.5	27.2	38.7	26.6	Small city	10.5	24.1	35.2	30.2
Rural/small town	13.6	22.1	41.9	22.4	Rural/small town	8.5	19.6	40.2	31.7
Region					Region				
Northeast	9.1	20.6	47.3	23.0	Northeast	4.9	15.9	41.5	37.8
Midwest	15.1	26.0	41.1	17.7	Midwest	10.9	21.9	40.9	26.3
South	10.5	22.3	43.8	23.4	South	8.4	15.2	43.0	33.4
West	12.1	18.6	41.6	27.7	West	6.1	18.0	42.5	33.3
Delitice					Delitica				
Politics	45.4	05.7		47.5	Politics	0.0	00.4	10.0	00.0
Republican	15.4	25.7	41.4	17.5	Republican	9.8	20.4	40.9	29.0
Democrat	11.7	24.6	43.4	20.3	Democrat	6.8	16.8	46.9	29.4
Independent/other	7.2	18.5	43.4	30.9	Independent/other	8.2	17.2	39.1	35.5

Note: See Note, table 2.10. The "don't know" and "refused" categories have been omitted; therefore percents may not sum to 100.

Source: Table constructed by SOURCEBOOK staff from data provided by the Survey Research Program, College of Criminal Justice, Sam Houston State University. Note: See Note, table 2.10. The "don't know" and "refused" categories have been omitted; therefore percents may not sum to 100.

Source: Table constructed by SOURCEBOOK staff from data provided by the Survey Research Program, College of Criminal Justice, Sam Houston State University.

By type of occupation, United							By demographic characte					
Question: "Please tell me how these different fields						or people in	Question: "Please tell me these different			average, low, or		
	Very high	High	Average	Low	Very low	No opinion		Very high	High	Average	Low	Very Iow
Druggists, pharmacists	14%	50%	30%	3%	1%	2%	National	3%	14%	39%	27%	14%
Clergy	18	38	30	7	2	5						
college teachers	11	44	33	4	1	7	Sex					
Aedical doctors	11	44	35	7	2	1	Male	2	13	33	30	20
Pentists	8	45	38	5	2	2	Female	3	15	45	24	9
ngineers olice	9 10	39 39	41 38	2 8	1 3	8	Deve					
ankers	3	39 23		9	3	2 3	Race	2	10	40	20	45
uneral directors	6	23 29	59 46	9 10	3	6	White	2	12	40	28	15
ournalists	3	29	40 50	10	4	4	Black	6 4	25 25	40 37	18 20	8 12
V reporters, commentators	4	19	30 49	19	4	2	Nonwhite ^a	4	25	37	20	12
ewspaper reporters	2	15	48	24	7	4	1.00					
uilding contractors	2	20	48 49	24 18	4	4 6	Age 18 to 29 years	5	19	37	25	13
enators	2	13	49	26	8	3	30 to 49 years	5 1	19	37 42	25 28	13
awyers	2	13	39	20	14	3	50 to 64 years	4	13	38	26	16
usiness executives	2	15	58	17	3	5	65 years and older	4	14	33	28	12
ongress members	2	12	45	28	10	3		0	14	00	20	12
ocal officeholders	2	17	57	16	4	4	Education					
abor union leaders	3	13	39	29	9	7	College post graduate	1	13	43	25	17
eal estate agents	2	13	56	20	5	4	College graduate	1	10	46	28	14
tockbrokers	2	13	58	14	2	11	Some college	2	14	37	30	15
tate officeholders	2	11	54	23	6	4	No college	4	16	38	25	13
		10	49	29	8	3						
surance salespeople	1											
	1	10	46	28	9	6	Income					
dvertising practitioners				28 42	9 18		Income \$75,000 and over	2	11	40	30	16
dvertising practitioners ar salespeople	1	10	46			6		2 1	11 10	40 40	30 33	16 15
dvertising practitioners Car salespeople Public opinion pollsters	1 2 4	10 6 20	46 29 54	42 12	18 4	6 3 6	\$75,000 and over					
dvertising practitioners Car salespeople Public opinion pollsters	1 2 4	10 6 20	46 29 54	42 12	18 4	6 3 6	\$75,000 and over \$50,000 and over ^b	1 4 (c)	10	40 40 40	33 27 23	15 15 17
Advertising practitioners Car salespeople Public opinion pollsters Note: For a discussion of publi	1 2 4 ic opinion su	10 6 20 rvey samp	46 29 54 bling proced	42 12 lures, se	18 4 e Append	6 3 6 lix 6.	\$75,000 and over \$50,000 and over ^b \$30,000 to \$49,999	1 4	10 13	40 40	33 27	15 15
dvertising practitioners ar salespeople Public opinion pollsters lote: For a discussion of publi cource: George Gallup, Jr., <i>Th</i>	1 2 4 ic opinion su	10 6 20 rvey samp	46 29 54 bling proced	42 12 lures, se	18 4 e Append	6 3 6 lix 6.	\$75,000 and over \$50,000 and over ^b \$30,000 to \$49,999 \$20,000 to \$29,999 Under \$20,000	1 4 (c)	10 13 17	40 40 40	33 27 23	15 15 17
dvertising practitioners ar salespeople Public opinion pollsters lote: For a discussion of publi cource: George Gallup, Jr., <i>Th</i>	1 2 4 ic opinion su	10 6 20 rvey samp	46 29 54 bling proced	42 12 lures, se	18 4 e Append	6 3 6 lix 6.	\$75,000 and over \$50,000 and over ^b \$30,000 to \$49,999 \$20,000 to \$29,999 Under \$20,000 <u>Community</u>	1 4 (c) 2	10 13 17 18	40 40 40 35	33 27 23 29	15 15 17 12
Advertising practitioners ar salespeople Public opinion pollsters Jote: For a discussion of publi Source: George Gallup, Jr., <i>Th</i>	1 2 4 ic opinion su	10 6 20 rvey samp	46 29 54 bling proced	42 12 lures, se	18 4 e Append	6 3 6 lix 6.	\$75,000 and over \$50,000 and over ^b \$30,000 to \$49,999 \$20,000 to \$29,999 Under \$20,000 <u>Community</u> Urban area	1 4 (c) 2 3	10 13 17 18 21	40 40 35 34	33 27 23 29 27	15 15 17 12
Advertising practitioners ar salespeople Public opinion pollsters Jote: For a discussion of publi Source: George Gallup, Jr., <i>Th</i>	1 2 4 ic opinion su	10 6 20 rvey samp	46 29 54 bling proced	42 12 lures, se	18 4 e Append	6 3 6 lix 6.	\$75,000 and over \$50,000 and over ^b \$30,000 to \$49,999 \$20,000 to \$29,999 Under \$20,000 <u>Community</u> Urban area Suburban area	1 4 (c) 2 3 3	10 13 17 18 21 11	40 40 35 34 42	33 27 23 29 27 27	15 15 17 12 12 12
Advertising practitioners Car salespeople Public opinion pollsters Jote: For a discussion of publi Source: George Gallup, Jr., 7 , 2. Reprinted by permission.	1 2 4 ic opinion su	10 6 20 rvey samp	46 29 54 bling proced	42 12 lures, se	18 4 e Append	6 3 6 lix 6.	\$75,000 and over \$50,000 and over ^b \$30,000 to \$49,999 \$20,000 to \$29,999 Under \$20,000 <u>Community</u> Urban area	1 4 (c) 2 3	10 13 17 18 21	40 40 35 34	33 27 23 29 27	15 15 17 12 12
dvertising practitioners ar salespeople Public opinion pollsters lote: For a discussion of publi cource: George Gallup, Jr., 7 . 2. Reprinted by permission.	1 2 4 ic opinion su	10 6 20 rvey samp oll (Prince	46 29 54 bling proceed	42 12 dures, ser e Gallup	18 4 e Append Poll, Jan	6 3 6 lix 6.	\$75,000 and over \$50,000 and over ^b \$30,000 to \$49,999 \$20,000 to \$29,999 Under \$20,000 <u>Community</u> Urban area Suburban area Rural area	1 4 (c) 2 3 3	10 13 17 18 21 11	40 40 35 34 42	33 27 23 29 27 27	15 15 17 12 12 12
dvertising practitioners ar salespeople ublic opinion pollsters lote: For a discussion of publi ource: George Gallup, Jr., 7 . 2. Reprinted by permission.	1 2 4 ic opinion su	10 6 20 rvey samp oll (Prince	46 29 54 bling proceed	42 12 dures, ser e Gallup	18 4 e Append Poll, Jan	6 3 6 lix 6.	\$75,000 and over \$50,000 and over ^b \$30,000 to \$49,999 \$20,000 to \$29,999 Under \$20,000 <u>Community</u> Urban area Suburban area Rural area <u>Region</u>	1 4 (c) 2 3 3 2	10 13 17 18 21 11 11	40 40 35 34 42 40	33 27 23 29 27 27 27 27	15 15 17 12 12 15 16
dvertising practitioners ar salespeople ublic opinion pollsters lote: For a discussion of publi cource: George Gallup, Jr., <i>Tr</i> . 2. Reprinted by permission. able 2.18 tespondents' ratings of the	1 2 4 ic opinion su <i>he Gallup Po</i>	10 6 20 rvey samp oll (Prince	46 29 54 bling proceed	42 12 dures, ser e Gallup	18 4 e Append Poll, Jan	6 3 6 lix 6.	\$75,000 and over \$50,000 and over ^b \$30,000 to \$49,999 \$20,000 to \$29,999 Under \$20,000 <u>Community</u> Urban area Suburban area Rural area <u>Region</u> East	1 4 (c) 2 3 3 2 5	10 13 17 18 21 11 11 11	40 40 35 34 42 40 38	33 27 23 29 27 27 27 27 30	15 15 17 12 12 15 16 9
dvertising practitioners ar salespeople Public opinion pollsters Note: For a discussion of publi Source: George Gallup, Jr., <i>Tr</i> 2. Reprinted by permission.	1 2 4 ic opinion su <i>he Gallup Po</i>	10 6 20 rvey samp oll (Prince	46 29 54 bling proceed	42 12 dures, ser e Gallup	18 4 e Append Poll, Jan	6 3 6 lix 6.	\$75,000 and over \$50,000 and over ^b \$30,000 to \$49,999 \$20,000 to \$29,999 Under \$20,000 <u>Community</u> Urban area Rural area <u>Rural area</u> <u>Region</u> East Midwest	1 4 (c) 2 3 3 2 5 1	10 13 17 18 21 11 11 11 12 16	40 40 35 34 42 40 38 41	33 27 23 29 27 27 27 27 30 30	15 15 17 12 12 15 16 9 10
Advertising practitioners ar salespeople Public opinion pollsters Note: For a discussion of publi Source: George Gallup, Jr., <i>Tr</i> b. 2. Reprinted by permission. Table 2.18 Respondents' ratings of the Jnited States, selected years Question: "Please tell me how	1 2 4 ic opinion sur he Gallup Po honesty an 1976-96 you would ra	10 6 20 rvey samp <i>bil</i> (Prince d ethical	46 29 54 Jling procector ton, NJ: Th standards	42 12 dures, se e Gallup of lawye	18 4 e Append Poll, Jan. ers	6 3 6 iix 6. . 2, 1997),	\$75,000 and over \$50,000 and over ^b \$30,000 to \$49,999 \$20,000 to \$29,999 Under \$20,000 <u>Community</u> Urban area Suburban area Rural area <u>Region</u> East Midwest South	1 4 (c) 2 3 3 2 5 1 2	10 13 17 18 21 11 11 11 12 16 14	40 40 35 34 42 40 38 41 41	33 27 23 29 27 27 27 27 30 30 26	15 15 17 12 12 15 16 9 10 15
Avertising practitioners Car salespeople vublic opinion pollsters Vublic opinion pollsters Vublic opinion pollsters Vublic opinion pollsters Vublic opinion pollsters Vublic opinion pollsters Source: George Gallup, Jr., <i>Tr</i> 0. 2. Reprinted by permission. Value States, selected years Valuestion: "Please tell me how these different fields	1 2 4 ic opinion sur he Gallup Po honesty an 1976-96 you would ra	10 6 20 rvey samp <i>bil</i> (Prince d ethical	46 29 54 Jling procector ton, NJ: Th standards	42 12 dures, se e Gallup of lawye	18 4 e Append Poll, Jan. ers	6 3 6 iix 6. . 2, 1997),	\$75,000 and over \$50,000 and over ^b \$30,000 to \$49,999 \$20,000 to \$29,999 Under \$20,000 <u>Community</u> Urban area Rural area <u>Rural area</u> <u>Region</u> East Midwest	1 4 (c) 2 3 3 2 5 1	10 13 17 18 21 11 11 11 12 16	40 40 35 34 42 40 38 41	33 27 23 29 27 27 27 27 30 30	15 15 17 12 12 15 16 9 10
dvertising practitioners ar salespeople Public opinion pollsters lote: For a discussion of publi cource: George Gallup, Jr., <i>Tr</i> . 2. Reprinted by permission. Table 2.18 Respondents' ratings of the United States, selected years Question: "Please tell me how these different fields	1 2 4 ic opinion sur he Gallup Po honesty an 1976-96 you would ra	10 6 20 rvey samp <i>bil</i> (Prince d ethical	46 29 54 Jling procector ton, NJ: Th standards	42 12 dures, see e Gallup of lawye ethical sta or very low	18 4 e Append Poll, Jan. ers andards o w: Lawyer	6 3 6 lix 6. . 2, 1997), of people in rs?"	\$75,000 and over \$50,000 and over ^b \$30,000 to \$49,999 \$20,000 to \$29,999 Under \$20,000 <u>Community</u> Urban area Suburban area Rural area <u>Region</u> East Midwest South West	1 4 (c) 2 3 3 2 5 1 2	10 13 17 18 21 11 11 11 12 16 14	40 40 35 34 42 40 38 41 41	33 27 23 29 27 27 27 27 30 30 26	15 15 17 12 12 15 16 9 10 15
dvertising practitioners ar salespeople ublic opinion pollsters lote: For a discussion of publi ource: George Gallup, Jr., <i>Tr</i> . 2. Reprinted by permission. able 2.18 espondents' ratings of the nited States, selected years tuestion: "Please tell me how these different fields Very	1 2 4 ic opinion sur he Gallup Po honesty an 1976-96 you would ra s-very high,	10 6 20 rvey samp <i>off</i> (Prince d ethical ate the ho high, ave	46 29 54 oling procector, NJ: Th standards nesty and e rage, low, c	42 12 dures, se e Gallup of lawye ethical sta or very low	18 4 e Append Poll, Jan. ers andards o w: Lawyer ery	6 3 6 iix 6. . 2, 1997), of people in rs?" No	\$75,000 and over \$50,000 and over ^b \$30,000 to \$49,999 \$20,000 to \$29,999 Under \$20,000 <u>Community</u> Urban area Suburban area Rural area <u>Region</u> East Midwest South	1 4 (c) 2 3 3 2 5 1 2	10 13 17 18 21 11 11 11 12 16 14	40 40 35 34 42 40 38 41 41	33 27 23 29 27 27 27 27 30 30 26	15 15 17 12 12 15 16 9 10 15
dvertising practitioners ar salespeople ublic opinion pollsters ote: For a discussion of publi ource: George Gallup, Jr., <i>TF</i> 2. Reprinted by permission. able 2.18 espondents' ratings of the nited States, selected years uestion: "Please tell me how these different fields Very	1 2 4 ic opinion sur he Gallup Po honesty an 1976-96 you would ra s-very high,	10 6 20 rvey samp <i>bil</i> (Prince d ethical	46 29 54 Jling procector ton, NJ: Th standards	42 12 dures, se e Gallup of lawye ethical sta or very low	18 4 e Append Poll, Jan. ers andards o w: Lawyer	6 3 6 lix 6. . 2, 1997), of people in rs?"	\$75,000 and over \$50,000 and over ^b \$30,000 to \$49,999 \$20,000 to \$29,999 Under \$20,000 <u>Community</u> Urban area Suburban area Rural area <u>Region</u> East Midwest South West <u>Politics</u>	1 4 (c) 2 3 3 2 5 1 2 2	10 13 17 18 21 11 11 11 12 16 14 16	40 40 35 34 42 40 38 41 41 33	33 27 23 29 27 27 27 27 30 30 26 23	15 15 17 12 15 16 9 10 15 24
dvertising practitioners ar salespeople ublic opinion pollsters ote: For a discussion of publi ource: George Gallup, Jr., <i>Tr</i> 2. Reprinted by permission. able 2.18 espondents' ratings of the nited States, selected years tuestion: "Please tell me how these different fields Very high	1 2 4 ic opinion sur he Gallup Po honesty an 1976-96 you would ra s-very high,	10 6 20 rvey samp <i>off</i> (Prince d ethical ate the ho high, ave	46 29 54 oling procector, NJ: Th standards nesty and e rage, low, c	42 12 dures, se e Gallup of lawye ethical sta or very low Ve	18 4 e Append Poll, Jan. ers andards o w: Lawyer ery	6 3 6 iix 6. . 2, 1997), of people in rs?" No	\$75,000 and over \$50,000 and over ^b \$30,000 to \$49,999 \$20,000 to \$29,999 Under \$20,000 <u>Community</u> Urban area Suburban area Rural area <u>Region</u> East Midwest South West <u>Politics</u> Republican	1 4 (c) 2 3 3 2 5 1 2 2 2	10 13 17 18 21 11 11 12 16 14 16 14	40 40 35 34 42 40 38 41 41 33 43	33 27 23 29 27 27 27 27 30 30 26 23 31	15 15 17 12 12 12 15 16 9 10 15 24 11
dvertising practitioners ar salespeople ublic opinion pollsters lote: For a discussion of publi ource: George Gallup, Jr., <i>Tr</i> 2. Reprinted by permission. able 2.18 espondents' ratings of the Inited States, selected years tuestion: "Please tell me how these different fields Very high 976 6%	1 2 4 ic opinion su he Gallup Po honesty an 1976-96 you would ra svery high, High <i>A</i>	10 6 20 rvey samp off (Prince d ethical ate the ho high, ave	46 29 54 oling procector, NJ: Th standards nesty and e rage, low, c	42 12 dures, se e Gallup of lawye ethical sta or very low Ve	18 4 e Append Poll, Jan. ers andards o w: Lawyer ery sw	6 3 6 lix 6. . 2, 1997), of people in rs?" No opinion	\$75,000 and over \$50,000 and over ^b \$30,000 to \$49,999 \$20,000 to \$29,999 Under \$20,000 <u>Community</u> Urban area Suburban area Rural area <u>Region</u> East Midwest South West <u>Politics</u> Republican Democrat Independent	1 4 (c) 2 3 3 2 5 1 2 2 2 3 3 3	10 13 17 18 21 11 11 12 16 14 16 14 16 14 16 11 18 14	40 40 35 34 42 40 38 41 41 33 33 41 41 33 33	33 27 23 29 27 27 27 27 30 30 26 23 31 24 28	15 15 17 12 12 15 16 9 10 15 24 11 10 20
dvertising practitioners ar salespeople ublic opinion pollsters ote: For a discussion of publi ource: George Gallup, Jr., <i>Tr</i> 2. Reprinted by permission. able 2.18 espondents' ratings of the nited States, selected years uestion: "Please tell me how these different fields Very high 376 6% 377 5	1 2 4 ic opinion su he Gallup Po honesty an 1976-96 you would ra svery high, High / 19%	10 6 20 rvey samp off (Prince d ethical ate the ho high, ave Average 48%	46 29 54 ching procector, NJ: Th standards nesty and e rage, low, c Low 18%	42 12 dures, se e Gallup of lawye ethical sta or very low Ve	18 4 Poll, Jan. Pors andards o w: Lawyer ery ow 8%	6 3 6 iix 6. . 2, 1997), of people in rs?" No opinion 1%	\$75,000 and over \$50,000 and over ^b \$30,000 to \$49,999 \$20,000 to \$29,999 Under \$20,000 Community Urban area Suburban area Rural area Region East Midwest South West Politics Republican Democrat Independent Note: The "refused" cate	1 4 (c) 2 3 3 2 5 1 2 2 2 3 3 2 0000 has be	10 13 17 18 21 11 11 11 12 16 14 16 14 16 11 18 14 en omitted; th	40 40 35 34 42 40 38 41 41 33 41 41 33 42 32 herefore percen	33 27 23 29 27 27 27 27 30 30 26 23 31 24 28 ts may not su	15 15 17 12 12 15 16 9 10 15 24 11 10 20
dvertising practitioners ar salespeople ublic opinion pollsters ote: For a discussion of publi ource: George Gallup, Jr., <i>Tr</i> 2. Reprinted by permission. able 2.18 espondents' ratings of the nited States, selected years ' uestion: "Please tell me how these different fields Very high 977 6 6% 977 5 981 4	1 2 4 ic opinion su he Gallup Po honesty an 1976-96 You would ra s-very high, High <i>J</i> 19% 21	10 6 20 rvey samp <i>bll</i> (Prince d ethical ate the ho high, ave Average 48% 44	46 29 54 olling procect ton, NJ: Th standards nesty and e rage, low, c Low 18% 18	42 12 dures, se e Gallup of lawye ethical sta or very low Ve	18 4 e Append Poll, Jan. ers andards o w: Lawyer ery 5w 8% 8	6 3 6 iix 6. . 2, 1997), of people in rs?* No opinion 1% 4	\$75,000 and over \$50,000 and over ^b \$30,000 to \$49,999 \$20,000 to \$29,999 Under \$20,000 <u>Community</u> Urban area Suburban area Rural area <u>Region</u> East Midwest South West <u>Politics</u> Republican Democrat Independent	1 4 (c) 2 3 3 2 5 1 2 2 2 3 3 2 0000 has be	10 13 17 18 21 11 11 11 12 16 14 16 14 16 11 18 14 en omitted; th	40 40 35 34 42 40 38 41 41 33 41 41 33 42 32 herefore percen	33 27 23 29 27 27 27 27 30 30 26 23 31 24 28 ts may not su	15 15 17 12 12 15 16 9 10 15 24 11 10 20
dvertising practitioners ar salespeople ublic opinion pollsters ote: For a discussion of publi ource: George Gallup, Jr., <i>TF</i> 2. Reprinted by permission. able 2.18 espondents' ratings of the nited States, selected years uestion: "Please tell me how these different fields Very high 376 6% 377 5 881 4	1 2 4 ic opinion su he Gallup Po honesty an 1976-96 you would ra svery high, High / 19% 21 21	10 6 20 rvey samp <i>bll</i> (Prince d ethical ate the ho high, ave Average 48% 44 41	46 29 54 oling procector, NJ: Th standards nesty and e rage, low, c Low 18% 18 19	42 12 dures, see e Gallup of lawye ethical sta or very low Ve lo	18 4 e Append Poll, Jan. ers andards o w: Lawyer ery ow 8% 8 8 8	6 3 6 lix 6. . 2, 1997), of people in rs?" No opinion 1% 4 7	\$75,000 and over \$50,000 and over ^b \$30,000 to \$49,999 \$20,000 to \$29,999 Under \$20,000 <u>Community</u> Urban area Suburban area Rural area <u>Region</u> East Midwest South West <u>Politics</u> Republican Democrat Independent Note: The "refused" cate a discussion of public op	1 4 (c) 2 3 3 2 5 1 2 2 2 3 3 2 9 0 7 4 8 be inion survey	10 13 17 18 21 11 11 11 12 16 14 16 14 16 11 18 14 en omitted; th	40 40 35 34 42 40 38 41 41 33 41 41 33 42 32 herefore percen	33 27 23 29 27 27 27 27 30 30 26 23 31 24 28 ts may not su	15 15 17 12 12 15 16 9 10 15 24 11 10 20
dvertising practitioners ar salespeople ublic opinion pollsters ote: For a discussion of publi purce: George Gallup, Jr., 77 2. Reprinted by permission. able 2.18 espondents' ratings of the nited States, selected years uestion: "Please tell me how these different fields Very high 376 6% 377 5 381 4 833 5 385 6	1 2 4 ic opinion su he Gallup Po honesty an 1976-96 you would ra svery high, High / 19% 21 21 19	10 6 20 rvey samp off (Prince d ethical ate the ho high, ave 48% 44 41 43	46 29 54 oling procector, NJ: The standards nesty and e rage, low, of Low 18% 18 19 18	42 12 dures, se- e Gallup of lawye ethical sta or very loo Vo lo	18 4 e Append Poll, Jan. ers andards o w: Lawyer ery bw 8% 8 8 9	6 3 6 lix 6. . 2, 1997), of people in rs?" No opinion 1% 4 7 6	\$75,000 and over \$50,000 and over ^b \$30,000 to \$49,999 \$20,000 to \$29,999 Under \$20,000 <u>Community</u> Urban area Suburban area Rural area <u>Region</u> East Midwest South West <u>Politics</u> Republican Democrat Independent Note: The "refused" cate a discussion of public op ^a Includes black responde	1 4 (c) 2 3 3 2 5 1 2 2 3 3 2 9 007 has be inion survey ents.	10 13 17 18 21 11 11 11 12 16 14 16 14 16 14 16 14 16 14 16 14 16 14 16	40 40 35 34 42 40 38 41 41 33 41 41 33 42 32 herefore percen	33 27 23 29 27 27 27 27 30 30 26 23 31 24 28 ts may not su	15 15 17 12 12 15 16 9 10 15 24 11 10 20
dvertising practitioners ar salespeople ublic opinion pollsters ote: For a discussion of publi purce: George Gallup, Jr., <i>TF</i> 2. Reprinted by permission. able 2.18 espondents' ratings of the nited States, selected years of uestion: "Please tell me how these different fields Very high 076 6% 077 5 081 4 083 5 085 6 088 3	1 2 4 ic opinion sur he Gallup Po honesty an 1976-96 you would ra svery high, High / 19% 21 21 19 21	10 6 20 rvey samp bil (Prince d ethical ate the ho high, ave Average 48% 44 41 43 40	46 29 54 olling procect ton, NJ: Th standards nesty and e rage, low, c Low 18% 18 19 18 21	42 12 Jures, se- e Gallup of lawyo ethical sta or very low Va Ic	18 4 e Append Poll, Jan. ers andards o w: Lawyer ery ow 8% 8 8 8 9 9	6 3 6 lix 6. . 2, 1997), of people in rs?" No opinion 1% 4 7 6 3 4 4	\$75,000 and over \$50,000 and over ^b \$30,000 to \$49,999 \$20,000 to \$29,999 Under \$20,000 Community Urban area Suburban area Rural area Rural area Region East Midwest South West Politics Republican Democrat Independent Note: The "refused" cate a discussion of public op ^a Includes black responde ^b Includes \$75,000 and of	1 4 (c) 2 3 3 2 5 1 2 2 3 3 2 9 007 has be inion survey ents.	10 13 17 18 21 11 11 11 12 16 14 16 14 16 14 16 14 16 14 16 14 16 14 16	40 40 35 34 42 40 38 41 41 33 41 41 33 42 32 herefore percen	33 27 23 29 27 27 27 27 30 30 26 23 31 24 28 ts may not su	15 15 17 12 12 15 16 9 10 15 24 11 10 20
dvertising practitioners ar salespeople ublic opinion pollsters ote: For a discussion of publi ource: George Gallup, Jr., <i>TF</i> 2. Reprinted by permission. able 2.18 espondents' ratings of the nited States, selected years ' uestion: "Please tell me how these different fields Very high 977 6 977 5 981 4 933 5 985 6 985 6 988 3 990 4	1 2 4 ic opinion su he Gallup Po honesty an 1976-96 you would ra s-very high, 19% 21 19 21 19 21	10 6 20 rvey samp off (Prince d ethical ate the ho high, ave 48% 44 41 43 40 45	46 29 54 oling procect ton, NJ: Th standards nesty and e rage, low, c Low 18% 18 19 18 21 23	42 12 dures, see e Gallup of lawye ethical sta or very low Va Ic	18 4 e Append Poll, Jan. ers ers ww: Lawyer bw 8% 8 8 8 8 8 9 9 0	6 3 6 iix 6. . 2, 1997), of people in rs?" No opinion 1% 4 7 6 3 4	\$75,000 and over \$50,000 and over ^b \$30,000 to \$49,999 \$20,000 to \$29,999 Under \$20,000 <u>Community</u> Urban area Suburban area Rural area <u>Region</u> East Midwest South West <u>Politics</u> Republican Democrat Independent Note: The "refused" cate a discussion of public op ^a Includes black responde	1 4 (c) 2 3 3 2 5 1 2 2 3 3 2 9 007 has be inion survey ents.	10 13 17 18 21 11 11 11 12 16 14 16 14 16 14 16 14 16 14 16 14 16 14 16	40 40 35 34 42 40 38 41 41 33 41 41 33 42 32 herefore percen	33 27 23 29 27 27 27 27 30 30 26 23 31 24 28 ts may not su	15 15 17 12 12 15 16 9 10 15 24 11 10 20
dvertising practitioners ar salespeople ublic opinion pollsters ote: For a discussion of publi ource: George Gallup, Jr., <i>Tr</i> 2. Reprinted by permission. able 2.18 espondents' ratings of the nited States, selected years tuestion: "Please tell me how these different fields Very high 976 6% 977 5 981 4 983 5 985 6 988 3 990 4	1 2 4 ic opinion su he Gallup Po honesty an 1976-96 you would ra svery high, High / 19% 21 21 21 19 21 15 18	10 6 20 rvey samp off (Prince) d ethical ate the ho high, ave 48% 44 43 40 45 43	46 29 54 oling procector, NJ: The standards nesty and e rage, low, of 18% 18 19 18 21 23 22	42 12 dures, see e Gallup of lawye ethical sta tor very lov Very loc 1 1	18 4 e Append Poll, Jan. ers andards o w: Lawyer ery bw 8% 8 8 9 9 9 0 9 9	6 3 6 1ix 6. . 2, 1997), of people in rs?" No opinion 1% 4 7 6 3 4 4 5 3	\$75,000 and over \$50,000 and over \$30,000 to \$49,999 \$20,000 to \$29,999 Under \$20,000 Community Urban area Suburban area Rural area Region East Midwest South West Politics Republican Democrat Independent Note: The "refused" cate a discussion of public op ^a Includes black responde ^b Includes \$75,000 and or ^c Less than 0.5%.	1 4 (c) 2 3 3 2 5 1 2 2 3 3 2 2 3 3 2 9 0 2 3 3 2 9 2 3 3 2 9 2 3 3 9 9 9 9 9 9 9	10 13 17 18 21 11 11 12 16 14 16 14 16 14 16 14 16 14 16 14 16 14 16 14 16 14 16 17 18 17 18 17 18 17 18 17 18 17 18 18 17 18 18 19 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	40 40 35 34 42 40 38 41 33 41 33 41 33 42 32 nerefore percen ocedures, see A	33 27 23 29 27 27 27 27 30 30 26 23 31 24 28 ts may not su Appendix 6.	15 15 17 12 12 15 16 9 10 15 24 11 10 20 m to 100.
dvertising practitioners ar salespeople ublic opinion pollsters lote: For a discussion of publi ource: George Gallup, Jr., 77 . 2. Reprinted by permission. able 2.18 espondents' ratings of the inited States, selected years tuestion: "Please tell me how these different fields Very high 976 6% 977 5 981 4 983 5 985 6 988 3 990 4 991 4	1 2 4 ic opinion su he Gallup Po honesty an 1976-96 you would ra svery high, 197 21 19 21 19 21 15 18 18	10 6 20 rvey samp off (Prince d ethical ate the ho high, ave 48% 44 41 43 40 45 43 43	46 29 54 oling procector, NJ: The standards nesty and e rage, low, c Low 18% 18 19 18 21 23 22 20	42 12 dures, se- e Gallup of lawye ethical sta or very loo Very loo Va lc	18 4 e Append Poll, Jan. ers andards o w: Lawyer ery bw 8% 8 8 9 9 9 9 0 0 9 0 0	6 3 6 iix 6. . 2, 1997), of people in rs?" No opinion 1% 4 7 6 3 4 5	\$75,000 and over \$50,000 and over \$30,000 to \$49,999 \$20,000 to \$29,999 Under \$20,000 Community Urban area Suburban area Rural area Region East Midwest South West Politics Republican Democrat Independent Note: The "refused" cate a discussion of public op ^a Includes black responde ^b Includes black responde ^b Includes \$75,000 and of ^c Less than 0.5%. Source: Table constructed	1 4 (c) 2 3 3 2 5 1 2 2 3 3 2 2 3 3 2 9 0ry has be inion survey ents. ver category	10 13 17 18 21 11 11 12 16 14 16 14 16 11 18 14 ren omitted; th y sampling pro- y. CEBOOK sta	40 40 35 34 42 40 38 41 33 41 33 41 33 42 32 nerefore percen ocedures, see A	33 27 23 29 27 27 27 27 30 30 26 23 31 24 28 ts may not su Appendix 6.	15 15 17 12 12 15 16 9 10 15 24 11 10 20 m to 100.
dvertising practitioners ar salespeople ublic opinion pollsters lote: For a discussion of publi ource: George Gallup, Jr., <i>Tr</i> . 2. Reprinted by permission. able 2.18 espondents' ratings of the nited States, selected years truestion: "Please tell me how these different fields Very high 976 6% 977 5 981 4 983 5 985 6 985 6 985 6 988 3 990 4 991 4 991 4 992 3	1 2 4 ic opinion sui he Gallup Po honesty an 1976-96 You would re s-very high, 1976-21 21 19 21 21 15 18 18 18	10 6 20 rvey samp <i>oll</i> (Prince <i>d</i> ethical ate the ho high, ave 48% 44 41 43 40 45 43 43 43	46 29 54 oling procect ton, NJ: Th standards nesty and e rage, low, c Low 18% 18 19 18 21 23 22 20 25	42 12 Jures, se- e Gallup of lawye ethical sta or very low Ve lo 1 1 1 1	18 4 e Append Poll, Jan. Pors andards o w: Lawyer ery ow 8% 8 8 8 9 9 0 0 9 0 1	6 3 6 lix 6. . 2, 1997), of people in rs?" No opinion 1% 4 7 6 3 4 4 5 3 2 1	\$75,000 and over \$50,000 and over \$30,000 to \$49,999 \$20,000 to \$29,999 Under \$20,000 Community Urban area Suburban area Rural area Region East Midwest South West Politics Republican Democrat Independent Note: The "refused" cate a discussion of public op ^a Includes black responde ^b Includes \$75,000 and or ^c Less than 0.5%.	1 4 (c) 2 3 3 2 5 1 2 2 3 3 2 2 3 3 2 9 0ry has be inion survey ents. ver category	10 13 17 18 21 11 11 12 16 14 16 14 16 11 18 14 ren omitted; th y sampling pro- y. CEBOOK sta	40 40 35 34 42 40 38 41 33 41 33 41 33 42 32 nerefore percen ocedures, see A	33 27 23 29 27 27 27 27 30 30 26 23 31 24 28 ts may not su Appendix 6.	15 15 17 12 12 15 16 9 10 15 24 11 10 20 m to 100.
dvertising practitioners ar salespeople ublic opinion pollsters lote: For a discussion of publi ource: George Gallup, Jr., 77 . 2. Reprinted by permission. able 2.18 respondents' ratings of the nited States, selected years tuestion: "Please tell me how these different fields Very high 976 6% 977 5 981 4 983 5 985 6 988 3 990 4 991 4 991 4 992 3 993 3 993 3	1 2 4 ic opinion su he Gallup Po honesty an 1976-96 you would ra s-very high, 19% 21 19 21 15 15 18 18 18 15 13	10 6 20 rvey samp off (Prince) d ethical ate the ho high, ave 48% 44 41 43 40 45 43 43 43 43 43 43 43 43 43 43	46 29 54 oling procect ton, NJ: Th standards nesty and e rage, low, c Low 18% 18 19 18 19 18 21 23 22 20 25 28	42 12 dures, see e Gallup of lawye ethical sta or very low Ve lo 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	18 4 e Append Poll, Jan. ers andards o w: Lawyer ery ww 8% 8 8 8 9 9 0 0 9 0 0 1 1	6 3 6 1ix 6. . 2, 1997), of people in rs?" No opinion 1% 4 7 6 3 4 4 7 6 3 4 4 5 3 2	\$75,000 and over \$50,000 and over \$30,000 to \$49,999 \$20,000 to \$29,999 Under \$20,000 Community Urban area Suburban area Rural area Region East Midwest South West Politics Republican Democrat Independent Note: The "refused" cate a discussion of public op ^a Includes black responde ^b Includes black responde ^b Includes \$75,000 and of ^c Less than 0.5%. Source: Table constructed	1 4 (c) 2 3 3 2 5 1 2 2 3 3 2 2 3 3 2 9 0ry has be inion survey ents. ver category	10 13 17 18 21 11 11 12 16 14 16 14 16 11 18 14 ren omitted; th y sampling pro- y. CEBOOK sta	40 40 35 34 42 40 38 41 33 41 33 41 33 42 32 nerefore percen ocedures, see A	33 27 23 29 27 27 27 27 30 30 26 23 31 24 28 ts may not su Appendix 6.	15 15 17 12 12 15 16 9 10 15 24 11 10 20 m to 100.
dvertising practitioners ar salespeople lublic opinion pollsters lote: For a discussion of publi ource: George Gallup, Jr., 77 . 2. Reprinted by permission. able 2.18 tespondents' ratings of the Inited States, selected years tuestion: "Please tell me how these different fields Very high 976 6% 977 5 981 4 983 5 985 6 988 3 990 4 991 4 991 4 992 3 993 3 993 3	1 2 4 ic opinion su he Gallup Po honesty an 1976-96 you would ra svery high, High / 19% 21 19 21 19 21 15 18 18 18 18 18 13 14	10 6 20 rvey samp off (Prince) off (Prince) d ethical ate the ho high, ave 48% 44 43 40 45 43 43 43 43 43 43 43 43 43 43	46 29 54 oling procector, NJ: The standards nesty and e rage, low, of 18% 18 21 23 22 20 25 28 31	42 12 dures, se- e Gallup of lawye ethical sta or very lov Ve lc 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	18 4 e Append Poll, Jan. ers andards o w: Lawyel ery bw 8% 8 8 9 9 9 10 9 11 3 5	6 3 6 lix 6. . 2, 1997), of people in rs?" No opinion 1% 4 7 6 3 4 4 5 3 2 1	\$75,000 and over \$50,000 and over \$30,000 to \$49,999 \$20,000 to \$29,999 Under \$20,000 Community Urban area Suburban area Rural area Region East Midwest South West Politics Republican Democrat Independent Note: The "refused" cate a discussion of public op ^a Includes black responde ^b Includes black responde ^b Includes \$75,000 and of ^c Less than 0.5%. Source: Table constructed	1 4 (c) 2 3 3 2 5 1 2 2 3 3 2 2 3 3 2 9 0ry has be inion survey ents. ver category	10 13 17 18 21 11 11 12 16 14 16 14 16 11 18 14 ren omitted; th y sampling pro- y. CEBOOK sta	40 40 35 34 42 40 38 41 33 41 33 41 33 42 32 nerefore percen ocedures, see A	33 27 23 29 27 27 27 27 30 30 26 23 31 24 28 ts may not su Appendix 6.	15 15 17 12 12 15 16 9 10 15 24 11 10 20 m to 100.
dvertising practitioners ar salespeople "ublic opinion pollsters lote: For a discussion of publi cource: George Gallup, Jr., 77 . 2. Reprinted by permission. "able 2.18 tespondents' ratings of the United States, selected years "uestion: "Please tell me how these different fields Very high 976 6% 977 5 981 4 983 5 985 6 988 3 990 4 991 4 991 4 992 3 993 3 993 3 994 3 995 4 996 3	1 2 4 ic opinion su he Gallup Po honesty an 1976-96 you would ra svery high, 1976-91 1976-91 1976-92 199 21 19 21 19 21 15 18 18 15 13 14 12 14	10 6 20 rvey samp <i>off</i> (Prince d ethical ate the ho high, ave 48% 44 41 43 40 45 43 43 43 43 43 43 43 43 43 43 43 43 43	46 29 54 olling proceed ton, NJ: Th standards nesty and e rage, low, c Low 18% 18 21 23 22 20 25 28 31 29 27	42 12 dures, see e Gallup of lawye ethical sta tor very lov Very loc loc 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	18 4 e Append Poll, Jan. ers andards o w: Lawyer ery bw 8% 8 8 9 9 9 0 0 9 0 0 1 1 3 5 5 7 4	6 3 6 1ix 6. . 2, 1997), of people in rs?" No opinion 1% 4 7 6 3 4 4 5 3 2 1 2 3	\$75,000 and over \$50,000 and over \$30,000 to \$49,999 \$20,000 to \$29,999 Under \$20,000 Community Urban area Suburban area Rural area Region East Midwest South West Politics Republican Democrat Independent Note: The "refused" cate a discussion of public op ^a Includes black responde ^b Includes black responde ^b Includes \$75,000 and of ^c Less than 0.5%. Source: Table constructed	1 4 (c) 2 3 3 2 5 1 2 2 3 3 2 2 3 3 2 9 0ry has be inion survey ents. ver category	10 13 17 18 21 11 11 12 16 14 16 14 16 11 18 14 ren omitted; th y sampling pro- y. CEBOOK sta	40 40 35 34 42 40 38 41 33 41 33 41 33 42 32 nerefore percen ocedures, see A	33 27 23 29 27 27 27 27 30 30 26 23 31 24 28 ts may not su Appendix 6.	15 15 17 12 12 15 16 9 10 15 24 11 10 20 m to 100.
dvertising practitioners ar salespeople lublic opinion pollsters lote: For a discussion of publi ource: George Gallup, Jr., 77 . 2. Reprinted by permission. able 2.18 tespondents' ratings of the Inited States, selected years tuestion: "Please tell me how these different fields Very high 976 6% 977 5 981 4 983 5 985 6 988 3 990 4 991 4 991 4 992 3 993 3 993 3	1 2 4 ic opinion su he Gallup Po honesty an 1976-96 you would ra svery high, 1976-91 1976-91 1976-92 199 21 19 21 19 21 15 18 18 15 13 14 12 14	10 6 20 rvey samp <i>off</i> (Prince d ethical ate the ho high, ave 48% 44 41 43 40 45 43 43 43 43 43 43 43 43 43 43 43 43 43	46 29 54 olling proceed ton, NJ: Th standards nesty and e rage, low, c Low 18% 18 21 23 22 20 25 28 31 29 27	42 12 dures, see e Gallup of lawye ethical sta tor very lov Very loc loc 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	18 4 e Append Poll, Jan. ers andards o w: Lawyer ery bw 8% 8 8 9 9 9 0 0 9 0 0 1 1 3 5 5 7 4	6 3 6 1ix 6. . 2, 1997), of people in rs?" No opinion 1% 4 7 6 3 4 4 5 3 2 1 2 3	\$75,000 and over \$50,000 and over \$30,000 to \$49,999 \$20,000 to \$29,999 Under \$20,000 Community Urban area Suburban area Rural area Region East Midwest South West Politics Republican Democrat Independent Note: The "refused" cate a discussion of public op ^a Includes black responde ^b Includes black responde ^b Includes \$75,000 and of ^c Less than 0.5%. Source: Table constructed	1 4 (c) 2 3 3 2 5 1 2 2 3 3 2 2 3 3 2 9 0ry has be inion survey ents. ver category	10 13 17 18 21 11 11 12 16 14 16 14 16 11 18 14 ren omitted; th y sampling pro- y. CEBOOK sta	40 40 35 34 42 40 38 41 33 41 33 41 33 42 32 nerefore percen ocedures, see A	33 27 23 29 27 27 27 27 30 30 26 23 31 24 28 ts may not su Appendix 6.	15 15 17 12 12 15 16 9 10 15 24 11 10 20 m to 100.

Poll, December 1988), p. 18; *The Gallup Poll Monthly*, No. 293 (Princeton, NJ: The Gallup Poll, February 1990), p. 23; *The Gallup Poll* (Princeton, NJ: The Gallup Poll, May 22, 1991), p. 3; *The Gallup Poll Monthly*, No. 322 (Princeton, NJ: The Gallup Poll, July 1992), p. 2; *The Gallup Poll Monthly*, No. 334 (Princeton, NJ: The Gallup Poll, July 1993), p. 38; *The Gallup Poll* (Princeton, NJ: The Gallup Poll, Nov. 10, 1995), p. 2; *The Gallup Poll* (Princeton, NJ: The Gallup Poll, Nov. 10, 1995), p. 2; *The Gallup Poll* (Princeton, NJ: The Gallup Poll, Nov. 10, 1995), p. 2; *The Gallup Poll* (Princeton, NJ: The Gallup Poll, Nov. 10, 1995), p. 2; *The Gallup Poll* (Princeton, NJ: The Gallup Poll, Source Staff. Reprinted by permission.

Table 2.20						Table 2.21				
Respondents' r	atings of the ho	nesty and et	hical standards	of police		Respondents' ratir	ngs of the hones	sty and ethic	al standards o	of police
United States, se	elected years 197	7-96				By demographic cha	aracteristics, Unit	ed States, 19	996	
	se tell me how yo different fieldsv					Question: "Please to these diff	ell me how you w ferent fieldsvery			
	Very high	High	Average	Low	Very Iow		Very high	High	Average	Low
1977	8%	29%	50%	9%	3%	National	10%	39%	38%	8%
1981	8	36	41	9	4	- Tallonal	.0,0	0070	0070	070
1983	7	34	45	7	4	Sex				
1985	10	37	41	7	3	Male	9	39	38	9
1988	10	37	39	8	3	Female	10	39	39	7
1990	9	40	41	7	2					
1991	7	36	42	10	3	Race				
1992	8	34	42	10	4	White	10	41	39	6
1993	10	40	39	7	3	Black	5	27	36	17
1994	9	37	41	9	3	Nonwhite ^a	5	27	36	19
1995	8	33	44	11	3					
1996	10	39	38	8	3	Age				

Note: The "no opinion" category has been omitted; therefore percents may not sum to 100. For a discussion of public opinion survey sampling procedures, see Appendix 6.

Source: George Gallup, Jr., *The Gallup Report*, Report No. 279 (Princeton, NJ: The Gallup Poll, December 1988), p. 10; *The Gallup Poll Monthly*, No. 293 (Princeton, NJ: The Gallup Poll, February 1990), p. 23; *The Gallup Poll* (Princeton, NJ: The Gallup Poll, May 22, 1991), p. 3; *The Gallup Poll Monthly*, No. 324 (Princeton, NJ: The Gallup Poll, July 1992), p. 2; *The Gallup Poll Monthly*, No. 324 (Princeton, NJ: The Gallup Poll, July 1993), p. 3; *The Gallup Poll Orinceton*, NJ: The Gallup Poll, Nov. 10, 1995), p. 2; *The Gallup Poll* (Princeton, NJ: The Gallup Poll (Princeton, NJ: The Gallup Poll, Nov. 10, 1995), p. 2; *The Gallup Poll* (Princeton, NJ: The Gallup Poll, Nov. 10, 1995), p. 2; *The Gallup Poll* (Princeton, NJ: The Gallup Poll, Nov. 10, 1995), p. 2; *The Gallup Poll* (Princeton, NJ: The Gallup Poll, Nov. 10, 1995), p. 2; *The Gallup Poll* (Princeton, NJ: The Gallup Poll, Nov. 10, 1995), p. 2; *The Gallup Poll* (Princeton, NJ: The Gallup Poll, Nov. 10, 1995), p. 2; *The Gallup Poll* (Princeton, NJ: The Gallup Poll, Nov. 10, 1995), p. 2; *The Gallup Poll* (Princeton, NJ: The Gallup Poll, Nov. 10, 1995), p. 2; *The Gallup Poll* (Princeton, NJ: The Gallup Poll, Nov. 10, 1995), p. 2; *The Gallup Poll* (Princeton, NJ: The Gallup Poll, Nov. 10, 1995), p. 2; *The Gallup Poll* (Princeton, NJ: The Gallup Poll, Nov. 10, 1995), p. 2; *The Gallup Poll* (Princeton, NJ: The Gallup Poll, Nov. 10, 1995), p. 2; *The Gallup Poll* (Princeton, NJ: The Gallup Poll, Source Source

Question: "Please tell me these differen			honesty and et verage, low, or		
	Very high	High	Average	Low	Very low
National	10%	39%	38%	8%	3%
Sex					
Male	9	39	38	9	4
Female	10	39	39	7	2
Race					
White	10	41	39	6	2
Black	5	27	36	17	14
Nonwhite ^a	5	27	36	19	10
Age					
18 to 29 years	10	45	27	10	7
30 to 49 years	11	39	37	8	3
50 to 64 years	8	36	45	7	2
65 years and older	6	36	46	5	3
Education					
College post graduate	10	35	44	10	1
College graduate	10	39	42	7	2
Some college	10	41	40	5	2
No college	9	38	35	9	6
Income					
\$75,000 and over	6	45	43	5	1
\$50,000 and over ^b	6	39	48	5	1
\$30,000 to \$49,999	11	44	35	7	3
\$20,000 to \$29,999	7	38	33	17	4
Under \$20,000	13	37	34	5	7
Community Urban area	7	40	37	11	3
Suburban area	10	40	39	6	3
Rural area	10	40 37	39 39	6	3 5
		57	39	0	5
Region					
East	9	38	40	7	4
Midwest	8	41	36	11	2
South	8	38	42	7	4
West	14	40	33	6	4
Politics					
Republican	10	41	39	7	2
Democrat	9	40	36	8	5
Independent	10	38	39	7	3
Note: The "refused" cate					-

Note: The "refused" category has been omitted; therefore percents may not sum to 100. For a discussion of public opinion survey sampling procedures, see Appendix 6.

^aIncludes black respondents.

^bIncludes \$75,000 and over category.

Source: Table constructed by SOURCEBOOK staff from data provided by The Gallup Organization, Inc. Reprinted by permission.

Respondents' confidence in ability of police to protect, solve crime, and prevent crime

By demographic characteristics, United States, 1995

Question: "How much confidence do you have in the ability of the police to protect you from the police to **prevent** crime? Would you say: a great deal, some, little or none at all?" crime? What about the ability of the police to **solve** crime? What about the ability of

		Protect fro	om crime			Solve	crime			t crime		
	A great			None	A great			None	A great			None
	deal	Some	Little	at all	deal	Some	Little	at all	deal	Some	Little	at all
National	30.2%	44.0%	16.1%	8.1%	25.4%	48.1%	14.8%	7.8%	16.7%	48.0%	21.1%	11.3%
Sex												
Male	30.8	41.9	16.3	9.1	29.0	43.7	17.0	7.7	17.6	45.6	22.0	12.9
Female	29.6	45.9	15.9	7.3	22.1	52.2	12.9	7.9	15.7	50.1	20.3	9.8
Race												
White	31.6	44.1	15.4	7.2	27.0	49.2	14.0	6.2	16.4	49.2	22.1	9.5
Black	18.1	41.9	24.8	15.2	15.2	44.8	20.0	18.1	15.4	40.4	17.3	26.9
Hispanic	33.8	48.6	12.2	5.4	21.6	45.9	14.9	9.5	18.9	48.6	16.2	9.5
Age												
18 to 29 years	25.7	41.9	17.1	13.5	23.0	41.9	18.5	13.5	15.4	42.1	24.4	16.3
30 to 39 years	24.8	50.0	16.9	7.2	21.7	56.0	14.1	5.1	13.7	54.5	19.9	9.4
40 to 59 years	32.2	44.2	14.2	8.2	25.5	50.0	14.2	7.5	15.5	48.4	22.5	12.0
60 years and older	43.4	35.8	15.0	2.9	36.2	38.5	13.2	4.0	25.4	44.5	16.8	5.8
Education												
College graduate	27.9	46.8	17.5	6.1	24.3	52.5	13.2	7.1	13.7	53.2	23.4	7.9
Some college	30.2	42.1	17.5	8.4	25.5	45.1	17.5	7.0	14.0	45.8	26.2	11.5
High school graduate	31.1	45.5	12.0	10.5	25.1	49.2	14.4	8.6	19.7	47.4	16.0	14.2
Less than high school graduate	35.3	36.3	18.6	6.9	30.0	40.0	14.0	8.0	23.8	41.6	14.9	11.9
Income												
Over \$60,000	28.5	44.6	19.4	7.0	25.3	54.3	13.4	5.9	12.3	46.0	26.2	14.4
Between \$30,000 and \$60,000	28.3	47.6	16.9	6.1	24.3	51.7	15.7	5.8	16.0	53.6	18.5	9.7
Between \$15,000 and \$29,999	28.9	43.1	15.9	8.8	25.9	40.2	18.8	9.6	18.4	47.3	23.8	8.4
Less than \$15,000	41.0	35.0	11.1	11.1	29.4	45.4	11.8	7.6	24.2	35.8	16.7	15.8
<u>Community</u>												
Urban	28.2	43.6	19.9	8.3	22.3	49.0	17.2	8.9	17.7	39.9	22.8	16.5
Suburban	31.3	45.7	15.5	4.9	28.8	49.2	12.9	4.9	14.9	51.5	21.8	9.5
Small city	30.9	47.9	10.1	10.1	22.3	53.2	9.0	11.2	16.5	51.6	18.1	11.7
Rural/small town	30.7	40.9	17.6	8.9	26.8	43.8	18.4	7.1	17.8	46.9	21.7	9.7
Region												
Northeast	29.0	42.6	20.5	6.8	24.4	46.0	17.6	6.8	18.2	48.9	19.9	10.2
Midwest	32.1	43.9	13.5	10.5	23.2	54.0	11.4	8.9	19.0	47.3	19.0	11.4
South	27.8	45.3	17.8	7.4	26.1	44.7	18.1	6.6	16.6	45.3	22.3	12.3
West	32.6	43.8	13.2	7.4	27.3	48.8	11.6	9.1	13.2	52.1	22.3	10.3
Politics												
Republican	30.0	46.4	14.3	7.8	27.9	48.3	14.6	5.1	12.9	55.4	18.0	11.6
Democrat	28.7	46.2	17.6	6.5	24.6	47.7	16.0	8.5	15.7	47.9	21.8	11.1
Independent/other	31.3	41.6	15.6	9.8	24.7	49.2	14.4	8.2	18.8	43.0	23.9	11.7

Note: The National Opinion Survey on Crime and Justice - 1995 was designed and commis-Source: Table constructed by SOURCEBOOK staff from data provided by the Survey sioned by the College of Criminal Justice's Survey Research Program at Sam Houston State Research Program, College of Criminal Justice, Sam Houston State University. University. The data were collected by the Public Policy Research Institute of Texas A & M

University through telephone interviews conducted from June 2 to June 26, 1995. A total of

1,005 interviews were conducted from a stratified random sample of all working telephone

numbers in the United States. The data presented are weighted estimates adjusted according to U.S. Bureau of the Census racial/ethnic group and gender population figures for 1990. The "don't know" and "refused" categories have been omitted; therefore percents may not sum to 100.

Public attitudes toward crime and criminal justice-related topics 127

PAGE 128 INTENTIONALLY BLANK.

Reported confidence in ability of police to protect from violent crime

By demographic characteristics, United States, 1995

Question: "How much confidence do you have in the ability of the police to protect you from violent crime?"

	A great	Quite	Not very	None
	deal	a lot	much	at all
National	20%	30%	39%	9%
Sex				
Male	18	29	39	12
Female	22	32	38	7
Race				
White	21	31	38	9
Nonwhite ^a	15	25	45	13
Black	16	22	46	14
Age				
18 to 29 years	21	33	31	15
30 to 49 years	13	31	47	8
50 to 64 years	26	24	38	9
65 years and older	32	32	27	6
Education				
College post graduate	16	33	43	7
College graduate	14	35	44	6
Some college	21	32	37	10
No college	23	27	37	11
Income				
\$75,000 and over	13	31	46	9
\$50,000 and over ^b	14	34	41	10
\$30,000 to \$49,999	16	32	43	9
\$20,000 to \$29,999	21	30	40	9
Under \$20,000	28	25	36	8
Community				
Urban area	19	29	40	11
Suburban area	18	33	39	8
Rural area	25	28	36	10
Region				
East	21	29	38	10
Midwest	24	32	35	9
South	20	31	38	9
West	16	28	46	9
Politics				
Republican	24	35	33	7
Democrat	21	29	40	8
Independent	17	28	41	12

Note: The "don't know/refused" category has been omitted; therefore percents may not sum to 100. For a discussion of public opinion survey sampling procedures, see Appendix 6.

^aIncludes black respondents. ^bIncludes \$75,000 and over category.

Source: Table constructed by SOURCEBOOK staff from data provided by The Gallup Organization, Inc. Reprinted by permission.

Attitudes toward a police officer striking an adult male citizen

By demographic characteristics, United States, selected years 1973-96

Question: "Are there any situations you can imagine in which you would approve of a policeman striking an adult male citizen?"

	19	73	19	75	19	76	19	78	19	80	19	83	19	84	19	86	19	87
	Yes	No																
National	73%	25%	73%	23%	76%	20%	76%	20%	73%	24%	78%	20%	69%	28%	72%	25%	73%	23%
Sex																		
Male	75	22	77	20	81	17	82	16	80	18	83	15	75	23	80	17	80	17
Female	71	28	70	26	72	22	72	23	68	29	73	23	65	32	66	31	67	27
Race																		
White	77	21	77	20	79	18	80	17	76	21	80	17	73	25	76	22	76	20
Black/other	42	54	46	47	48	44	48	45	45	49	59	37	50	46	49	46	56	35
Age																		
18 to 20 years	55	45	70	27	78	20	67	30	71	29	78	22	71	27	60	38	71	29
21 to 29 years	76	22	75	22	78	20	79	19	76	23	81	17	72	26	74	25	73	24
30 to 49 years	76	23	79	18	79	17	79	18	79	20	81	17	75	24	78	21	77	19
50 years and older	70	26	68	27	73	23	73	21	66	28	72	24	62	34	65	30	68	26
Education ^a																		
College	84	14	86	13	85	13	85	12	82	17	87	11	79	20	85	14	83	14
High school graduate	72	27	71	26	76	20	76	21	73	24	75	23	67	31	67	31	70	26
Less than high school graduate	56	38	58	35	62	33	59	33	52	41	56	36	46	46	51	38	48	39
Income																		
\$50,000 and over	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х
\$30,000 to \$49,999	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	х	Х	х
\$20,000 to \$29,999	Х	х	х	х	х	х	х	х	х	х	х	Х	х	х	х	х	х	Х
Under \$20,000	Х	Х	Х	Х	х	Х	Х	х	Х	Х	Х	Х	х	Х	Х	Х	Х	х
Occupation																		
Professional/business	83	16	84	14	84	14	86	11	83	15	85	13	76	22	83	15	86	12
Clerical	80	18	77	20	78	18	79	19	78	21	83	16	74	26	70	28	66	29
Manual	66	32	66	30	73	24	72	25	67	29	71	26	64	32	66	30	65	29
Farmer	69	22	63	27	70	28	79	8	70	24	92	3	61	29	63	34	78	15
Region																		
Northeast	68	31	74	24	75	22	74	25	74	24	77	20	65	34	68	28	71	26
Midwest	72	25	77	21	78	18	80	18	70	26	76	22	70	26	72	26	72	24
South	73	25	71	24	74	20	74	21	71	26	77	20	67	30	70	26	71	23
West	79	19	70	26	78	20	80	16	79	18	84	14	76	23	78	21	79	17
Religion																		
Protestant	74	24	73	22	77	19	75	21	74	22	78	19	70	27	73	24	74	22
Catholic	70	27	71	27	74	23	76	21	70	28	75	22	66	32	68	30	68	27
Jewish	71	26	91	4	70	30	72	24	81	16	83	12	67	26	76	18	80	15
None	69	30	76	23	82	16	85	13	70	26	80	18	74	25	76	22	75	21
Politics																		
Republican	76	22	76	19	79	17	76	20	78	20	86	13	74	24	78	19	82	16
Democrat	67	31	67	29	72	24	73	23	67	29	72	26	62	35	68	30	66	29
Independent	79	19	78	19	79	17	80	18	75	22	79	17	73	25	73	24	74	21

Note: The "not sure" category has been omitted; therefore percents may not sum to 100. For a discussion of public opinion survey sampling procedures, see Appendix 6.

Source: National Opinion Research Center, "General Social Surveys, 1972-94," "General Social Surveys, 1996," Storrs, CT: The Roper Center for Public Opinion Research, University of Connecticut. (Machine-readable data files.) Table constructed by SOURCE-BOOK staff.

^aIn 1996, education categories were revised slightly and therefore are not directly comparable to data presented for prior years.

198	38	198	39	199	90	199	91	199	93	199	94	199	96
Yes	No												
73%	23%	70%	24%	70%	25%	66%	30%	73%	22%	71%	26%	67%	29%
77	20	77	18	77	19	71	27	81	16	80	18	75	21
69	25	66	28	64	30	62	33	68	27	64	32	60	35
77	19	74	21	73	22	70	26	77	19	76	22	71	25
51	41	51	40	52	41	44	51	51	40	48	46	47	45
74	26	69	31	59	36	53	42	69	28	56	38	50	46
70	26	73	21	74	24	68	30	80	19	70	27	68	28
79	18	74	20	74	23	72	27	75	22	76	22	70	26
68	26	65	28	63	28	58	34	68	24	66	30	63	31
78	19	78	17	78	19	73	24	79	18	79	19	74	23
73	23	66	30	64	30	64	32	70	26	66	32	62	33
50	36	53	34	48	33	36	54	55	33	52	40	52	39
X	x	X	x	x	X	X	x	83	16	81	18	76	22
X	x	X	x	x	X	X	x	74	23	80	18	71	27
X	x	X	x	x	X	X	x	77	20	69	28	68	28
X	x	X	x	x	X	X	x	65	29	60	35	57	37
79	19	80	14	80	18	75	23	79	17	80	18	75	21
77	19	70	26	73	24	66	30	74	22	67	30	60	36
69	26	64	30	64	29	60	35	72	24	68	29	66	30
62	25	75	12	50	38	55	35	47	47	70	20	58	37
68	29	62	30	68	24	63	34	71	25	65	32	57	38
70	24	79	19	69	26	66	30	67	27	71	26	69	27
75	19	71	20	67	27	65	31	77	18	71	26	66	29
77	20	66	29	76	20	70	26	76	20	78	20	76	21
74	21	73	22	70	25	67	28	74	21	70	27	69	26
66	29	66	28	67	27	62	34	67	27	71	25	62	35
91	4	75	19	71	29	68	32	79	21	76	22	61	37
78	20	68	25	74	19	65	34	78	21	77	22	70	26
75	21	76	18	76	19	72	25	78	17	77	20	78	18
69	26	69	26	64	30	58	37	65	29	66	30	60	36
75	20	66	26	70	25	68	28	76	20	71	26	66	30

Attitudes toward a police officer striking a citizen under certain circumstances

United States, selected years 1973-96

Question: "Would you approve of a policeman striking a citizen who. . ."

	Was attac policeman wit		Was atter escape from		Had said and obsce to the pol	ne things	Was being in a murd	
	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No
1973	97%	3%	87%	12%	22%	76%	8%	90%
1975	98	2	86	11	19	77	8	90
1976	94	5	78	18	20	77	8	90
1978	93	6	75	22	18	80	8	89
1980	94	4	76	20	14	84	8	90
1983	92	7	75	21	15	83	9	89
1984	92	6	73	23	12	86	9	89
1986	94	5	72	24	14	85	9	90
1987	92	7	77	18	11	86	10	87
1988	92	6	76	19	12	86	8	89
1989	94	5	76	20	11	87	8	90
1990	92	6	74	21	12	84	11	86
1991	90	8	69	26	9	89	6	92
1993	92	6	73	23	7	91	7	90
1994	93	6	75	21	9	90	7	92
1996	91	7	68	27	7	92	5	94

Note: In 1973 and 1975 these data were based on a subsample of respondents who answered "yes" or "not sure" to the question presented in table 2.24. Since 1976, all survey respondents were asked the above questions. The "not sure" category has been omitted; therefore percents may not sum to 100. For a discussion of public opinion survey sampling procedures, see Appendix 6.

Source: National Opinion Research Center, "General Social Surveys, 1972-94," "General Social Surveys, 1996," Storrs, CT: The Roper Center for Public Opinion Research, University of Connecticut. (Machine-readable data files.) Table constructed by SOURCEBOOK staff.

Attitudes toward a police officer striking a citizen under certain circumstances

By demographic characteristics, United States, 1996

Question: "Would you approve of a policeman striking a citizen who . . . "

	Was attacking the policeman with his fists?			Was attempting to es- cape from custody?		vulgar ne things iceman?	Was being in a murd	
-	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No
National	91%	7%	68%	27%	7%	92%	5%	94%
Sex								
Male	94	4	75	22	10	89	5	93
Female	89	9	62	30	5	94	4	94
Race								
White	92	6	72	24	8	92	5	94
Black/other	86	12	51	41	6	92	5	92
Age								
18 to 20 years	91	8	62	30	8	92	4	94
21 to 29 years	91	7	69	27	5	94	2	97
30 to 49 years	92	7	68	27	6	94	5	94
50 years and older	91	7	69	26	10	88	6	91
Education ^a								
College	92	7	72	24	5	94	3	96
High school graduate	90	8	65	29	9	90	6	92
Less than high school graduate	90	7	61	33	11	86	8	88
Income								
\$50,000 and over	95	4	76	20	6	93	4	95
\$30,000 to \$49,999	91	8	67	29	6	94	4	96
\$20,000 to \$29,999	92	7	71	24	8	91	5	94
Under \$20,000	89	10	62	33	8	90	6	91
Occupation								
Professional/business	93	7	73	22	7	92	4	95
Clerical	89	10	64	31	6	93	4	95
Manual	91	7	67	28	8	90	5	92
Farmer	95	5	66	29	3	95	0	100
Region								
Northeast	87	12	63	32	7	93	7	92
Midwest	92	8	71	26	8	92	5	94
South	93	6	65	28	8	90	4	93
West	93	6	74	21	6	93	3	96
Religion								
Protestant	92	6	70	25	8	91	5	94
Catholic	89	9	67	30	9	90	6	92
Jewish	94	6	74	20	6	94	6	94
None	89	10	64	31	4	95	2	97
Politics								
Republican	96	4	80	17	9	90	6	93
Democrat	89	10	62	32	6	92	5	92
Independent	90	8	66	29	7	91	4	95

Note: The "not sure" category has been omitted; therefore percents may not sum to 100. For a discussion of public opinion survey sampling procedures, see Appendix 6.

^aIn 1996, education categories were revised slightly and therefore are not directly comparable to previous editions of SOURCEBOOK.

Source: National Opinion Research Center, "General Social Surveys, 1996," Storrs, CT: The Roper Center for Public Opinion Research, University of Connecticut. (Machine-readable data file.) Table constructed by SOURCEBOOK staff.

Attitudes toward l	evel of crime in th	e United States		
United States, sele	cted years 1989-97	,		
Question: "Is there	more crime in the l	J.S. than there wa	is a year ago, or I	ess?"
	More	Less	Same ^a	No opinion
1989	84%	5%	5%	6%
1990	84	3	7	6
1992	89	3	4	4
1996	71	15	8	6
1997	64	25	6	5
Note: For a discuss	ion of public opinio	n survey sampling	g procedures, see	Appendix 6.
^a Response volunte	ered.			
	p. 37; and data pro			Inc. Table
				Inc. Table
				Inc. Table
Poll, August 1996), adapted by SOURC				Inc. Table
adapted by SOURC				Inc. Table
adapted by SOURC				Inc. Table
rable 2.28	EBOOK staff. Rep	printed by permiss		Inc. Table
adapted by SOURC	EBOOK staff. Rep	vrinted by permiss		Inc. Table
adapted by SOURC Fable 2.28 Attitudes toward h	EBOOK staff. Rep evel of crime in ov cted years 1972-97	wn area	ion.	
adapted by SOURC Table 2.28 Attitudes toward h Jnited States, selec	EBOOK staff. Rep evel of crime in ov cted years 1972-97	wn area	ion.	
adapted by SOURC Table 2.28 Attitudes toward le	EBOOK staff. Rep evel of crime in ov cted years 1972-97	wn area	ion.	
	EBOOK staff. Rep evel of crime in ov cted years 1972-97 more crime in your	wn area area than there v	vas a year ago, ou	less?"
adapted by SOURC Table 2.28 Attitudes toward I United States, selec Question: "Is there	EBOOK staff. Rep evel of crime in ov cted years 1972-97 more crime in your More	wn area va area than there v Less	ion. vas a year ago, or Same ^b	· less?" No opinion
Adapted by SOURC Table 2.28 Attitudes toward I Jnited States, seler Question: "Is there 1972 1975	EBOOK staff. Rep evel of crime in ou cted years 1972-97 more crime in your More 51%	wn area ^{ya} area than there v Less 10%	vas a year ago, or Same ^b 27%	less?" No opinion 12%
adapted by SOURC Table 2.28 Attitudes toward I United States, selec Question: "Is there 1972 1975 1977	EBOOK staff. Rep evel of crime in or cted years 1972-97 more crime in your <u>More</u> 51% 50	wn area wa area than there w Less 10% 12	vas a year ago, or Same ^b 27% 29	less?" No opinion 12% 9
Adapted by SOURC Fable 2.28 Attitudes toward I Jnited States, selec Question: "Is there 1972 1977 1977 1981	evel of crime in ov cted years 1972-97 more crime in your <u>More</u> 51% 50 43	wn area ya a carea than there v Less 10% 12 17	vas a year ago, or Same ^b 27% 29 32	less?" No opinion 12% 9 8
Adapted by SOURC Fable 2.28 Attitudes toward I Jnited States, seler Question: "Is there 1972 1975 1977 1981	EEBOOK staff. Rep evel of crime in ov cted years 1972-97 more crime in your More 51% 50 43 54	wn area ya a area than there v Less 10% 12 17 8	vas a year ago, or Same ^b 27% 29 32 29	• less?" No opinion 12% 9 8 9
adapted by SOURC Table 2.28 Attitudes toward I United States, seler Question: "Is there	evel of crime in or cted years 1972-97 more crime in your <u>More</u> 51% 50 43 54 37	wn area _r a area than there w Less 10% 12 17 8 17	vas a year ago, or Same ^b 27% 29 32 29 36	Vo opinion 12% 9 8 9 10
Adapted by SOURC Table 2.28 Attitudes toward In Question: "Is there 1972 1975 1977 1981 1983 January 1989 June 1989	evel of crime in or cted years 1972-97 more crime in your <u>More</u> 51% 50 43 54 37 47	wn area wa area than there v Less 10% 12 17 8 17 21	/as a year ago, or Same ^b 27% 29 32 29 36 27	less?" No opinion 12% 9 8 9 10 5
adapted by SOURC Table 2.28 Attitudes toward In Junited States, seler Question: "Is there 1972 1975 1977 1981 1983 January 1989	evel of crime in ov cted years 1972-97 more crime in your <u>More</u> 51% 50 43 54 37 47 53	wn area va a a Less 10% 12 17 8 17 8 17 21 18	vas a year ago, ou Same ^b 27% 29 32 29 36 27 22	· less?" No opinion 12% 9 8 9 10 5 7
Adapted by SOURC Table 2.28 Attitudes toward I United States, selec Question: "Is there 1975 1975 1977 1975 1977 1981 1979 1983 January 1989 1990	evel of crime in or cted years 1972-97 more crime in your <u>More</u> 51% 50 43 54 37 47 53 51	wn area va a area than there v Less 10% 12 17 8 17 8 17 18 18 18	vas a year ago, or Same ^b 27% 29 32 29 36 27 22 22 24	No opinion 12% 9 8 9 10 5 7 8

 $^{\rm a}{\rm Percents}$ may not add to 100 because of rounding. $^{\rm b}{\rm Response}$ volunteered.

Source: George Gallup, Jr., *The Gallup Poll Monthly*, No. 371 (Princeton, NJ: The Gallup Poll, August 1996), p. 37; and data provided by The Gallup Organization, Inc. Table adapted by SOURCEBOOK staff. Reprinted by permission.

Table 2.29

Respondents reporting fear of walking alone and feeling unsafe at home at night

United States, selected years 1965-97

Question: "Is there any area near where you live--that is, within a mile--where you would be afraid to walk alone at night? How about when you're at home at night--do you feel safe and secure, or not?"

	Afraid to walk alone at night	Feel unsafe at home at night	
1965	34%	NA	
1967	31	NA	
1972	42	17%	
1975	45	20	
1977	45	15	
1981	45	16	
1983	45	16	
1989	43	10	
1990	40	10	
1992	44	11	
1993	43	NA	
1996	39	9	
1997	38	9	

Note: For a discussion of public opinion survey sampling procedures, see Appendix 6.

Source: George Gallup, Jr., *The Gallup Poll Monthly*, No. 318, pp. 51, 52; No. 339, p. 20; No. 371, p. 37 (Princeton, NJ: The Gallup Poll); and data provided by The Gallup Organization, Inc. Table adapted by SOURCEBOOK staff. Reprinted by permission.

Table 2.30

Respondents' feelings of safety alone at night at home

By demographic characteristics, United States, 1996

Question: "How safe do you feel being alone at night in your home? Do you feel very safe, somewhat safe, somewhat unsafe or very unsafe?"

	Very safe	Somewhat safe	Somewhat unsafe	Very unsafe
National	47%	36%	12%	5%
Sex				
Male	56	31	9	4
Female	38	40	15	7
Race				
White	50	34	12	4
Black	31	50	8	11
Age				
18 to 29 years	42	34	15	9
30 to 44 years	52	34	10	4
45 to 64 years	45	38	11	6
65 years and older	46	39	12	3
Education				
College graduate	54	35	9	2
Some college	48	38	10	3
No college	44	34	14	8
Income				
More than \$60,000	60	29	10	1
\$40,000 to \$60,000	48	36	10	6
\$20,000 to \$39,999	44	35	15	5
Less than \$20,000	39	39	12	10
Community				
City	43	35	16	6
Suburb	46	37	11	6
Small town	42	39	11	8
Rural	57	30	10	2
Region				
East	47	40	6	7
Midwest	54	31	11	4
South	44	33	15	8
West	41	39	17	3
Politics				
Republican	51	29	17	3
Democrat	44	41	9	6
Independent	46	37	10	7

Note: These data are from a nationwide telephone survey of 1,572 adults age 18 and older, including 1,290 registered voters, conducted by the Los Angeles Times Poll Aug. 3-6, 1996. Telephone numbers were generated from a computer list that includes all telephone exchanges in the Nation. Random-digit dialing techniques were used to ensure that both listed and unlisted residences had an opportunity to be contacted. Results were adjusted to conform with U.S. Bureau of the Census figures on characteristics such as sex, race, age, education, region, and political party identification. The "not sure" and "refused" categories have been omitted; therefore percents may not sum to 100.

Source: Table constructed by SOURCEBOOK staff from data provided by the Los Angeles Times Poll. Reprinted by permission.

Table 2.31					
Respondents' feelings of	safety walki	ing alone at	night in owr	n neighborho	od
By demographic characteris	tics, United	States, 1996			
Question: "How do you feel	when you ar	e out alone a	at night walkir	ng in your neig	hborhood?
Do you feel very	safe, or fairly	/ safe, or fair	ly unsafe, or	very unsafe?"	
	Very	Fairly	Fairly	Vani	Not
	safe	Fairly safe	Fairly unsafe	Very unsafe	sure
National	28%	40%	13%	16%	2%
Sex					
Male	40	41	10	8	1
Female	16	39	17	23	3
Race					
White	31	40	13	13	2
Black	13	32	22	27	5
Age					
18 to 29 years	25	44	14	17	0
30 to 44 years	31	41	14	12	1
45 to 64 years	32	40	12	12	2
65 years and older	21	36	12	21	7
F 1 (1)					
Education College graduate	35	45	11	7	1
Some college	29	43 44	15	10	1
No college	25	36	14	21	3
i to conogo	20	00			0
Income					
More than \$60,000	44	41	10	4	1
\$40,000 to \$60,000	29	44	13	14	0
\$20,000 to \$39,999	23	43	13	18	2
Less than \$20,000	21	29	17	22	7
Community					
City	19	39	17	23	2
Suburb	30	44	14	9	2
Small town	29	37	13	17	3
Rural	37	40	7	11	1
Region					
East	26	39	13	17	3
Midwest	35	36	13	15	1
South	30	36	13	17	3
West	18	51	16	13	2
Politics					
Republican	30	44	15	8	1
Democrat	24 29	37 41	14 11	21 16	3 2
Independent	29 The line for a s	41		10	۷.

Note: See Note, table 2.30. The "refused" category has been omitted; therefore percents may not sum to 100.

Source: Table constructed by SOURCEBOOK staff from data provided by the Los Angeles Times Poll. Reprinted by permission. Table 2.32

	Description of a second s
1	Respondents reporting whether they fear walking alone at night near their home
1	

By demographic characteristics, United States, 1996

Question: "Is there any area within a mile of your home where you would be afraid to walk alone at night?"

alone at hight?"			
	Yes	No	
National	39.4%	59.8%	
Sex			
Male	30.3	69.1	
Female	47.9	51.1	
Race			
White	38.1	61.1	
Black	50.1	49.9	
Nonwhite ^a	47.1	52.6	
Age			
18 to 29 years	39.1	60.4	
30 to 49 years	34.8	64.9	
50 to 64 years	42.8	56.0	
65 years and older	47.9	49.7	
Education			
College graduate	35.0	64.4	
Some college	39.8	60.0	
High school graduate	36.4	62.2	
Less than high school graduate	51.4	47.7	
Family income			
\$75,000 and over	38.7	61.3	
\$50,000 to \$74,999	25.2	73.7	
\$30,000 to \$49,999	38.2	61.4	
\$20,000 to \$29,999	40.9	57.9	
Under \$20,000	44.5	54.3	
Community			
Urban area	52.0	47.2	
Suburban area	36.1	63.1	
Rural area	30.6	68.3	
Region			
Northeast	38.8	60.8	
Midwest	33.6	64.5	
South	41.9	57.3	
West	42.8	57.2	

Note: These data are derived from telephone interviews of a nationwide sample of 1,204 adults, 18 years of age and older. The interviews were conducted Nov. 22-Dec. 1, 1996 by Princeton Survey Research Associates for The Pew Research Center for The People & The Press. The "don't know/refused" category has been omitted; therefore percents may not sum to 100. For a discussion of public opinion survey sampling procedures, see Appendix 6.

^aIncludes black respondents.

Source: Table constructed by SOURCEBOOK staff from data provided by The Pew Research Center for The People & The Press. Reprinted by permission.

Respondents reporting whether they feel afraid to walk alone at night in their own neighborhood

By demographic characteristics, United States, selected years 1973-96

Question: "Is there any area right around here--that is, within a mile--where you would be afraid to walk alone at night?"

	19	73	19	74	19	76	19	77	19	80	19	32	19	84	19	85	19	87
	Yes	No																
National	41%	59%	45%	55%	44%	56%	45%	54%	43%	56%	47%	53%	42%	57%	40%	59%	38%	51%
Sex																		
Male	20	80	24	76	23	77	23	76	21	79	28	72	19	81	21	78	17	83
Female	59	40	63	36	61	39	63	37	60	39	60	39	57	41	56	43	55	44
Race																		
White	39	61	43	57	44	56	43	57	42	58	45	55	39	60	38	62	36	63
Black/other	54	45	60	40	48	51	59	40	52	47	61	39	54	43	60	39	50	50
Age																		
18 to 20 years	33	67	43	55	45	55	45	55	45	54	28	72	27	73	24	76	38	62
21 to 29 years	40	59	44	56	40	60	39	60	41	59	47	52	39	59	40	59	40	59
30 to 49 years	40	60	40	59	40	60	41	59	39	60	43	57	37	62	35	64	34	66
50 years and older	43	57	50	50	49	51	51	48	47	52	50	49	49	49	46	53	43	56
Education ^a																		
College	35	64	42	57	36	64	41	58	42	58	49	50	40	59	36	63	38	62
High school graduate	44	55	44	55	47	52	46	53	44	55	46	54	42	57	41	58	39	61
Less than high school graduate	41	58	51	49	48	52	47	52	42	57	43	56	46	51	51	48	39	59
Income																		
\$50,000 and over	Х	х	Х	х	х	х	х	х	х	х	х	Х	Х	х	Х	Х	Х	Х
\$30,000 to \$49,999	Х	х	Х	х	х	х	х	х	х	х	х	х	Х	х	х	Х	Х	Х
\$20,000 to \$29,999	Х	Х	Х	х	Х	х	Х	х	Х	Х	Х	Х	х	х	х	Х	Х	х
Under \$20,000	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х
Occupation																		
Professional/business	38	62	39	60	40	60	40	60	42	58	50	50	40	59	36	63	37	63
Clerical	55	44	59	40	56	43	60	39	53	46	57	43	51	48	46	53	47	53
Manual	41	58	40	60	40	60	41	59	38	62	39	60	39	60	41	58	36	63
Farmer	26	72	28	72	14	84	17	83	15	82	8	92	13	87	19	81	18	82
Region																		
Northeast	47	52	47	53	54	46	53	47	47	53	46	54	44	55	44	55	34	66
Midwest	40	60	39	60	34	66	36	63	33	66	40	60	35	64	30	68	37	63
South	39	61	47	53	42	58	47	52	44	55	50	50	48	51	44	56	42	58
West	38	61	48	51	50	50	46	54	52	48	53	47	39	61	44	55	40	60
Religion																		
Protestant	41	59	43	56	43	57	45	55	43	56	45	54	44	55	41	58	37	63
Catholic	43	56	50	48	46	54	45	54	45	55	49	51	40	58	39	60	43	56
Jewish	44	56	50	50	63	37	60	40	50	50	81	19	59	41	53	47	47	53
None	32	68	38	62	43	57	40	59	38	62	40	60	22	77	36	64	36	64
Politics																		
Republican	35	65	48	52	42	57	44	56	41	57	43	57	42	56	36	63	35	65
Democrat	46	53	45	54	49	50	48	52	46	54	51	49	46	52	47	52	40	60
Independent	39	61	42	58	39	61	41	58	41	59	44	56	37	62	35	63	39	60

Note: The "don't know" category has been omitted; therefore percents may not sum to 100. For a discussion of public opinion survey sampling procedures, see Appendix 6.

Source: National Opinion Research Center, "General Social Surveys, 1972-94," "General Social Surveys, 1996," Storrs, CT: The Roper Center for Public Opinion Research, University of Connecticut. (Machine-readable data files.) Table constructed by SOURCE-BOOK staff.

^aIn 1996, education categories were revised slightly and therefore are not directly comparable to data presented for prior years.

19	88	19	89	19	90	19	91	19	1993 1994		19	96	
Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No								
40%	59%	40%	60%	41%	58%	43%	56%	43%	57%	47%	52%	42%	57%
16	83	19	80	19	81	24	76	26	73	30	69	26	74
56	42	55	45	58	41	58	41	55	44	60	39	55	44
39 45	60 53	38 52	62 46	39 50	60 48	41 56	59 44	40 58	60 42	45 56	54 43	40 51	59 48
40	00	02	40	00	40	00		00	-12	00	40	01	40
27 38	73 61	47 42	53 58	43 33	57 65	52 40	48 60	31 40	66 60	44 49	56 50	45 39	55 60
32 51	67 48	33 45	67 54	38 48	62 51	39 49	61 49	38 51	62 48	43 51	57 47	40 45	59 54
36 41	62 58	40 38	60 62	39 41	60 58	43 42	56 58	42 44	58 56	45 48	54 51	40 43	59 56
51	49	45	55	51	48	50	50	45	55	53	47	44	54
x x	39 37	61 63	41 42	59 58	34 42	66 58							
Х	Х	х	х	х	х	х	х	43	57	46	53	43	57
х	Х	х	х	х	х	х	х	51	49	54	45	48	50
37 54	62 46	41 49	59 51	36 56	63 42	39 51	61 48	44 43	56 57	44 58	55 41	39 51	60 47
35	64	33	67	38	61	41	59	42	58	42	57	40	60
18	82	20	80	28	72	24	76	24	76	36	64	27	70
41 33	57 66	35 39	65 61	40 36	59 64	36 42	62 57	44 34	56 66	44 39	56 60	41 39	58 60
44	56	42	58	46	52	43	57	46	54	54	45	44	55
43	57	41	59	41	58	51	49	48	52	46	53	42	57
42 38	57 60	41 38	58 62	43 38	56 61	45 36	54 63	44 41	56 59	48 45	52 54	44 36	55 63
71	24	53	47	61	39	67	33	65	35	58	39	51	46
22	77	34	66	32	64	39	61	33	66	41	58	34	65
41 44	59 56	37 46	62 54	41 47	58 52	36 48	63 51	42 48	58 51	45 51	54 49	36 51	64 48
35	63	33	67	35	52 64	40	56	39	61	44	49 55	38	40 61

Table 2.34		where where the second is a second			Table 2.35	an atracta la		arkaad in neet yeer				
Attitudes toward crime rate in	Ū		year		Respondents' feelings of safety on streets in own neighborhood in past year By demographic characteristics, United States, 1995							
By demographic characteristics,	United States,	1995										
Question: "In the past year do yo creased, decreased o			our neighborha	od has in-	Question: "In the past year do you feel safer, not as safe or about the same on the stree in your neighborhood?"							
	Increased	Stayed the same	Decreased	Don't know		Safer	Not as safe	About the same				
National	21.6%	62.2%	11.7%	4.4%	National	9.6%	18.1%	70.3%				
Sex					Sex							
Male	19.4	63.8	12.4	4.3	Male	10.6	16.8	70.1				
Female	23.6	60.7	11.1	4.4	Female	8.6	19.4	70.4				
Race					Race							
White	21.6	64.6	9.2	4.5	White	9.1	17.2	71.7				
Black	21.0	49.5	9.2 27.6	4.5	Black	10.5	21.9	66.7				
Hispanic	23.0	51.4	17.6	8.1	Hispanic	16.2	18.9	60.8				
Age					Age							
18 to 29 years	17.6	59.9	17.1	5.4	18 to 29 years	10.8	18.4	67.7				
30 to 39 years	23.4	64.0	9.4	3.2	30 to 39 years	9.0	17.3	73.4				
40 to 59 years	22.1	61.5	12.0	4.4	40 to 59 years	10.4	18.2	69.2				
60 years and older	22.4	64.4	7.5	5.2	60 years and older	9.2	20.7	66.7				
Education					Education							
College graduate	20.1	68.5	7.9	3.2	College graduate	8.3	17.6	71.9				
Some college	20.1	61.5	12.6	4.9	Some college	7.7	17.0	73.0				
High school graduate	23.0	60.4	12.6	4.0	High school graduate	10.8	18.8	69.2				
Less than high school graduate	19.6	56.9	17.6	5.9	Less than high school graduate	15.7	20.6	59.8				
Income					Income							
Over \$60,000	16.1	71.0	8.6	4.3	Over \$60,000	8.6	13.4	75.8				
Between \$30,000 and \$60,000	20.3	68.3	10.0	1.4	Between \$30,000 and \$60,000	8.9	17.5	72.3				
Between \$15,000 and \$29,999	26.4	54.4	13.4	5.4	Between \$15,000 and \$29,999	9.6	19.2	70.0				
Less than \$15,000	23.7	50.8	16.1	9.3	Less than \$15,000	13.6	22.9	58.5				
Community					<u>Community</u>							
Urban	22.3	55.4	16.6	5.1	Urban	12.1	21.7	63.7				
	18.3	55.4 64.6	11.8	5.3	Suburban	8.7	14.1	73.4				
Suburban												
Small city	28.0	59.3	10.6	2.1	Small city	9.5	22.8	67.2				
Rural/small town	20.4	65.4	9.7	4.5	Rural/small town	9.1	17.2	72.3				
Region					Region							
Northeast	19.2	67.8	8.5	4.5	Northeast	8.5	14.1	76.8				
Midwest	18.1	62.9	13.5	5.5	Midwest	10.5	17.3	67.9				
South	21.8	62.2	11.5	4.6	South	8.9	21.4	68.3				
West	26.1	57.7	12.9	2.9	West	10.7	17.4	70.2				
Politics					Politics							
Republican	19.0	63.7	11.2	5.8	Republican	5.4	17.3	75.2				
Democrat	22.9	61.8	13.9	5.8 1.4	Democrat	9.6	21.8	67.9				
Independent/other	23.6	60.5	10.9	5.0	Independent/other	13.3	17.0	67.1				

Source: Table constructed by SOURCEBOOK staff from data provided by the Survey Re-search Program, College of Criminal Justice, Sam Houston State University.

Note: See Note, table 2.22. The "don't know" category has been omitted; therefore percents may not sum to 100.

Source: Table constructed by SOURCEBOOK staff from data provided by the Survey Re-search Program, College of Criminal Justice, Sam Houston State University.

Attitudes toward crime rate in own area and uneasiness on the streets

United States, selected years 1966-93

		he past year,			"Compared to a year ago, do you					
		e rate in your			personally feel more uneasy on					
		easing, decrea			tr		ess uneasy, or	ſ		
	remain	ed the same		<u> </u>		different?"				
			Remained	Not	More	Less	Not much	Not		
	Increasing	Decreasing	same	sure	uneasy	uneasy	different	sure		
1966	NA	NA	NA	NA	49%	3%	44%	4%		
1967	46%	4%	43%	7%	NA	NA	NA	NA		
1968	NA	NA	NA	NA	53	4	42	1		
1969	NA	NA	NA	NA	55	4	39	2		
1970	62	3	30	5	NA	NA	NA	NA		
1971	NA	NA	NA	NA	55	5	39	1		
1973	48	7	40	5	NA	NA	NA	NA		
1975	70	3	24	3	55	2	42	1		
1977	NA	NA	NA	NA	49	4	46	1		
1978	46	7	42	5	40	5	53	2		
1981	68	4	27	1	48	6	45	1		
1982	59	6	34	1	41	7	51	1		
1983	41	15	43	1	26	9	63	2		
1984	33	21	44	2	24	10	65	1		
1985	40	17	42	1	32	7	60	1		
1991	55	5	39	1	38	5	57	(a)		
1993	54	5	39	2	42	5	51	2		

Note: For a discussion of public opinion survey sampling procedures, see Appendix 6.

^aLess than 0.5%.

Source: Louis Harris, *The Harris Poll*, Apr. 14, 1991, p. 2; Aug. 9, 1993, p. 2 (Los Angeles: Creators Syndicate, Inc.). Table adapted by SOURCEBOOK staff. Reprinted by permission.

Table 2.37

Respondents' reported worries about criminal victimization

By race and ethnicity, United States, 1996^a

Question: "I want to ask you how much you worry about each of the following situations. Do you worry very frequently, somewhat frequently, seldom, or never about. . .?"

	Very frequently	Somewhat frequently	Seldom	Never
Getting murdered	10.6%	12.4%	38.4%	38.6%
White	6.3	12.2	41.4	40.1
Black	23.6	17.3	30.9	28.2
Hispanic	32.5	2.6	26.0	39.0
Getting beaten up, knifed, or shot	12.5	20.3	40.2	27.1
White	9.0	20.1	43.2	27.7
Black	25.5	17.3	34.5	22.7
Hispanic	29.5	17.9	29.5	23.1
Yourself or someone in your family				
getting sexually assaulted	18.1	29.0	32.1	20.8
White	14.2	31.1	34.7	20.0
Black	31.8	20.0	22.7	25.5
Hispanic	40.0	17.3	18.7	24.0
Your home being burglarized	20.4	28.9	34.1	16.7
White	17.6	28.9	36.7	16.9
Black	22.7	30.9	31.8	14.5
Hispanic	47.4	19.2	14.1	19.2

Note: See Note, table 2.10.

^aPercents may not add to 100 because of rounding.

Source: Table constructed by SOURCEBOOK staff from data provided by the Survey Research Program, College of Criminal Justice, Sam Houston State University.

Table 2.38		Table 2.39 Teenagers' attitudes toward the worst influence facing today's American youth									
Teenagers' attitudes toward the main reason kids	use drugs										
United States, 1996 ^a		By sex, United States, 1996									
Question: "I'm going to read to you some possible thir using drugs. Please tell me which of the fol kids use drugs?"											
Reason	Percent		Total	Male	Female						
They think they're cool doing drugs	29%	Drugs	21.1%	22.8%	19.5%						
They want to do what their friends do	23	Peer pressure	20.0	19.3	20.8						
It makes them feel good	20	Sex/poor morals	11.9	8.8	15.1						
It relieves stress or boredom	12	Violence	11.9	11.4	12.4						
They have serious personal problems	6	Gangs	11.7	13.8	9.7						
It's a way of rebelling against being told what to do	5	Crime	4.2	3.7	4.6						
There's alcohol or drug abuse in the family	4	Television	2.9	3.3	2.5						
Nothing at all	(b)	Alcohol	2.5	2.0	2.9						
Don't know/no response	1	Parents/elders	2.1	1.3	2.9						
Note: See Note, table 2.5.		Political leaders	1.9	2.4	1.5						
Note. See Note, table 2.5.		Cigarettes	1.8	2.2	1.5						
^a Descents may not odd to 100 because of rounding		Unemployment	1.4	1.3	1.3						
^a Percents may not add to 100 because of rounding. ^b Less than 0.5%.		Poverty	0.6	0.0	1.3						
Less than 0.5%.		Pornography	0.4	0.9	0.0						
Source: National Center on Addiction and Substance	Abuse at Columbia University Na-	Music	0.4	0.2	0.6						
tional Survey of American Attitudes on Substance		Movies	0.3	0.4	0.2						

tional Survey of American Attitudes on Substance Abuse II: Teens and Their Parents (New York: National Center on Addiction and Substance Abuse at Columbia University, 1996), p. 57. Table adapted by SOURCEBOOK staff. Reprinted by permission.

Note: See Note, table 2.2.

Source: Horatio Alger Association of Distinguished Americans, Inc., *The Mood of American Youth 1996* (Alexandria, VA: Horatio Alger Association of Distinguished Americans, Inc. and National Association of Secondary School Principals, 1996), p. 50. Table adapted by SOURCEBOOK staff. Reprinted by permission.

Table 2.40

Teenagers' and parents' attitudes toward American culture glamorizing certain problem behaviors

United States, 1996

Question: "Do you think American culture -- I mean, movies, music, TV, fashion--glamorizes. . .?"

	Teer	agers	Par	ents
	Does	Does not	Does	Does not
Smoking cigarettes	66%	33%	65%	33%
Drinking alcohol	69	30	84	15
Using illegal drugs	41	57	53	46

Note: See Note, table 2.5. The "don't know/no response" category has been omitted; therefore percents may not sum to 100.

Source: National Center on Addiction and Substance Abuse at Columbia University, National Survey of American Attitudes on Substance Abuse II: Teens and Their Parents (New York: National Center on Addiction and Substance Abuse at Columbia University, 1996), pp. 58, 69. Table adapted by SOURCEBOOK staff. Reprinted by permission.

Attitudes toward problems on which tax dollars should be spent

By sex, race, age, and education, United States, 1996

Question: "I am going to read you a list of concerns that people sometimes name as problems in the United States. After I read each one, please tell me if you think it is extremely important, somewhat important, not very important, or not at all important in terms of where tax dollars should be spent."

(Percent responding "extremely important")

	Reducing violent crime	Reducing illegal drug use among children and adolescents	Educational opportunities for children	Health insurance or low cost health care	Reducing drunk driving	Reducing unemploy- ment	Reducing illegal drug use among adults	Gun control
Total	84%	82%	82%	66%	63%	57%	55%	36%
<u>Sex</u> Male Female	80 87	79 85	79 85	59 72	56 69	49 61	51 62	23 46
<u>Race</u> White Black Other	83 91 86	81 87 86	81 92 86	64 82 74	62 74 56	52 74 71	54 76 59	32 60 50
Age 18 to 25 years 26 to 34 years 35 to 54 years 55 years and older	84 83 82 87	75 83 81 86	88 85 82 78	55 63 66 73	59 61 59 73	49 51 56 60	47 49 57 67	35 34 32 41
Education College graduate Some college High school graduate Less than high school graduate	76 84 88 90	72 84 89 83	44 55 63 63	54 66 74 75	44 64 72 83	44 55 63 63	40 56 69 72	29 31 40 51

Note: These data are from a nationwide telephone survey of 2,016 noninstitutionalized adults aged 18 or older living in telephone households in the contiguous United States conducted by The Gallup Organization, Inc. for the Office of National Drug Control Policy. Source: Executive Office of the President, Office of National Drug Control Policy, *Consult with America: A Look at How Americans View the Country's Drug Problem* (Washington, DC: Office of National Drug Control Policy, 1996), pp. 22-25. Table adapted by SOURCE-BOOK staff.

Table 2.42

Respondents responding too little is spent on selected problems in this country

United States, selected years 1973-96

Question: "We are faced with many problems in this country, none of which can be solved easily or inexpensively. I'm going to name some of the problems, and for each one I'd like you to tell me whether you think we're spending too much money on it, too little money, or about the right amount. First (problem) are we spending too much, too little, or about the right amount on (problem)?"

(Percent responding "too little")

	Halting the rising crime rate	Dealing with drug addiction	Improving the Nation's edu- cation system	Improving the conditions of blacks	Welfare
1973	64%	65%	49%	32%	20%
1974	66	60	50	31	22
1975	65	55	49	27	23
1976	65	58	50	27	13
1977	65	55	48	25	12
1978	64	55	52	24	13
1981	69	59	52	24	13
1982	71	57	56	28	20
1983	67	60	60	29	21
1984	68	63	64	35	24
1985	63	62	60	31	18
1986	64	58	60	34	22
1987	68	65	62	35	21
1988	72	71	66	38	24
1989	73	71	69	36	24
1990	70	64	71	37	22
1991	65	58	67	34	22
1993	71	60	67	36	16
1994	75	60	71	31	13
1996	67	58	68	32	15

Note: For a discussion of public opinion survey sampling procedures, see Appendix 6.

Source: National Opinion Research Center, "General Social Surveys, 1972-94," "General Social Surveys, 1996," Storrs, CT: The Roper Center for Public Opinion Research, University of Connecticut. (Machine-readable data files.) Table constructed by SOURCEBOOK staff.

Attitudes toward the level of spending to halt the rising crime rate

By demographic characteristics, United States, selected years 1982-96

Question: "We are faced with many problems in this country, none of which can be solved easily or inexpensively. I'm going to name some of these problems, and for each one I'd like you to tell me whether you think we're spending too much money on it, too little money, or about the right amount. First (halting the rising crime rate) are we spending too much, too little, or about the right amount on (halting the rising crime rate)?"

		1982			1983			1984			1985			1986			1987	
	Too	About	Too	Тоо	About	Тоо	Тоо	About	Too	Тоо	About	Too	Тоо	About	Тоо	Тоо	About	Тоо
	little	right	much															
National	72%	18%	5%	67%	24%	5%	68%	25%	4%	63%	28%	5%	64%	27%	5%	68%	24%	4%
Sex																		
Male	71	19	7	64	28	6	64	28	6	61	29	7	59	32	6	66	26	4
Female	72	18	4	69	22	4	71	21	3	66	26	4	67	24	4	70	22	4
Race																		
White	71	19	5	66	26	4	67	26	5	63	28	5	63	28	5	68	24	4
Black/other	74	15	7	75	14	7	74	19	4	62	26	9	68	20	4	70	23	5
Age																		
18 to 20 years	89	9	2	51	44	0	67	25	8	80	20	0	75	20	0	68	21	5
21 to 29 years	71	22	5	70	24	4	68	28	3	67	29	2	65	29	4	65	27	5
30 to 49 years	73	19	5	67	25	4	68	24	5	62	30	5	62	30	4	65	26	5
50 years and older	70	18	5	65	22	7	67	24	5	62	26	8	64	24	6	74	19	3
Education ^a																		
College	72	19	6	65	27	4	68	28	3	61	30	4	62	30	3	62	30	5
High school graduate	75	17	4	70	23	4	69	21	6	67	26	5	66	25	6	73	19	4
Less than high school graduate	60	21	6	57	19	13	63	28	2	52	30	15	60	24	6	74	19	3
Income																		
\$50,000 and over	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х
\$30,000 to \$49,999	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х
\$20,000 to \$29,999	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х
Under \$20,000	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х
Occupation																		
Professional/business	73	19	6	66	26	5	62	32	5	63	28	4	60	32	3	62	29	4
Clerical	76	17	4	67	26	3	76	20	2	68	23	5	68	23	5	61	36	3
Manual	70	19	6	67	23	6	68	23	6	62	28	7	65	23	7	75	16	5
Farmer	50	31	12	64	28	0	64	36	0	46	46	4	68	32	0	76	18	0
Region																		
Northeast	72	18	5	68	22	6	75	18	6	60	28	6	63	30	3	63	28	7
Midwest	71	19	5	66	26	3	70	25	2	62	29	6	63	27	4	73	20	3
South	73	18	4	67	22	5	65	26	4	67	24	4	66	22	7	68	22	4
West	70	19	7	65	26	6	62	29	7	60	32	6	62	33	3	67	27	4
Religion																		
Protestant	71	19	5	68	23	5	68	24	4	63	29	6	64	26	4	70	22	5
Catholic	74	18	4	66	25	4	70	24	4	66	24	5	66	27	3	66	30	2
Jewish	78	11	3	70	16	7	67	33	0	76	6	12	52	39	9	60	40	0
None	65	24	8	59	31	5	56	31	11	52	37	2	54	28	14	64	19	7
Politics																		
Republican	69	20	7	67	25	5	63	29	4	62	29	6	61	32	3	63	30	3
Democrat	75	16	4	70	22	5	72	23	3	66	27	4	70	23	4	74	19	4
Independent	69	20	5	64	25	5	68	23	6	61	29	6	59	29	6	64	26	6

Note: The "don't know" category has been omitted; therefore percents may not sum to 100. Read-ers interested in responses to this question for previous years should consult previous editions of SOURCEBOOK. For a discussion of public opinion survey sampling procedures, see Appendix 6.

Source: National Opinion Research Center, "General Social Surveys, 1972-94," "General Social Surveys, 1996," Storrs, CT: The Roper Center for Public Opinion Research, University of Connecticut. (Machine-readable data files.) Table constructed by SOURCEBOOK staff.

^aIn 1996, education categories were revised slightly and therefore are not directly comparable to data presented for prior years.

	1988			1989			1990			1991			1993			1994			1996	
Too	About	Too																		
little	right	much																		
68%	23%	4%	72%	20%	5%	70%	22%	4%	65%	27%	5%	71%	20%	5%	75%	16%	6%	67%	23%	7%
67	25	4	70	20	7	70	22	5	58	33	6	65	26	7	72	19	7	64	26	8
69	22	4	74	19	3	70	22	3	69	23	4	76	16	4	78	14	6	70	21	6
67	24	4	71	21	5	68	23	4	63	29	4	70	22	4	74	16	7	65	24	8
73	19	6	81	13	3	78	14	6	75	17	6	76	15	8	80	14	3	76	19	4
63	26	0	67	29	0	64	24	8	56	44	0	67	24	0	82	15	3	76	13	5
63	32	2	72	20	6	72	24	1	63	26	6	78	17	4	84	12	3	71	24	4
74	19	4	71	20	5	69	22	5	61	32	5	71	22	5	74	19	6	69	23	7
66	22	6	74	18	4	70	20	4	71	22	4	67	21	6	72	15	8	62	24	8
71	24	2	72	21	4	71	23	3	58	32	6	72	23	4	74	18	6	66	25	7
68	24	3	73	19	5	70	20	5	70	25	3	73	17	6	77	14	6	71	21	5
61	17	12	73	16	6	58	29	6	70	15	9	58	25	9	72	14	8	66	19	8
X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	74	21	2	72	20	7	64	27	8
X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	75	19	4	76	17	6	67	24	7
X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	74	17	5	79	15	4	71	20	6
X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	68	21	6	76	13	6	69	22	6
68	25	4	72	19	5	66	26	3	58	32	7	68	25	5	73	20	5	64	26	8
66	25	5	80	18	0	74	19	2	69	26	2	76	16	4	77	12	7	69	23	7
70	22	2	70	22	6	70	20	6	66	26	5	72	19	5	78	13	6	71	20	5
70	20	0	77	8	15	57	21	14	76	18	6	53	20	27	48	31	17	57	24	10
70	22	3	73	20	3	70	25	3	67	30	2	72	21	3	76	17	4	64	27	7
63	28	3	70	24	4	63	28	2	67	27	4	71	22	2	76	18	3	70	23	4
72	20	5	76	16	4	75	16	5	66	24	7	71	17	7	74	14	8	68	20	8
67	24	5	69	20	8	69	18	7	56	31	5	68	24	6	75	16	7	66	23	8
66	24	4	74	20	4	71	21	4	66	25	5	70	20	5	75	16	6	67	23	7
72	21	3	72	21	5	67	25	4	66	30	3	76	20	2	79	14	6	68	23	6
94	6	0	91	9	0	71	18	12	56	33	6	77	18	0	75	18	4	67	26	0
64	29	4	57	22	12	67	28	2	58	36	4	65	24	10	69	22	9	61	26	9
67	26	5	72	24	3	65	27	6	62	30	6	68	25	3	70	19	8	63	24	10
72	21	4	80	12	5	70	23	2	71	24	3	75	18	5	79	14	4	68	24	4
67	22	3	63	25	7	76	17	4	62	27	6	71	18	6	76	16	5	69	22	7

Attitudes toward the level of spending to deal with drug addiction

By demographic characteristics, United States, selected years 1982-96

Question: "We are faced with many problems in this country, none of which can be solved easily or inexpensively. I'm going to name some of these problems, and for each one I'd like you

to tell me whether you think we're spending too much money on it, too little money, or about the right amount. First (dealing with drug addiction) are we spending too much, too little, or about the right amount on (dealing with drug addiction)?"

		1982			1983			1984			1985			1986			1987	
	Too	About	Тоо	Тоо	About	Too	Тоо	About	Тоо	Тоо	About	Тоо	Too	About	Тоо	Тоо	About	Тоо
	little	right	much															
National	57%	27%	8%	60%	30%	5%	63%	27%	6%	62%	28%	5%	58%	32%	6%	65%	28%	4%
Sex																		
Male	57	26	11	60	29	7	62	27	7	61	27	8	55	36	7	62	31	4
Female	57	28	6	59	30	4	64	28	4	62	30	2	60	29	5	68	26	4
Race																		
White	55	28	8	59	30	5	63	28	5	62	28	5	57	32	6	66	28	4
Black/other	68	19	9	62	25	7	65	24	9	59	30	9	66	27	5	60	28	6
Age																		
18 to 20 years	53	36	6	56	42	0	58	42	0	60	40	0	50	40	10	58	26	10
21 to 29 years	52	36	7	56	35	6	59	35	4	62	29	6	53	42	4	57	40	2
30 to 49 years	62	26	8	61	30	5	67	24	6	64	29	5	59	33	6	65	29	4
50 years and older	56	22	10	61	25	6	62	25	8	61	26	6	60	24	7	70	22	6
Education ^a																		
College	54	33	7	57	33	6	63	30	4	59	32	5	54	39	5	59	36	4
High school graduate	59	26	8	61	30	5	64	27	6	67	25	5	61	28	7	69	23	4
Less than high	00	20	0	0.	00	Ũ	0.		0	0.	20	0	0.	20	·		20	·
school graduate	56	19	11	60	19	13	61	22	12	49	31	11	58	23	7	71	19	5
Income																		
\$50,000 and over	Х	Х	х	х	х	х	х	х	х	х	х	х	х	Х	х	Х	Х	х
\$30,000 to \$49,999	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	x	x	X	X	x	X	X	x	X	X	x
\$20,000 to \$29,999	X	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	X	X	x	X	x	x	X	x	x
Under \$20,000	x	X	X	x	X	X	x	X	x	X	X	x	X	X	x	X	X	x
Occupation																		
Professional/business	56	32	8	55	34	7	62	30	5	59	31	5	54	37	6	63	31	5
Clerical	56	31	6	60	32	4	63	32	3	61	32	3	62	30	2	61	34	5
Manual	58	24	9	60	28	6	65	23	7	64	25	7	60	28	8	67	26	4
Farmer	54	27	15	80	18	õ	64	27	9	50	38	8	63	32	5	65	24	12
Desian																		
Region				=0		•	-	~~		~~		•		~ ~			~~	
Northeast	55	27	11	58	31	6	70	23	6	66	25	6	54	34	4	71	26	2
Midwest	56	30	6	57	34	5	62	30	4	61	29	5	61	30	5	61	32	4
South	63	23	8	66	24	4	64	25	6	62	26	6	58	30	8	66	26	5
West	49	31	10	56	31	8	56	33	6	59	35	4	58	34	7	62	30	6
Religion																		
Protestant	59	26	8	60	29	5	61	28	6	64	28	4	60	29	7	67	26	4
Catholic	55	32	7	62	30	5	69	25	5	62	29	6	55	37	4	64	32	3
Jewish	49	32	8	52	36	7	78	22	0	65	18	6	52	35	4	60	20	20
None	48	27	16	49	34	8	56	33	8	49	38	6	54	33	7	51	37	7
Politics																		
Republican	51	32	10	57	32	6	57	30	8	58	31	5	52	37	7	58	38	3
Democrat	64	23	6	65	26	4	64	28	5	64	27	5	62	29	6	74	20	4
Independent	53	29	10	55	32	6	67	24	4	63	27	6	58	30	6	57	32	6

Note: The "don't know" category has been omitted; therefore percents may not sum to 100. Readers interested in responses to this question for previous years should consult previous editions of SOURCEBOOK. For a discussion of public opinion survey sampling procedures, see Appendix 6.

Source: National Opinion Research Center, "General Social Surveys, 1972-94," "General Social Surveys, 1996," Storrs, CT: The Roper Center for Public Opinion Research, University of Connecticut. (Machine-readable data files.) Table constructed by SOURCEBOOK staff.

^aIn 1996, education categories were revised slightly and therefore are not directly comparable to data presented for prior years.

	1988			1989			1990			1991			1993			1994			1996	
Too little	About right	Too much																		
68%	24%	4%	71%	19%	6%	64%	26%	7%	58%	32%	7%	60%	27%	8%	60%	26%	9%	58%	27%	11%
72	21	5	74	15	7	60	28	8	48	41	8	55	32	9	57	28	11	58	26	12
66	27	3	68	22	6	67	24	5	64	26	7	64	23	7	62	25	7	58	27	10
67	25	4	69	20	6	63	27	6	54	34	8	58	29	8	57	28	10	55	29	12
75	20	5	80	11	6	68	20	7	72	19	4	73	19	7	73	17	5	71	18	8
50	40	5	67	33	0	68	24	8	69	31	0	62	33	5	58	33	6	66	26	3
57	34	7	69	22	7	66	28	4	57	34	7	61	32	4	66	28	3	60	31	6
73	22	2	72	18	6	66	26	5	58	32	8	60	28	8	58	30	8	57	28	13
71	20	4	71	18	7	61	26	9	56	30	8	60	24	10	60	20	12	58	23	12
70	25	4	74	19	4	64	29	6	52	36	8	56	32	8	55	32	9	55	30	12
67	26	3	69	19	8	67	22	7	60	31	7	65	23	6	66	22	7	61	26	10
68	17	8	65	20	12	50	28	13	72	16	6	58	18	18	56	17	15	64	19	10
х	х	х	х	х	х	х	х	х	х	х	х	55	31	9	58	30	10	53	31	14
Х	X	Х	X	X	Х	X	Х	X	Х	X	X	62	28	7	56	32	8	57	30	11
X X	X X	x x	66 62	26 25	7 8	68 62	24 21	8 9	64 61	26 24	8 9									
68	25	4	73	18	6	62	30	6	53	34	11	54	34	9	55	30	10	53	29	14
66 64	23	3	67	23	4	68	26	3	61	28	6	54 66	20	9	55 61	28	7	58	29 26	14
72	22	4	71	18	7	63	24	9	56	34	7	64	25	7	65	22	8	62	25	8
90	10	0	77	8	15	71	14	14	53	35	0	50	36	14	52	38	7	48	19	14
71	23	5	69	20	4	58	29	8	56	34	7	67	21	8	61	25	8	57	28	12
68	27	2	73	18	6	63	28	7	57	35	6	58	30	6	59	31	7	61	25	10
66	24	4	71	18	7	71	23	4	64	25	8	61	26	10	62	23	10	57	26	12
70	21	6	70	21	7	61	25	9	48	38	8	55	32	6	56	28	9	58	28	10
68	24	4	72	18	7	67	25	6	60	29	6	60	26	8	62	25	8	60	26	10
71 67	24	3 0	69 91	23 9	3	58 65	30 20	8 0	55 48	36	6 22	60 54	30 27	10 9	58 64	28 29	9	60 50	26 26	10
67 64	33 23	9	91 59	9 21	0 16	65 57	29 26	12	48 42	28 42	22 1	54 56	27 31	9 10	64 53	29 29	7 13	59 52	26 28	11 15
68	26	4	69	23	5	60	32	7	51	37	10	50	34	12	54	31	9	49	32	16
68 70	26 24	4	89 80	23 12	5 5	60 64	32 25	6	51 66	23	6	50 70	34 23	4	54 67	22	9 7	49 68	32 22	7
67	24	4	61	26	9	69	22	6	55	35	6	58	27	8	58	28	9	57	27	11

Attitudes toward the justice systems' dealing with people who commit crime

United States, 1996

Question: "I would like to ask you a few questions about dealing with people who commit crime. Please tell me for each of the following statements whether or not you think each is very important, somewhat important, neither important nor unimportant, not very important, or not important at all. How important is it to you that the criminal justice system. ..? "

	Very important	Somewhat important	Neither important nor unimportant	Not very important	Not important at all
Process cases efficiently	78.6%	17.6%	1.1%	1.3%	0.2%
Treat people who have been					
accused of a crime fairly	67.4	25.9	1.9	2.9	0.8
Decide cases quickly	64.5	25.8	2.0	5.5	1.0
Provide legal assistance for					
persons accused of crime who cannot afford a lawyer	60.7	29.7	1.4	4.9	2.1
Respect the constitutional rights					
of persons accused of crimes	60.1	28.2	1.8	6.3	2.0
Limit the ability of persons convicted of crimes to appeal					
their convictions	41.8	33.2	4.8	11.1	5.4

Note: See Note, table 2.10. The "don't know" and "refused" categories have been omitted; therefore percents may not sum to 100.

Source: Table constructed by SOURCEBOOK staff from data provided by the Survey Research Program, College of Criminal Justice, Sam Houston State University.

Table 2.46

Attitudes toward effectiveness of anti-drug measures

United States, 1996

Question: "I am going to read you a list of things that could be done to reduce the illegal drug problem in the United States. After I read all of the options to you, please tell me which one you think is the most effective in terms of where money should be spent to fight the war on drugs."

	Most effective	Most and second most effective
Stopping drugs from coming into the United States	31%	50%
Having more programs to educate both youth and adults about the dangers of drugs	28	47
More efforts, including police action and criminal prosecution, to stop the drug dealers	22	46
Putting more drug treatment programs in commun- ities and neighborhoods	9	24
More efforts, including police actions and criminal prosecution, to stop the people who buy drugs	6	17
Building more jails and prisons for drug offenders	2	7

Note: See Note, table 2.41. The "all equally effective," "don't know," and "refused" categories have been omitted; therefore percents do not sum to 100.

Source: Executive Office of the President, Office of National Drug Control Policy, *Consult with America: A Look at How Americans View the Country's Drug Problem* (Washington, DC: Office of National Drug Control Policy, 1996), p. 37. Table adapted by SOURCE-BOOK staff.

All police Large cities Medium con Small towns

Police chiefs' attitudes toward law enforcement's approach to the drug problem

By size of community, United States, 1996

	"How successful do you feel the police and other law enforcement agencies have been in reducing the drug problem in the United Statesvery successful, fairly successful, fairly unsuccessful, or very unsuccessful?"				of a crime p the crimina of a publ handle	I feel that drug u problem better h al justice system ic health problem ed by prevention atment programs	nandled by n, or more m better n and	"Given the current approach to handlin the drug problem, do you think that a greater law enforcement effort is required, or that more assistance is needed in the form of education, prevention, and treatment?"				
	Very successful	Fairly successful	Fairly un- successful	Very un- successful	Criminal jus- tice system problem	Prevention and treatment problem	Both, equally ^a	Greater law enforcement effort	More educa- tion, preven- tion, and treatment	Both, equally ^a		
All police chiefs	3%	34%	44%	16%	38%	27%	34%	21%	47%	30%		
ge cities dium communities	3 2	34 33	45 41	15 22	24 30	34 37	41 33	10 12	61 52	27 33		

48

Note: These data are from a national telephone survey of 318 chiefs of police (or, in less than one in four cases, their designated spokesperson within the police department). The survey was conducted for Police Foundation and Drug Strategies by Peter D. Hart Research Associates from Feb. 27 to Mar. 7, 1996. The sample was stratified and weighted by the size of the population served by each police department, so that it represents a cross-section of police departments according to population. The "not sure" category has been omitted; therefore percents may not sum to 100.

35

45

15

^aResponse volunteered.

31

20

Source: Police Foundation and Drug Strategies, *Drugs and Crime Across America: Police Chiefs Speak Out* (Washington, DC: Police Foundation and Drug Strategies, 1996), pp. 14, 15. Table adapted by SOURCEBOOK staff. Reprinted by permission.

30

39

30

Table 2.48

Police chiefs' attitudes toward Federal programs dealing with drug abuse
--

3

By size of community, United States, 1996

Question: "As you may know, Congress has considered changes in legislation that affects federal programs dealing with crime and drug abuse. Let me read you some of the measures that will be considered, and for each one, please tell me whether you feel it would be a step in the right direction or a step in the wrong direction."

	A step in the right	A step in the wrong	
	direction	direction	Not sure
Shifting Federal funding for drug preven-			
tion and treatment programs to law en-			
forcement and prison construction			
All police chiefs	57%	40%	3%
Large cities	29	68	3
Medium communities	61	37	2
Small towns	69	27	4
Shifting Federal funding from drug preven-			
tion and treatment programs to border pa-			
trols and intercepting drugs before they			
reach the U.S.			
All police chiefs	55	39	6
Large cities	32	58	10
Medium communities	43	52	5
Small towns	70	25	5
Cutting back on Federal funding for com-			
munity drug courts in which nonviolent			
drug offenders are given a choice between			
prison and supervised treatment			
All police chiefs	25	69	6
Large cities	23	74	3
Medium communities	20	73	7
Small towns	28	65	7

Note: See Note, table 2.47.

Source: Police Foundation and Drug Strategies, *Drugs and Crime Across America: Police Chiefs Speak Out* (Washington, DC: Police Foundation and Drug Strategies, 1996), p. 16. Table adapted by SOURCEBOOK staff. Reprinted by permission.

Table 2.49

٧

Г

Police chiefs' attitudes toward the effectiveness of mandatory minimum sentences for drug possession

By size of community, United States, 1996

Question: "From your perspective, how effective have mandatory minimum sentences for drug possession been in reducing drug trafficking in your community--very effective, fairly effective, only somewhat effective, or not really the answer to the problem in your community?"

	Size of community									
All police	Medium									
chiefs	Large cities	communities	Small towns							
7%	10%	7%	6%							
14	17	8	14							
33	31	37	33							
40	36	40	42							
4	2	5	4							
2	4	3	1							
	<u>chiefs</u> 7% 14 33 40 4	All police chiefs Large cities 7% 10% 14 17 33 31 40 36 4 2	All police chiefs Medium Large cities Medium communities 7% 10% 7% 14 17 8 33 31 37 40 36 40 4 2 5							

Note: See Note, table 2.47.

^aResponse volunteered.

Source: Police Foundation and Drug Strategies, *Drugs and Crime Across America: Police Chiefs Speak Out* (Washington, DC: Police Foundation and Drug Strategies, 1996), p. 17. Table adapted by SOURCEBOOK staff. Reprinted by permission.

Attitudes toward severity of courts in own area

By demographic characteristics, United States, selected years 1982-96

Question: "In general, do you think the courts in this area deal too harshly or not harshly enough

		1982			1983		1984			1985		1986 Not			1987 Not			
		Not		Not		Not		Not										
	Тоо	harshly			harshly	About		harshly	About	Тоо	harshly		Тоо	harshly	About	Too	harshly	
	harshly	enough	right	harshly	enough	right	harshly	enough	right	harshly	enough	right	harshly	enough	right	harshly	enough	
National	3%	86%	8%	4%	86%	6%	3%	82%	11%	3%	84%	9%	3%	85%	8%	3%	79%	12%
Sex																		
Male	2	84	9	4	84	8	5	80	12	4	84	10	4	84	8	4	78	14
Female	3	88	6	3	86	6	2	83	10	3	85	8	3	86	8	3	80	11
Race																		
White	2	87	7	3	87	6	3	84	10	3	85	9	2	87	8	2	81	12
Black/other	10	79	9	10	74	8	6	71	16	5	80	8	9	77	9	7	70	14
Age																		
18 to 20 years	0	90	5	4	87	7	7	73	11	6	78	14	8	79	8	0	76	17
21 to 29 years	0	81	12	4	85	6	6	78	12	6	79	10	5	81	9	4	78	9
30 to 49 years	3	85	8	3	86	8	3	83	11	3	85	9	3	86	8	4	78	13
50 years and older	2	89	5	3	86	6	6	84	11	2	87	8	2	88	7	2	82	12
Education ^a																		
College	3	83	11	4	82	8	2	82	11	3	83	11	2	84	9	3	77	14
High school graduate Less than high	2	89	5	4	89	5	4	82	10	4	86	7	3	88	7	3	84	9
school graduate	4	84	6	3	81	7	2	79	14	4	81	10	4	81	9	5	71	18
Income																		
\$50,000 and over	х	х	х	х	х	х	х	х	х	х	х	х	х	х	Х	х	х	Х
\$30,000 to \$49,999	X	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	X	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x
\$20,000 to \$29,999	X	X	x	X	x	x	X	x	x	X	X	x	x	X	x	x	x	x
Under \$20,000	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x
Occupation																		
Professional/business	1	85	12	2	84	7	2	83	11	2	84	11	1	88	8	2	80	13
Clerical	3	92	2	2	92	4	2	86	9	2	90	6	4	88	7	2	81	12
Manual	3	84	7	6	83	8	4	80	11	5	83	8	4	83	9	5	78	11
Farmer	5	79	16	3	87	5	3	87	10	3	89	5	3	83	6	2	82	12
Region																		
Northeast	1	85	6	2	88	7	3	82	11	2	86	8	3	88	6	3	82	10
Midwest	1	90	7	2	87	5	4	82	11	3	85	9	3	87	7	4	80	10
South	5	83	9	2	85	6	3	80	11	4	82	11	4	82	10	4	78	14
West	3	87	7	4	81	9	2	83	11	4	84	8	3	85	8	3	79	12
Religion																		
Protestant	3	87	7	3	86	6	2	82	12	3	84	10	3	85	8	3	80	12
Catholic	2	88	6	3	87	6	4	82	10	3	87	8	2	88	6	2	81	12
Jewish	0	91	9	2	86	9	0	89	0	3	78	6	8	90	3	0	80	20
None	2	72	14	5	74	12	7	72	13	6	76	10	4	72	14	7	70	7
Politics																		
Republican	1	89	7	2	90	5	2	88	9	2	88	8	2	87	8	2	86	10
Democrat	4	87	7	4	84	7	4	80	11	3	84	10	3	88	6	4	78	13
Independent	2	84	9	4	84	7	3	80	12	5	82	8	3	82	10	4	76	12
independent	z tegory has	-								National					-		10	14

Note: The "don't know" category has been omitted; therefore percents may not sum to 100. Readers interested in responses to this question for previous years should consult previous editions of SOURCEBOOK. For a discussion of public opinion survey sampling procedures, see Appendix 6.

Source: National Opinion Research Center, "General Social Surveys, 1972-94," "General Social Surveys, 1996," Storrs, CT: The Roper Center for Public Opinion Research, University of Connecticut. (Machine-readable data files.) Table constructed by SOURCEBOOK staff.

^aIn 1996, education categories were revised slightly and therefore are not directly comparable to data presented for prior years.

	1988			1989			1990			1991			1993			1994			1996	
Too harshly	Not harshly enough	About right	Too harshly	Not harshly enough	About right	Too harshly	Not harshly enough	About right	Too harshly	Not harshly enough		Too harshly	Not harshly enough	About right	Too harshly	Not harshly enough	About right	Too harshly	Not harshly enough	About right
4%	82%	10%	3%	84%	9%	3%	83%	9%	4%	80%	11%	3%	81%	10%	3%	85%	8%	5%	78%	11%
5	79	13	4	79	12	3	82	11	5	78	12	5	81	10	3	83	9	5	78	11
3	84	8	1	87	6	4	83	8	3	81	11	2	82	10	2	87	6	4	78	10
3	83	10	2	83	10	3	84	9	3	80	12	2	82	10	2	86	8	3	79	11
8	73	11	4	87	3	8	77	8	10	76	8	9	76	11	5	82	8	11	72	10
8	84	8	10	83	8	10	80	5	3	70	20	16	74	7	7	70	14	11	75	5
8	77	10	2	86	8	5	80	9	8	77	9	2	86	8	4	81	9	6	76	10
3	82	11	3	83	9	4	81	10	4	80	11	4	81	9	2	87	7	5	79	11
2	83	9	2	84	9	2	86	8	3	81	12	2	81	11	2	86	7	3	78	11
3	78	13	2	81	10	4	82	10	4	78	12	3	80	11	2	84	10	5	76	12
4	85	7	3	86	8	4	83	9	5	82	9	4	84	8	4	87	6	3	82	9
3	82	8	3	82	8	3	86	6	2	78	17	5	78	9	3	86	6	6	77	9
X X X X	X X X X	X X X X	3 2 4 5	81 85 86 78	10 10 7 11	2 2 2 4	84 87 87 84	9 8 7 7	4 4 6	78 82 76 76	12 10 13 10									
3	83	10	2	82	11	3	82	11	3	78	11	2	80	12	2	83	10	3	78	11
3	84	9	1	87	7	4	84	8	3	80	12	3	83	8	2	88	6	5	81	9
4	81	10	4	84	8	3	84	8	5	82	11	5	82	9	3	86	6	5	77	11
8	79	8	0	86	9	0	85	15	0	89	8	3	94	3	5	81	10	4	81	8
6	83	9	2	86	5	4	83	10	4	83	8	2	82	11	3	86	6	6	76	12
4	80	11	3	81	12	4	80	10	3	78	12	4	79	11	2	84	8	5	75	13
3	83	10	3	86	8	3	85	8	5	82	10	4	84	8	2	86	8	4	81	9
5	80	8	3	80	11	4	82	9	4	73	16	4	80	10	3	84	9	4	77	11
4	83	9	2	85	9	3	85	8	4	81	10	3	82	9	2	87	7	4	80	10
3	86	9	3	83	9	4	84	8	2	80	13	3	82	9	3	85	7	5	79	11
3	73	17	0	96	0	0	82	15	0	81	9	0	81	12	2	79	10	3	76	9
11	64	18	7	72	9	1	74	16	8	72	14	3	77	14	3	76	12	8	70	10
2	85	9	1	91	6	2	87	8	2	82	11	3	85	8	2	88	7	4	84	10
5	80	10	3	83	10	4	82	9	5	79	12	4	79	12	3	85	8	5	75	13
4	80	11	4	77	10	5	80	10	5	79	10	4	81	9	3	84	8	5	76	9

Table 2.51	Table 2.52						
Attitudes toward wiretapping	Attitudes toward a law re license	Attitudes toward a law requiring teenagers to pass a drug test to get a drivers' license By demographic characteristics, United States, 1996					
United States, selected years 1974-94	By demographic character						
Question: "Everything considered, would you say that you approve or disapprove of wiretapping?"	Question: "Would you favo	r or oppose a la	-	/hich would require teenagers to se?"			
Approve Disapprove				Dealthan			
1974 16% 80%		Favor	Oppose	Don't know/ refused			
1975 16 80							
1977 18 78	National	71%	26%	3%			
1978 19 78							
1982 19 77	Sex		~ ~	^			
1983 18 78 1985 23 74	Male	63	34	3 5			
1985 23 74 1986 22 74	Female	78	17	5			
1966 22 74 1988 20 74	Race						
1989 26 69	White	69	27	4			
1990 22 72	Black	86	11	3			
1991 24 70	Nonwhite ^a	81	15	4			
1993 23 72	Noriwine	0.	10				
1994 18 76	Age						
Note: The "no opinion" category has been omitted; therefore percents may not sum t	19 to 20 years	70	27	3			
For a discussion of public opinion survey sampling procedures, see Appendix 6.	30 to 49 years	68	29	3			
of a discussion of public opinion survey sampling procedures, see Appendix 6.	50 to 64 years	77	18	5			
Source: National Opinion Research Center, "General Social Surveys, 1972-94," Stor	rrs CT						
The Roper Center for Public Opinion Research, University of Connecticut. (Machine-	50 years and older	76	20	4			
readable data file.) Table constructed by SOURCEBOOK staff.	65 years and older	75	22	3			
	Education						
	College post graduate	64	31	5			
	College graduate	58	40	2			
	Some college	73	24	3			
	No college	76	21	3			
	Income						
	\$50,000 and over	68	30	2			
	\$30,000 to \$49,999	71	25	4			
	\$20,000 to \$29,999	69	26	5			
	Under \$20,000	79	20	1			
	Community						
	Urban area	69	27	4			
	Suburban area	69	28	3			
	Rural area	79	17	4			
	Region						
	East	71	26	3			
	Midwest	67	28	5			
	South	75	22	3			
	West	70	27	3			

Republican Democrat Independent Note: For a discussion of public opinion survey sampling procedures, see Appendix 6.

24 21

31

3 3 5

73 76 64

^aIncludes black respondents.

Politics

Source: Table constructed by SOURCEBOOK staff from data provided by The Gallup Or-ganization, Inc. Reprinted by permission.

Table 2.53				Table 2.54					
Attitudes toward a law re to pass a drug test	equiring anyon	e renewing or o	btaining a new drivers' license						
De de se enceptionet de se encepte	detice their d O	-1 1000		By demographic characteristics, l	Jnited States	, 1995			
By demographic character		-		Question: "Thinking now about dr					
		aw in your State v ers' license to pas	which would require anyone re- ss a drug test?"	harshly, not harshly en	enough or about right with drunk drivers?"				
	Favor	000000	Don't know/ refused		Too harshly	Not harshly enough	About right		
		Oppose		National	4.4%	58.7%	33.2%		
National	68%	27%	5%	Sex					
Sex				Male	6.0	49.9	40.6		
Male	62	32	6	Female	2.9	66.8	26.3		
Female	72	24	4		2.0		20.0		
	. –			Race					
Race				White	4.9	58.6	33.0		
Vhite	68	28	4	Black	1.0	62.9	33.3		
Black	68	29	3	Hispanic	2.7	62.2	28.4		
Nonwhite ^a	68	26	6						
				Age					
lge				18 to 29 years	3.2	61.7	32.4		
8 to 29 years	62	34	4	30 to 39 years	3.6	58.8	34.1		
0 to 49 years	65	31	4	40 to 59 years	6.0	58.0	33.8		
0 to 64 years	75	21	4	60 years and older	4.0	56.3	31.6		
0 years and older	75	19	6	Education					
5 years and older	76	16	8	College graduate	2.9	59.5	32.6		
				Some college	3.9	57.5	35.8		
ducation				High school graduate	5.5	59.1	31.7		
College post graduate	66	32	2	Less than high school graduate	5.9	56.9	34.3		
College graduate	61	37	2						
some college	68	28	4	Income					
No college	70	24	6	Over \$60,000	4.8	59.1	32.3		
				Between \$30,000 and \$60,000	3.0	56.5	38.8		
ncome				Between \$15,000 and \$29,999	5.9	64.4	25.9		
50,000 and over	70	27	3	Less than \$15,000	2.5	57.6	32.2		
30,000 to \$49,999	61	36	3						
20,000 to \$29,999	76	19	5	Community					
Jnder \$20,000	69	28	3	Urban	1.3	65.6	30.6		
				Suburban	4.5	57.2	33.0		
Community			_	Small city	4.8	55.3	36.7		
Irban area	61	32	7	Rural/small town	5.2	58.8	32.5		
Suburban area	70	26	4	Desien					
Rural area	76	22	2	Region	4.0	50.0	05.0		
				Northeast	4.0	58.2	35.0		
legion		<u>c</u> :	E. C.	Midwest	5.9	57.6	33.2		
ast	74	21	5	South	3.4	58.6	34.5		
lidwest	61	36	3	West	4.6	60.4	29.6		
outh	67	29	4	D IN					
/est	69	23	8	Politics					
5 P.C				Republican	4.1	56.0	37.5		
Politics			<u>,</u>	Democrat	1.4	68.6	27.1		
Republican	71	26	3	Independent/other	5.6	55.2	34.0		
Democrat	71	26	3	Note: See Note, table 2.22. The "	don't know" a	and "refused" cate	egories have been omi		
Independent	62	30	8	therefore percents may not sum to		out			

Note: For a discussion of public opinion survey sampling procedures, see Appendix 6.

^aIncludes black respondents.

Source: Table constructed by SOURCEBOOK staff from data provided by The Gallup Or-ganization, Inc. Reprinted by permission.

Attitudes toward appropriate sentence for repeat drunk drivers

By demographic characteristics, United States, 1995

Question: "In your opinion what is the most appropriate sentence for a person convicted more than once for drunk driving?"

more than	once	for	drunk	driving
-----------	------	-----	-------	---------

	License revoked	\$1,000 fine	Community service	1 year in jail	More than 1 year in jail	Don't know
National	32.4%	5.8%	12.2%	20.3%	25.0%	4.1%
Sex						
Male	33.1	7.9	12.4	21.5	20.3	4.3
Female	31.8	3.8	12.1	19.2	29.3	3.8
Race						
White	32.5	5.9	12.1	21.6	23.5	4.2
Black	32.7	5.8	10.6	13.5	34.6	2.9
Hispanic	28.8	4.1	11.0	19.2	30.1	6.8
Age						
18 to 29 years	25.6	6.7	11.2	22.0	30.0	4.5
30 to 39 years	27.0	6.1	13.3	24.1	27.0	1.8
40 to 59 years	36.8	4.7	11.3	18.2	24.8	4.1
60 years and older	39.7	5.7	13.2	17.2	16.7	7.5
Education						
College graduate	37.8	4.3	13.3	21.2	20.5	2.9
Some college	30.0	5.3	13.8	23.0	23.3	4.6
High school graduate	29.4	6.7	10.7	17.2	31.3	4.0
Less than high school graduate	30.7	8.9	10.9	19.8	23.8	5.9
Income						
Over \$60,000	34.4	7.0	12.4	18.3	24.7	3.2
Between \$30,000 and \$60,000	34.6	4.2	11.6	25.2	21.3	2.5
Between \$15,000 and \$29,999	30.5	5.0	11.3	19.2	29.7	4.2
Less than \$15,000	26.9	7.6	12.6	19.3	27.7	5.9
Community						
Urban	35.7	4.5	8.9	21.7	24.2	5.1
Suburban	29.2	5.3	15.9	23.5	23.5	1.9
Small city	32.8	5.8	11.6	18.0	27.0	4.8
Rural/small town	33.0	6.8	11.5	18.3	25.9	4.5
Region						
Northeast	45.5	3.4	7.4	15.9	22.7	5.1
Midwest	30.4	5.9	18.1	19.8	23.6	1.3
South	33.6	7.1	10.0	18.5	25.1	5.7
West	22.7	5.4	13.6	26.4	28.1	3.7
Politics						
Republican	34.2	6.4	12.5	22.0	23.1	1.7
Democrat	33.0	3.2	12.5	20.8	28.7	1.8
Independent/other	29.8	5.6	12.5	19.7	25.5	6.4

Note: See Note, table 2.22. The "refused" category has been omitted; therefore percents may not sum to 100.

Attitudes toward most important purpose in sentencing adults and juveniles

By demographic characteristics, United States, 1995

Question: "Please tell me which of these four purposes do you think should be the most important in sentencing adults? Which of these four purposes do you think should be the most important in sentencing juveniles?"

		Ad	ults		Juveniles				
	Discourage others from committing crime	Separate of- fenders from society	Train, educate, and counsel offenders	Give offenders the punish- ment they deserve	Discourage others from committing crime	Separate of- fenders from society	Train, educate, and counsel offenders	Give offenders the punish- ment they deserve	
National	12.4%	12.5%	19.9%	50.8%	14.6%	4.0%	47.8%	30.0%	
Sex									
Male	13.3	11.0	20.5	51.2	15.3	5.0	45.7	30.4	
Female	11.5	13.8	19.4	50.5	14.0	3.1	49.8	29.7	
Race									
White	13.2	13.6	17.3	51.4	15.0	3.9	46.5	31.3	
Black	7.5	6.6	29.2	52.8	16.2	2.9	46.7	29.5	
Hispanic	9.6	9.6	28.8	47.9	9.6	5.5	61.6	19.2	
Age									
18 to 29 years	10.9	8.6	27.1	48.4	16.7	5.9	48.6	25.2	
30 to 39 years	13.6	11.8	17.9	55.2	16.5	2.2	47.1	32.0	
40 to 59 years	13.6	16.8	17.7	47.8	13.5	3.8	51.3	27.7	
60 years and older	9.2	12.1	18.4	52.9	10.5	5.2	43.6	35.5	
Education									
College graduate	12.5	20.0	21.8	42.5	13.3	3.6	59.1	21.5	
Some college	17.2	11.6	19.3	48.1	17.9	4.2	46.0	29.1	
High school graduate	10.2	9.5	19.1	56.6	13.5	4.0	43.7	34.8	
Less than high school graduate	4.9	5.8	22.3	62.1	10.0	5.0	40.0	40.0	
Income									
Over \$60,000	12.8	16.6	25.7	42.8	13.4	5.9	56.5	22.0	
Between \$30,000 and \$60,000	13.3	12.2	19.1	51.2	16.3	1.7	49.2	30.1	
Between \$15,000 and \$29,999	10.9	14.2	15.5	57.3	13.3	6.3	42.5	35.8	
Less than \$15,000	11.9	6.8	25.4	50.8	10.9	0.8	51.3	28.6	
Community									
Urban	9.7	18.1	14.8	49.7	11.5	3.8	51.9	28.8	
Suburban	11.7	15.5	21.6	47.3	11.8	5.7	50.2	28.5	
Small city	13.8	13.8	24.9	43.4	16.5	4.3	50.5	26.1	
Rural/small town	12.6	8.1	18.6	58.0	16.2	2.9	45.3	33.0	
Region									
Northeast	14.2	13.6	15.9	49.4	14.9	4.0	48.6	28.0	
Midwest	8.5	11.0	26.7	48.7	12.2	4.6	45.0	32.8	
South	11.7	10.9	16.6	57.6	17.2	3.2	43.6	33.8	
West	15.8	15.4	21.2	44.4	12.5	4.6	56.7	23.8	
Politics									
Republican	16.7	16.0	13.9	50.7	17.3	3.1	46.9	29.9	
Democrat	8.9	10.7	23.8	53.7	13.2	2.5	48.9	31.8	
Independent/other	11.7	12.2	22.6	47.3	13.8	5.3	49.6	28.4	

Note: See Note, table 2.22. The "don't know" and "refused" categories have been omitted; therefore percents may not sum to 100.

Table 2.57 Attitudes toward whether violer	t criminals c	an ho rohahi	litated		Table 2.
By demographic characteristics, L			inated		By demo
Question: "Thinking of criminals w			o you think most,	some, only a	Question
few, or none of them ca program?"	an be rehabilit	ated given ea	rly intervention w	ith the right	
	Most	Some	Only a few	None	
National	14.4%	44.8%	28.7%	9.1%	Natio
Sex					Sex
Male	12.2	42.7	30.9	10.7	Male
Female	16.5	46.7	26.6	7.7	Female
Race	40.0	44.0	00.0		Race
White Black	13.0	44.3	30.2	9.9	White Black
Hispanic	25.0 14.9	46.2 41.9	22.1 28.4	3.8 6.8	Hispanic
A.~~					1.000
Age 18 to 29 years	20.3	45.0	25.2	8.1	Age 18 to 29
30 to 39 years	13.3	49.5	26.9	9.0	30 to 39
40 to 59 years	12.9	47.0	29.3	7.3	40 to 59
60 years and older	11.6	35.3	34.7	12.7	60 years
Education					Educatio
College graduate	13.3	49.6	28.1	6.8	College
Some college	17.1	45.8	26.6	7.3	Some co
High school graduate	12.6	42.9	29.1	12.0	High sch
Less than high school graduate	16.7	41.2	30.4	8.8	Less tha
Income					Income
Over \$60,000	11.3	51.6	26.9	8.1	Over \$6
Between \$30,000 and \$60,000	15.5	46.3	28.5	7.8	Betweer
Between \$15,000 and \$29,999	12.9	43.6	30.7	9.5	Betweer
Less than \$15,000	15.0	44.2	25.0	9.2	Less tha
Community			20 4		Commu
Urban Suburban	22.9 10.6	33.8	33.1	5.7	Urban Suburba
Small city	10.6	50.8 54.0	27.3 25.9	9.5 6.3	Suburba Small cit
Rural/small town	15.5	41.5	28.6	11.5	Rural/sm
Region					Region
Northeast	11.9	42.6	32.4	8.0	Northeas
Midwest	13.9	45.8	27.7	8.0	Midwest
South	13.2	45.3	29.2	11.7	South
West	18.2	44.6	26.4	7.9	West
Politics					Politics
Republican	11.9	46.9	31.3	7.8	Republic
Democrat	16.4	47.5	26.1	7.9	Democra
Independent/other	16.4	43.1	26.2	10.3	Indepen

Note: See Note, table 2.22. The "don't know" and "refused" categories have been omitted; therefore percents may not sum to 100.

Source: Table constructed by SOURCEBOOK staff from data provided by the Survey Research Program, College of Criminal Justice, Sam Houston State University.

Table 2.58

Attitudes toward whether government should rehabilitate or punish criminals

By demographic characteristics, United States, 1995

Question: "In your opinion where does government need to make a greater effort these days: rehabilitate criminals who commit violent crimes or punish and put away criminals who commit violent crimes?"

	Rehabilitate	Punish	Both	Neither
National	26.1%	58.2%	12.3%	1.1%
Sex				
Male	26.7	60.3	9.7	1.4
Female	25.5	56.2	14.8	0.8
Race				
White	22.6	62.2	11.5	1.4
Black	44.2	37.5	15.4	0.0
Hispanic	38.4	50.7	11.0	0.0
Age				
18 to 29 years	36.0	54.1	7.2	1.4
30 to 39 years	24.5	58.6	15.5	0.7
40 to 59 years	26.2	56.8	12.9	0.3
60 years and older	17.3	64.2	12.7	2.3
Education				
College graduate	31.2	53.0	13.6	2.2
Some college	28.4	55.8	14.4	0.7
High school graduate	21.2	63.4	11.1	0.6
Less than high school graduate	24.5	61.8	6.9	1.0
Income				
Over \$60,000	36.0	51.6	9.1	2.2
Between \$30,000 and \$60,000	24.7	59.0	14.1	0.8
Between \$15,000 and \$29,999	20.9	62.8	14.2	0.4
Less than \$15,000	30.5	54.2	8.5	1.7
Community				
Urban	32.1	55.8	8.3	0.6
Suburban	28.9	53.6	14.4	2.3
Small city	20.1	56.6	16.4	2.1
Rural/small town	24.6	63.1	10.5	0.3
Region				
Northeast	31.1	54.2	13.6	0.0
Midwest	26.1	61.3	10.1	0.4
South	22.6	60.2	11.7	2.3
West	27.3	55.0	14.9	0.8
Politics				
Republican	21.1	63.6	11.9	1.7
Democrat	25.4	56.1	16.8	0.4
Independent/other	30.9	55.1	9.8	1.1

Note: See Note, table 2.22. The "don't know" category has been omitted; therefore percents may not sum to 100.

Table 2.59			Table 2.60					
Attitudes toward treating juve selling drugs, or serious viole		arged with serious	s property crime,	Attitudes toward the most imp	ortant goal of	prison		
Pu domographia obaractoriation	United States 1005			By demographic characteristics,				
By demographic characteristics Question: "Please tell me for ea	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			Question: "Once people who con			ch of the following do you thin	
	nor disagree, disagre			should be <u>the most im</u>	iportant goar or	phson?	Crime	
(Percent responding "strongly a	agree" or "agree")				Rehabilitation	Punishment	prevention/ deterrence	
	A juvenile charged with a serious prop- erty crime should		A juvenile charged with a serious vio- lent crime should	National	48.4%	14.6%	33.1%	
	be tried as an adult		be tried as an adult	Sex	40.0	40.0	00.5	
National	62.6%	69.1%	86.5%	Male Female	48.6 48.2	16.8 12.5	30.5 35.5	
Sex				Race, ethnicity				
Male	68.3	69.6	87.8	White	47.7	16.1	31.9	
Female	57.4	68.6	85.2	Black	56.4	11.8	30.9	
Base				Hispanic	42.3	7.7	42.3	
<u>Race</u> White	63.1	69.7	86.9	Age				
Black	57.7	65.4	81.7	18 to 24 years	50.7	17.6	29.6	
Hispanic	66.2	66.7	86.3	25 to 39 years	47.5	14.3	33.5	
liopano	00.2	00.7	00.0	40 to 59 years	49.1	13.6	33.2	
Age				60 years and older	46.3	16.5	33.5	
18 to 29 years	60.2	68.0	86.1					
30 to 39 years	60.4	67.5	86.0	Education				
40 to 59 years	64.0	68.8	87.7	College graduate	54.9	11.5	28.5	
60 years and older	68.2	74.0	86.6	Some college	50.9	14.5	32.4	
				High school graduate	40.4	17.1	38.3	
Education				Less than high school graduate	47.6	15.3	31.5	
College graduate	57.7	65.5	85.0					
Some college	60.7	70.6	86.3	Income				
High school graduate	65.3	71.4	87.4	Over \$60,000	53.3	15.7	26.2	
Less than high school graduate	71.6	67.3	85.1	\$30,000 to \$60,000	49.3	11.7	36.0	
				\$15,000 to \$29,999	47.7	15.4	34.4	
Income				Less than \$15,000	47.1	18.6	30.0	
Over \$60,000	64.0	71.5	86.1					
Between \$30,000 and \$60,000	62.3	68.7	88.4	Community				
Between \$15,000 and \$29,999	65.4	72.4	85.4	Urban	54.2	8.9	31.5	
Less than \$15,000	60.2	64.2	82.2	Suburban	46.7	13.9	34.1	
Community				Small city	46.1	17.8	33.9	
<u>Community</u>	60 F	67.4	05.4	Rural/small town	48.0	16.1	32.8	
Urban	60.5	67.1	85.4	Bogion				
Suburban	60.1	66.9	87.5	Region Northeast	F2 0	17.1	27.4	
Small city Rural/small town	62.8 64.7	67.6 72.0	86.2 85.9	Midwest	52.0 49.6	17.1	27.4 34.6	
	04.7	12.0	00.9	South	49.6 44.2	14.3	34.6 35.7	
Region				West	44.2 51.0	14.7	30.9	
Northeast	60.5	66.3	83.0		51.0	10.0	00.0	
Midwest	60.8	68.5	86.1	Politics				
South	67.8	71.6	88.3	Republican	43.3	14.9	39.0	
West	58.9	68.0	86.7	Democrat	53.8	13.5	30.0	
	55.5	00.0	00.1	Independent/other	47.5	17.0	31.5	
Politics					-	-		
Republican	65.3	70.7	86.4	Note: See Note, table 2.10. The			useu categories have been	
Democrat	57.9	65.0	86.7	omitted; therefore percents may	not sum to 100			
Independent/other	62.9	70.0	85.4	Source: Table constructed by SC				

Note: See Note, table 2.22.

Source: Table constructed by SOURCEBOOK staff from data provided by the Survey Research Program, College of Criminal Justice, Sam Houston State University.

Attitudes toward proposals to reduce prison overcrowding

By demographic characteristics, United States, 1995

Question: "Would you favor or oppose each of the following measures that have been suggested as ways to reduce prison overcrowding?"

(Percent responding "favor")

	Shortening sentences	Allowing prisoners to earn early re- lease through good behavior and par- ticipation in educa- tional and work programs	Developing local programs to keep more nonviolent and first-time of- fenders active and working in the community	Giving the parole board more author- ity to release of- fenders early	Increasing taxes to build more prisons
National	7.5%	63.2%	89.2%	20.3%	31.4%
<u>Sex</u> Male	10.7	70.7	88.0	26.2	34.5
Female	4.4	56.3	90.4	14.8	28.6
Race White	6.2	63.1	88.1	17.8	33.9
Black Hispanic	15.4 5.5	69.2 60.0	96.2 91.9	35.6 23.0	21.0 24.7
<u>Age</u> 18 to 29 years 30 to 39 years	11.3 5.4	66.7 59.6	93.7 89.6	26.1 18.4	28.8 30.9
40 to 59 years	6.3	64.2	88.6	20.5	31.9
60 years and older	9.2	64.4	84.5	16.2	33.9
Education					
College graduate	5.7	67.1	89.2	20.7	38.4
Some college	7.3 6.1	61.5 60.7	88.8 91.7	17.1 20.6	29.8 28.2
High school graduate Less than high school graduate	17.8	67.6	85.3	30.4	28.2 24.5
Income					
Over \$60,000	4.3	68.8	92.0	22.5	39.8
Between \$30,000 and \$60,000	6.1 8.3	65.1 57.1	88.1 91.3	21.6 14.2	33.1 25.4
Between \$15,000 and \$29,999 Less than \$15,000	8.3 16.1	66.1	88.2	25.2	25.4 30.3
Community					
Urban	8.9	63.1	91.0	19.9	31.8
Suburban	8.3	66.2	90.9	20.9	35.7
Small city	4.8	64.9	89.4	19.6	32.3
Rural/small town	7.1	60.1	87.7	20.2	27.3
Region					
Northeast	8.5	69.5	88.6	19.2	27.7
Midwest	6.8	60.3	87.8	23.2	31.6
South	7.7	56.1	91.1	18.3	31.7
West	7.5	71.4	88.4	21.1	33.2
Politics	7.2	<u> </u>	00.0	20.4	24.9
Republican Democrat	7.2 7.5	66.0 62.9	89.8 89.6	20.4 18.9	34.8
Independent/other	7.5 6.6	62.9	89.6 88.6	20.4	27.9 30.2
	0.0	02.1	00.0	20.4	30.2

Note: See Note, table 2.22.

Attitudes toward proposals for dealing with prison inmates

By demographic characteristics, United States, 1995

Question: "Next, please tell me whether you think each of the following proposals are good ideas or bad ideas."

(Percent responding "good idea")

	Require prisoners to learn a skill or trade before release	Require prisoners to be able to read and write be- fore release	Keep prisoners busy con- structing buildings, making products or performing services that the State would have to hire other people to do	Pay prisoners for their work, but require them to return two-thirds of this amount to their victims or to the State for the cost of maintaining the prison	In order to keep families together, permit spouses to spend some weekends each year with their hus- band or wife in special guest houses within the prison grounds
National	92.0%	92.9%	86.8%	79.4%	47.1%
Sex					
Male	91.5	91.7	86.3	79.5	47.4
Female	92.5	94.1	87.1	79.3	46.7
Race					
White	92.3	92.9	87.3	79.2	44.1
Black	92.3	92.3	85.6	77.9	61.0
Hispanic	93.2	93.2	85.1	84.9	55.4
Age					
18 to 29 years	95.0	94.6	85.1	73.4	60.4
30 to 39 years	89.2	93.9	85.6	83.0	41.4
40 to 59 years	93.4	91.8	87.1	83.6	46.4
60 years and older	91.3	92.5	90.1	77.6	40.5
Education					
College graduate	93.5	89.6	89.6	76.7	50.0
Some college	94.1	94.8	87.7	84.3	42.5
High school graduate	88.9	93.5	83.1	79.1	47.1
Less than high school graduate	93.1	95.0	87.1	75.5	54.5
Income					
Over \$60,000	91.9	93.0	86.6	84.9	48.1
Between \$30,000 and \$60,000	93.6	93.4	88.6	79.3	47.2
Between \$15,000 and \$29,999	92.1	90.8	89.2	81.2	46.7
Less than \$15,000	90.7	94.1	82.2	78.3	51.3
Community					
Urban	93.0	94.3	87.9	76.3	48.4
Suburban	94.7	95.5	84.1	81.4	48.3
Small city	92.0	89.9	83.5	81.5	45.7
Rural/small town	89.8	91.6	89.0	78.5	46.1
Region					
Northeast	93.2	92.0	82.5	78.0	51.7
Midwest	92.9	94.1	85.3	76.5	45.8
South	91.4	90.6	90.0	77.7	46.9
West	91.3	95.9	85.5	86.3	45.2
Politics					
Republican	90.8	94.2	91.2	79.3	47.1
Democrat	92.5	91.1	87.2	80.0	48.2
Independent/other	93.1	93.4	83.8	81.7	46.9

Note: See Note, table 2.22.

Attitudes toward mandatory prison sentences

By demographic characteristics, United States, 1995

Question: "In recent years, some legislatures have made imprisonment mandatory for convictions for some types of crimes. Do you think these mandatory sentences are a good idea, or should judges be able to decide who goes to prison and who doesn't?"

	Mandatory sentences are a good idea	Judges should decide	Both	Neither
National	52.9%	36.4%	6.0%	1.3%
<u>Sex</u>				
Male	52.2	38.3	5.8	1.0
Female	53.6	34.5	6.1	1.5
Race				
White	55.0	33.9	6.3	1.1
Black	45.7	48.6	3.8	1.9
Hispanic	43.2	44.6	2.7	2.7
Age				
18 to 29 years	47.7	44.6	5.4	0.5
30 to 39 years	53.4	35.4	9.0	0.4
40 to 59 years	55.3	35.5	2.8	1.9
60 years and older	55.2	28.7	6.9	2.9
Education				
College graduate	52.7	39.8	5.4	1.1
Some college	56.6	31.5	6.6	0.3
High school graduate	53.5	36.0	6.5	1.5
Less than high school graduate	39.6	44.6	5.0	4.0
Income				
Over \$60,000	57.0	37.1	5.9	0.0
Between \$30,000 and \$60,000	53.7	35.7	6.1	1.4
Between \$15,000 and \$29,999	56.1	33.1	5.9	2.1
Less than \$15,000	45.4	43.7	2.5	2.5
Community				
Urban	43.9	43.3	5.1	1.9
Suburban	57.8	33.1	5.3	0.0
Small city	46.3	41.5	6.9	2.1
Rural/small town	56.4	33.1	6.6	1.3
Region				
Northeast	47.5	39.0	8.5	1.7
Midwest	50.0	38.7	6.7	1.3
South	59.7	28.9	5.7	1.1
West	49.6	43.0	3.7	1.2
Politics				
Republican	59.7	31.4	6.1	0.7
Democrat	49.3	39.6	5.7	2.1
Independent/other	51.6	37.6	5.3	1.3

Note: See Note, table 2.22. The "don't know" category has been omitted; therefore percents may not sum to 100.

Attitudes toward the effectiveness of various alternatives to prison

United States, 1996

Question: "To reduce crowding in jails and prisons, state and local officials use various alternatives to prisons. How effective do you think each of the following alternatives to prison are at <u>protecting citizens against crime</u>? Would you say it is very effective, somewhat effective, not very effective, or not effective at all at protecting citizens against crime?"

	Very effective	Somewhat effective	Not very effective	Not effective at all	Don't know
Regular probation supervision	15.6%	49.6%	21.0%	10.9%	3.0%
Electronic monitoring of offenders	24.7	43.2	14.6	10.5	6.7
House arrest (home confinement)	12.4	39.6	21.9	19.7	6.1
Requiring offenders to pay fines					
instead of other penalties	11.4	33.9	29.3	20.2	5.1
Weekend jail sentences	10.5	34.3	25.8	24.0	5.4
Short-term boot camps	30.0	41.0	12.5	7.0	9.3
Requiring probationers to work					
to repay victims	43.3	38.5	9.9	5.7	2.4
Requiring probationers to perform					
community services	28.3	46.7	15.3	6.8	2.7
Intensive probation supervision					
(close monitoring)	18.8	51.1	16.7	8.2	5.1

Note: See Note, table 2.10. The "refused" category has been omitted; therefore percents may not sum to 100.

Source: Table constructed by SOURCEBOOK staff from data provided by the Survey Research Program, College of Criminal Justice, Sam Houston State University.

Table 2.65				Table 2.66					
Attitudes toward the death penalty	1			Attitudes toward the death penalty By demographic characteristics, United States, 1997					
United States, selected years 1965-9	17								
Question: "Do you believe in capital p you opposed to it?"	ounishment, that	is, the death pe	enalty, or are	Question: "Do you believe in capital punishment, that is, the death penalty, or you opposed to it?"					
	Believe in it	Opposed to it	Not sure		Believe in it	Opposed to it			
1965	38%	47%	15%	National	75%	22%			
1969	48	38	14						
1970	47	42	11	Sex					
1973	59	31	10	Male	80	19			
1976	67	25	8	Female	71	25			
1983	68	27	5						
1997	75	22	3	Race, ethnicity					
Note: For a discussion of public opini			and Anneadin C	White	80	17			
Note. For a discussion of public opini	on survey samp	ing procedures	, see Appendix 6.	Black	46	53			
Source: Louis Harris and Associates, cate, Inc., June 11, 1997), p. 3. Table				Hispanic	72	28			
permission.	s adapted by SC	ONOLDOOK S	an. Reprined by	Region					
pormosion.				East	71	26			

	III IL	10 11	
National	75%	22%	
Sex			
Male	80	19	
Female	71	25	
Race, ethnicity			
White	80	17	
Black	46	53	
Hispanic	72	28	
Region			
East	71	26	
Midwest	72	24	
South	76	22	
West	84	14	
Politics			
Republican	87	11	
Democrat	67	31	
Independent	72	23	

Source: Louis Harris and Associates, Inc., The Harris Poll (Los Angeles: Creators Syndicate, Inc., June 11, 1997), p. 3. Table adapted by SOURCEBOOK staff. Reprinted by permission.

PAGE 160 INTENTIONALLY BLANK

Table 2.67				Table 2.68							
Attitudes toward the death pena			of murder	Attitudes toward the death penalty if murderers were given sentence of life without parole							
By demographic characteristics, U				By demographic characteristics, U	nited States	1996					
Question: "Are you in favor of the	death penalty	for persons co	onvicted of murder?"	Question: "If you knew that murde			if a contance without the passi				
	Yes	No	Don't know/ refused	bility of parole, would ye							
National	73.4%	19.9%	6.7%		Yes	No	Don't know				
				National	75.7%	20.1%	3.9%				
Sex											
Male	81.7	13.7	4.6	Sex							
Female	65.6	25.7	8.7	Male	81.6	15.6	2.8				
				Female	68.8	25.3	5.2				
Race, ethnicity	0	40 5		D							
White	77.2	16.5	6.3	Race, ethnicity		40.0					
Black	58.6	32.4	9.0	White	77.5	18.2	3.8				
Hispanic	61.0	33.8	5.2	Black	61.5	35.4	3.1				
				Hispanic	74.5	21.3	4.3				
Age											
18 to 24 years	70.4	26.8	2.8	Age							
25 to 39 years	70.6	23.4	6.0	18 to 24 years	74.0	24.0	2.0				
40 to 59 years	77.0	14.1	8.9	25 to 39 years	77.6	16.9	4.8				
60 years and older	73.0	20.2	6.7	40 to 59 years	71.8	23.8	4.1				
				60 years and older	81.7	14.2	4.2				
Education											
College graduate	67.2	26.4	6.4	Education							
Some college	74.5	18.5	7.1	College graduate	75.9	18.1	6.0				
High school graduate	80.5	14.5	5.0	Some college	80.1	17.4	2.5				
Less than high school graduate	67.2	21.3	11.5	High school graduate	72.2	23.1	3.7				
				Less than high school graduate	74.4	22.0	3.7				
Income											
Over \$60,000	74.1	19.7	6.1	Income							
\$30,000 to \$60,000	78.8	16.6	4.6	Over \$60,000	77.5	20.1	2.4				
\$15,000 to \$29,999	71.0	20.7	8.3	\$30,000 to \$60,000	75.2	21.0	3.4				
Less than \$15,000	65.0	30.0	5.0	\$15,000 to \$29,999	76.2	17.4	5.2				
				Less than \$15,000	71.4	23.1	5.5				
Community											
Urban	65.3	28.7	6.0	Community							
Suburban	76.7	15.3	8.0	Urban	75.2	18.3	6.4				
Small city	71.8	21.0	7.2	Suburban	77.4	19.5	3.2				
Rural/small town	75.0	19.0	6.0	Small city	72.3	23.8	3.8				
			0.0	Rural/small town	75.9	19.3	3.9				
Region							0.0				
Northeast	67.6	26.7	5.7	Region							
Midwest	75.5	15.0	9.5	Northeast	73.1	22.7	3.4				
South	72.2	21.6	6.2	Midwest	79.0	16.6	3.4				
West	72.2	17.7	5.2	South	79.0	21.4	4.3				
WOOL	11.1	17.7	5.2	West	74.3 76.0	21.4 19.8	4.3				
Politico				vvest	10.0	19.0	4.2				
Politics Popublicon	01.0	144	4.9	Bolitico							
Republican	81.0	14.1		Politics	70.4	17 4	2.2				
Democrat	68.0	26.4	5.6	Republican	79.4	17.4	3.2				
independent/other	12.8	18.5	8./								
Independent/other Note: See Note, table 2.10.	72.8	18.5	8.7	Democrat Independent/other	69.3 75.1	25.5 20.4	5.2 4.0				

^aPercents may not add to 100 because of rounding.

Source: Table constructed by SOURCEBOOK staff from data provided by the Survey Research Program, College of Criminal Justice, Sam Houston State University. Note: See Note, table 2.10. This question was asked only of the respondents who answered "yes" to the question in table 2.67. The "refused" category has been omitted; therefore percents may not sum to 100.

Attitudes toward the death penalty for persons convicted of murder

By demographic characteristics, United States, selected years 1976-96

Question: "Do you favor or oppose the death penalty for persons convicted of murder?"

	19	976	19	977	19	78	19	980	19	82	19	983	19	984	19	985
	Favor	Oppose														
National	66%	30%	67%	26%	66%	28%	67%	27%	74%	20%	73%	22%	70%	24%	76%	19%
Sex																
Male	73	24	75	22	74	24	75	21	80	16	80	16	77	19	80	17
Female	60	34	61	30	61	31	61	32	69	24	68	27	66	27	72	22
Race																
White	68	28	70	24	69	25	70	24	77	18	76	19	75	20	79	17
Black/other	44	51	46	47	44	48	40	51	51	42	49	44	46	46	53	35
Age																
18 to 20 years	55	42	69	30	63	33	70	27	68	26	64	29	68	27	69	29
21 to 29 years	58	38	62	31	64	31	66	31	74	20	74	22	76	19	75	20
30 to 49 years	69	27	67	27	67	28	69	26	74	21	76	19	70	24	76	18
50 years and older	68	26	70	23	68	25	66	25	74	20	71	25	67	26	76	20
Education ^a																
College	63	33	66	29	66	29	67	30	71	21	75	22	73	22	73	22
High school graduate	68	27	69	24	68	26	71	23	78	17	75	20	71	23	78	17
Less than high																
school graduate	61	30	65	29	60	31	56	33	64	26	61	29	59	33	72	21
Income																
\$50,000 and over	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х
\$30,000 to \$49,999	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х
\$20,000 to \$29,999	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х
Under \$20,000	Х	х	Х	х	Х	Х	Х	х	Х	х	Х	х	Х	х	Х	Х
Occupation																
Professional/business	67	30	64	28	68	28	68	28	72	23	73	23	75	20	76	19
Clerical	66	27	64	26	72	23	69	26	79	17	78	18	71	23	76	19
Manual	68	29	71	25	65	28	68	26	73	21	71	23	69	25	76	19
Farmer	70	20	74	20	76	20	71	15	77	15	85	10	61	39	76	22
Region																
Northeast	70	26	64	31	67	28	68	26	74	22	70	25	74	20	74	21
Midwest	65	31	68	25	69	24	66	26	72	21	75	21	65	28	73	20
South	63	31	64	28	64	30	66	28	74	21	70	25	68	27	76	19
West	65	32	77	20	64	31	70	25	76	18	79	16	78	16	79	17
Religion																
Protestant	65	30	67	26	67	27	67	26	73	21	74	22	70	24	76	19
Catholic	68	27	70	25	68	27	71	23	76	20	72	22	72	23	78	19
Jewish	74	26	66	26	79	21	75	22	73	19	67	26	85	4	62	31
None	55	41	64	34	58	38	54	39	73	16	72	26	68	26	75	20
Politics																
Republican	73	23	74	21	73	23	77	18	79	16	85	13	80	16	83	13
Democrat	65	30	67	27	63	31	63	31	71	24	67	28	64	30	70	24
Independent	62	34	64	29	66	27	66	28	73	20	72	22	70	23	75	19

Note: The "don't know" category has been omitted; therefore percents may not sum to 100. Readers interested in responses to this question for previous years should consult previous editions of SOURCEBOOK. For a discussion of public opinion survey sampling procedures, see Appendix 6.

Source: National Opinion Research Center, "General Social Surveys, 1972-94," "General Social Surveys, 1996," Storrs, CT: The Roper Center for Public Opinion Research, University of Connecticut. (Machine-readable data files.) Table constructed by SOURCEBOOK staff.

^aIn 1996, education categories were revised slightly and therefore are not directly comparable to data presented for prior years.

19	86	19	87	19	88	19	989	19	90	19	91	19	993	19	94	19	96
Favor	Oppose																
71%	23%	70%	24%	71%	22%	74%	20%	74%	19%	72%	22%	72%	21%	74%	20%	71%	22%
79 66	17 28	73 67	22 26	77 66	18 26	81 69	16 24	79 71	18 21	77 67	19 25	78 67	16 24	79 71	17 22	79 65	17 25
00	20	07	20	00	20	69	24	/1	21	07	25	07	24	71	22	05	25
75	20	74	21	76	18	77	18	78	16	75	19	75	18	78	16	75	18
49	43	46	43	46	44	57	36	58	36	53	37	54	38	56	34	54	35
68 72	24 23	64 69	36 27	61 73	35 24	69 71	25 24	66 79	34 16	60 74	33 23	70 69	23 26	73 72	21 21	70 72	22 22
70	23 27	74	21	73	24 21	76	24	79	21	74	23	73	20	72	20	72	22
74	20	66	26	70	22	74	19	74	18	71	21	73	20	75	18	71	21
72	23	70	26	71	23	72	22	73	21	69	25	69	24	73	21	69	24
73	23	73	20	73	20	77	18	77	18	74	20	75	18	77	17	76	17
64	26	54	38	59	27	69	24	70	21	72	21	71	18	67	25	68	23
Х	х	х	х	х	х	х	х	х	х	х	х	73	22	77	18	75	20
X X	74 81	18 14	76 75	18 19	74 72	18 24											
Х	Х	х	Х	Х	х	Х	Х	х	Х	х	Х	67	25	71	22	64	25
76	21	72	25	72	21	75	19	72	20	67	25	70	23	75	20	70	22
70 69	25 25	74 68	19 24	72 71	21 24	73 74	21 21	81 74	14 22	73 75	22 20	72 74	21 19	74 75	19 19	73 72	20 21
83	17	65	28	67	29	91	4	78	7	81	11	74	21	74	18	72	20
70	26	72	23	66	26	72	19	75	20	71	23	65	26	70	24	66	26
69 67	26 26	67 67	26 26	70 72	24 21	72 75	22 21	76 72	20 20	70 71	24 22	72 75	18 19	72 76	20 19	72 72	22 20
83	13	76	19	76	17	76	19	76	16	76	20	72	24	78	16	74	19
72	23	70	24	72	22	75	20	75	19	72	22	74	20	75	18	72	20
69 79	26 16	70 80	24 10	73 63	21 23	73 87	20 13	76 74	18 15	75 53	21 34	68 70	22 21	75 72	19 26	70 63	23 28
73	24	65	28	67	26	71	24	72	24	65	28	72	23	73	20	69	22
80	15	83	14	81	12	82	14	83	12	84	13	81	13	84	12	85	12
66	30	61 69	32	62 72	32 20	68 73	26 21	68 74	24 21	63 69	29 23	64	30 20	65	28	61	30 21

Table 2.70				Table 2.71			
Attitudes toward the	death penalty for	persons convid	ted of murder	Attitudes toward the dea	th penalty for	persons convic	ed of murder
United States, selected	•			By demographic character	istics, United St	ates, 1995	
Question: "Are you in f	avor of the death p	enalty for a pers	on convicted of murder?"	Question: "Are you in favor	r of the death pe	enalty for a perso	n convicted of murder?"
	Yes, in favor	No, not in favor	No opinion ^b		Yes, in favor	No, not in favor	Depends
1953	68%	25%	7%	National	77%	13%	8%
1956	53	34	13				
1957	47	34	18	Sex			
1960	53	36	11	Male	80	12	6
1965	45	43	12	Female	74	15	9
1966	42	47	11				-
1967	54	38	8	Race			
1969	51	40	9	White	81	10	7
1971	49	40	11	Black	53	31	14
1972 ^c	50	40	9	Nonwhite ^a	56	30	12
1972 ^d	57	32	11		00	00	12
1976	66	26	8	Age			
1978	62	27	11	18 to 29 years	80	14	4
1981	66	25	9	30 to 49 years	77	13	8
1985	72	20	8	50 to 64 years	79	12	7
1988	79	16	5	So to by years	15	12	I.
1991	76	18	6	50 years and older	75	12	11
1994	80	16	4	65 years and older	73	12	14
1994	77	13	4 10	os years and older	/ 1	15	14
			-	Education			
Note: For a discussion	of public opinion s	urvey sampling p	procedures, see Appendix 6.	College post graduate	69	22	7
				College graduate	75	17	6
^a Percents may not add	to 100 because of	rounding.			81	9	8
^b May include other res	ponse categories s	uch as "depends	s" or "refused."	Some college			
^c Mar. 3-5.				No college	76	14	8
^d Nov. 10-13.				Income			
				Income	04		4
Source: George Gallup	o, Jr., The Gallup I	Poll Monthly, No	. 357 (Princeton, NJ: The Gallup	\$50,000 and over	81	14	4
Poll, June 1995), p. 25	. Reprinted by perr	nission.	•	\$30,000 to \$49,999	82	7	9
				\$20,000 to \$29,999	75	14	8
				Under \$20,000	71	17	11
				<u>Community</u>			
				Urban area	72	17	8
				Suburban area	83	9	7
				Rural area	79	11	8
				Region			
				East	75	17	8
				Midwest	78	10	9
				South	77	12	8

Independent Note: The "no opinion" category has been omitted; therefore percents may not sum to 100. For a discussion of public opinion survey sampling procedures, see Appendix 6.

7

20

13

4

10 9

89

67

76

^aIncludes black respondents.

Politics

Republican

Democrat

Source: George Gallup, Jr., *The Gallup Poll Monthly*, No. 357 (Princeton, NJ: The Gallup Poll, June 1995), p. 25; and data provided by The Gallup Organization, Inc. Reprinted by permission.

Table 2.72				Table 2.73			
Attitudes toward the death			of murder	Attitudes toward the dea that innocent persons h			ed of murder given evidence
By demographic characterist				By demographic characte	ristics United S	tates 1995	
Question: "When a teenager should get the dea			lty by a jury, do you think he because of his youth?"	Question: "Some experts	estimate that or	ne out of a hundred	d people who have been sen- timate were right, would you still
	Yes, death penalty	No, spared	Don't know/ refused		f a murder, or not?"		
National	60%	30%	10%	-	Yes, still support	No, would not support	Depends
Sex				National	74%	20%	4%
Male	66	26	8				
Female	56	33	11	Sex			
- onidio	00	00		Male	79	15	5
Race				Female	70	24	4
White	60	30	10	1 emaie	70	24	-
Black	55	38	7	Race			
					75	40	
Nonwhite ^b	59	33	8	White	75	19	4
				Black	61	28	9
Age				Nonwhite ^a	68	22	8
18 to 29 years	60	30	10				
30 to 49 years	62	30	8	Age			
50 to 64 years	55	34	11	18 to 29 years	73	23	2
65 years and older	63	25	12	30 to 49 years	76	20	4
··· , ·····				50 to 64 years	76	15	8
Education				oo to of yours	10	10	0
College post graduate	52	39	9	50 years and older	74	17	6
	59	33	8		74	19	5
College graduate				65 years and older	12	19	5
Some college	59	37	14				
No college	63	29	8	Education			
				College post graduate	77	20	2
Income				College graduate	79	17	4
\$75,000 and over	68	28	4	Some college	76	19	5
\$50,000 and over ^c	62	30	8	No college	70	22	5
\$30,000 to \$49,999	60	31	9	-			
\$20,000 to \$29,999	66	22	12	Income			
Under \$20,000	55	33	12	\$50,000 and over	79	17	4
	00	00	12	\$30,000 to \$49,999	78	17	3
Community				\$20,000 to \$29,999	77	14	7
Urban area	60	31	9		66	25	5
				Under \$20,000	00	20	5
Suburban area	61	30	9				
Rural area	60	28	12	Community			_
				Urban area	69	24	5
Region				Suburban area	82	12	4
East	57	32	11	Rural area	73	22	4
Midwest	59	31	10				
South	64	28	8	Region			
West	60	29	11	East	76	18	5
		-		Midwest	72	21	4
Politics				South	73	22	5
Republican	65	24	11	West	77	16	4
Democrat	56	24 37	7	WOOL		10	+
				Delitica			
Independent	61	28	11	Politics		40	
Note: For a discussion of pul	blic opinion survev sa	mpling proced	lures, see Appendix 6.	Republican	77	18	3
		,		Democrat	70	23	6
^a Percents may not add to 10				Independent	75	18	4

^aPercents may not add to 100 because of rounding.

^bIncludes black respondents. ^cIncludes \$75,000 and over category.

Source: George Gallup, Jr., *The Gallup Poll Monthly*, No. 348 (Princeton, NJ: The Gallup Poll, September 1994), p. 4; and data provided by The Gallup Organization, Inc. Table adapted by SOURCEBOOK staff. Reprinted by permission.

Note: This question was asked of those respondents answering "yes" to the question pre-sented in table 2.71. The "don't know/refused" category has been omitted; therefore percents may not sum to 100. For a discussion of public opinion survey sampling procedures, see Appendix 6.

^aIncludes black respondents.

Source: Table constructed by SOURCEBOOK staff from data provided by The Gallup Organization, Inc. Reprinted by permission.

Respondents reporting having a gun in their home

United States, selected years 1959-97

Question: "Do you have a gun in your home?"

	Yes	No
1959	49%	51%
1965	48	52
1968	50	50
1972	43	55
1975	44	54
1980	45	53
1983	40	58
1985	44	55
1989	47	51
1990	47	52
1991	46	53
March 1993	48	51
October 1993	51	48
1996	38	60
1997	42	57

Note: The "no opinion" category has been omitted; therefore percents may not sum to 100. For a discussion of public opinion survey sampling procedures, see Appendix 6.

Source: George Gallup, Jr., *The Gallup Poll Monthly*, No. 371 (Princeton, NJ: The Gallup Poll, August 1996), p. 37; and data provided by The Gallup Organization, Inc. Table adapted by SOURCEBOOK staff. Reprinted by permission.

Respondents reporting a firearm in their home

By demographic characteristics, United States, selected years 1973-96

Question: "Do you happen to have in your home (or garage) any guns or revolvers?"

(Percent reporting having any firearms)

	1973	1974	1976	1977	1980	1982	1984	1985	1987	1988	1989	1990	1991	1993	1994	1996
National	47%	46%	47%	51%	48%	45%	45%	44%	46%	40%	46%	43%	40%	42%	41%	40%
Sex																
Male	53	51	52	55	56	54	53	54	51	50	55	53	50	53	50	47
Female	43	42	43	47	41	39	40	36	43	33	39	34	32	34	33	34
Race																
White	49	48	58	53	50	48	48	46	49	43	50	45	42	45	44	44
Black/other	38	32	37	34	29	30	30	29	33	28	23	29	29	26	24	24
Age																
18 to 20 years	50	34	38	54	48	51	44	39	43	33	35	40	22	48	42	35
21 to 29 years	43	48	45	45	48	41	37	40	35	34	33	34	36	38	34	32
30 to 49 years	51	49	52	55	50	51	48	48	51	42	48	46	40	44	41	39
50 years and older	46	44	44	49	46	44	49	44	47	42	50	42	42	42	43	47
Education ^a																
College	45	42	44	45	41	39	42	40	43	37	41	37	34	38	38	38
High school graduate	50	48	50	54	51	51	48	49	50	43	51	47	46	46	44	46
Less than high school graduate	44	49	42	51	51	41	43	38	44	39	46	47	39	47	37	38
Income																
\$50,000 and over	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	49	52	49
\$30,000 to \$49,999	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	48	50	44
\$20,000 to \$29,999	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	44	38	44
Under \$20,000	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	32	28	26
Occupation																
Professional/business	48	45	46	48	45	42	42	40	45	39	46	38	35	38	38	39
Clerical	42	43	40	49	45	39	41	40	45	37	37	38	35	36	36	40
Manual	48	48	48	52	48	49	48	48	46	41	52	50	47	51	45	43
Farmer	83	79	62	66	81	77	84	78	75	82	87	83	56	68	67	67
Region																
Northeast	22	27	29	32	27	32	32	28	31	25	32	30	28	29	26	24
Midwest	51	49	48	53	52	48	44	48	46	41	46	44	42	41	46	42
South	62	59	60	62	59	52	52	53	55	47	53	52	50	52	48	48
West	47	42	44	46	44	47	49	40	47	42	48	39	32	39	35	39
Religion																
Protestant	56	52	53	57	56	52	52	50	52	46	53	48	46	47	46	46
Catholic	35	37	36	39	36	36	34	35	36	31	36	36	30	36	34	34
Jewish	14	7	26	17	6	11	22	9	25	0	18	6	10	9	18	11
None	32	40	43	50	39	37	36	44	39	41	36	34	31	37	32	35
Politics																
Republican	53	49	50	56	53	50	56	47	51	46	50	48	42	51	49	49
Democrat	44	45	45	49	46	44	42	47	44	39	43	40	41	35	37	35
Independent	49	47	48	50	47	44	40	39	44	36	46	42	37	42	39	38

Note: For a discussion of public opinion survey sampling procedures, see Appendix 6.

Source: National Opinion Research Center, "General Social Surveys, 1972-94," "General Social Surveys, 1996," Storrs, CT: The Roper Center for Public Opinion Research, University of Connecticut. (Machinereadable data files.) Table constructed by SOURCEBOOK staff.

^aIn 1996, education categories were revised slightly and therefore are not directly comparable to data presented for prior years.

Tabl	e 2.76
------	--------

Respondents reporting a firearm in their home

By type of firearm and demographic characteristics, United States, 1996

Question: "Do you happen to have in your home (or garage) any guns or revolvers?" If yes, "Is it a pistol, shotgun, rifle, or what?"

(Percent reporting having a firearm)

		Firearm i	n the home	
		Theathr	Type of firearm ^a	
	Any type	Pistol	Shotgun	Rifle
National	40%	56%	62%	58%
Sex				
Male	47	57	70	64
Female	34	54	52	53
Race				
White	44	55	64	62
Black/other	24	59	40	26
Age				
18 to 20 years	35	39	67	44
21 to 29 years	32	55	60	54
30 to 49 years	39	59	60	60
50 years and older	47	53	64	58
Education ^b				
College	38	58	59	58
High school graduate	46	55	64	64
Less than high school				
graduate	38	48	66	48
Income	10			
\$50,000 and over	49	57	66	64
\$30,000 to \$49,999	44	58	61	64
\$20,000 to \$29,999	44	59	58	52
Under \$20,000	26	50	59	51
Occupation				
Professional/business	39	57	61	61
Clerical	40	57	54	58
Manual	43	53	66	56
Farmer	67	75	65	85
Region				
Northeast	24	50	61	60
Midwest	42	43	69	61
South	48	60	64	56
West	39	66	49	57
Religion				
Protestant	46	55	65	58
Catholic	34	55	56	59
Jewish	11	100	25	50
None	35	58	56	61
Politics				
Republican	49	62	70	62
Democrat	35	54	52	53
Independent	38	51	61	60
maopendent	50	51	01	00

Note: For a discussion of public opinion survey sampling procedures, see Appendix 6.

^aPercents for pistol, shotgun, and rifle are based on the 40% subsample of respondents reporting that they have a gun in their home. Percents add to more than 100 because some respondents reported owning more than one type. ^bIn 1996, education categories were revised slightly and therefore are not directly compara-

ble to previous editions of SOURCEBOOK.

Source: National Opinion Research Center, "General Social Surveys, 1996," Storrs, CT: The Roper Center for Public Opinion Research, University of Connecticut. (Machine-readable data file.) Table constructed by SOURCEBOOK staff.

Respondents reporting a firearm in their home By demographic characteristics, United States, 199 Question: "Do you happen to have in your home or	6
	6
Question: "Do you happen to have in your home or	
	garage any guns or revolvers?"
(Percent saying "yes")	
National	40%
Race, ethnicity	
White	45
Black	17
Hispanic	37
Education	
College post graduate	30
College graduate	35
Some college	40
High school graduate	45
Less than high school graduate	36
Income	
Income	47
\$50,001 and over	
\$35,001 to \$50,000	52
\$25,001 to \$35,000	54
\$15,001 to \$25,000	28
\$7,501 to \$15,000	25
\$7,500 or less	17
Community	
City	25
Suburb	40
Small town	58
Rural area	62
Region	
East	29
Midwest	45
South	46
West	39
Politics	
Conservative	52
Moderate	36
Liberal	30

Note: For a discussion of public opinion survey sampling procedures, see Appendix 6.

Source: Louis Harris and Associates, Inc., The Harris Poll (Los Angeles: Creators Syndicate, Inc., Aug. 26, 1996), p. 3. Table adapted by SOURCEBOOK staff. Reprinted by permission.

Table 2.78			Table 2.79				
Respondents reporting owning	a firearm		Attitudes toward laws co	vering the sale	e of firearms		
By demographic characteristics, U	nited States, 1995		By demographic character	istics, United St	ates, 1996		
Question: "Do you personally own	a gun, or not?"		Question: "In general, do y made more stric		laws covering th kept as they are		is should be
	Yes	No	made more sinc	, 1833 31161, 01	Rept as they are	now:	
National	35%	64%		More strict	Less strict	Kept as they are now	Don't know refused
Sex							
Male	50	50	National	61%	9%	26%	4%
Female	22	77	0				
2000			<u>Sex</u> Male	48	13	36	3
<u>Race</u> White	20	64			5		5
	38	61	Female	73	5	17	5
Black	17	83	Deve				
Nonwhite ^a	20	80	Race				
			White	59	9	28	4
Age			Black	77	4	17	2
18 to 29 years	25	75	Nonwhite ^a	75	4	16	5
30 to 49 years	38	62					
50 to 64 years	47	51	Age				
			18 to 29 years	65	8	25	2
50 years and older	39	59	30 to 49 years	61	10	26	3
65 years and older	31	68	50 to 64 years	57	9	29	5
Education			50 years and older	60	7	27	6
College post graduate	30	70	65 years and older	63	6	24	7
College graduate	36	64					
Some college	37	62	Education				
No college	34	65	College post graduate	65	7	24	4
to concigo	01		College graduate	58	14	23	5
ncome			Some college	66	8	23	3
\$50.000 and over	40	60	No college	58	8	30	4
\$30,000 to \$49,999	40	58	No college	50	0	30	4
			Income				
\$20,000 to \$29,999	40	59	Income				
Under \$20,000	26	74	\$50,000 and over	61	11	26	2
			\$30,000 to \$49,999	59	9	29	3
Community			\$20,000 to \$29,999	62	6	29	3
Urban area	25	74	Under \$20,000	66	7	23	4
Suburban area	38	62					
Rural area	52	47	Community				
			Urban area	72	6	19	3
Region			Suburban area	57	10	29	4
East	22	78	Rural area	51	10	34	5
Vidwest	35	64					
South	46	53	Region				
West	35	65	East	68	8	20	4
	00	00	Midwest	63	4	20	4
Politics			South	55	11	30	4
	20	61	West	55 62	10	23	4 5
Republican	38		vvest	02	10	23	Э
Democrat	30	69	Delition				
Independent	37	63	Politics				
Note: The "don't know/refused" cat	tegory has been omitted	therefore percents may	not sum	51	13	33	3
to 100. For a discussion of public of			Democrat	73	4	20	3
s .ss. of a allocation of public t	opon our toy our pling	procession, occ rippend	Independent	58	10	26	6

^aIncludes black respondents.

Source: Table constructed by SOURCEBOOK staff from data provided by The Gallup Organization, Inc. Reprinted by permission.

Note: For a discussion of public opinion survey sampling procedures, see Appendix 6.

^aIncludes black respondents.

Source: Table constructed by SOURCEBOOK staff from data provided by The Gallup Or-ganization, Inc. Reprinted by permission.

Attitudes toward various gun control issues

By demographic characteristics, United States, 1995

Question: "Please tell me for each of the following statements whether you strongly agree, agree, neither agree nor disagree, disagree or strongly disagree."

(Percent responding "strongly agree" or "agree")

	Armed citizens are the best defense against criminals	Parents should be charged with a crime if their children injure themselves or others with a gun kept in their household		Companies that manufacture guns with no hunting or sporting purpose should be held finan- cially responsible when these guns in- jure or kill people
National	33.3%	55.6%	32.6%	45.4%
<u>Sex</u> Male Female	41.6 25.5	54.7 56.5	39.3 26.3	39.8 50.7
<u>Race</u> White Black Hispanic	33.6 32.7 31.5	57.6 39.4 54.1	33.2 31.1 28.4	43.6 51.9 47.3
Age 18 to 29 years 30 to 39 years 40 to 59 years 60 years and older	33.9 36.1 32.8 30.6	46.4 59.4 58.2 56.6	33.9 36.3 31.8 29.3	43.9 46.9 44.5 45.9
Education College graduate Some college High school graduate Less than high school graduate	23.4 37.2 35.1 45.1	60.2 57.3 52.5 50.5	25.5 39.2 32.0 35.3	51.3 43.0 47.7 33.7
Income Over \$60,000 Between \$30,000 and \$60,000 Between \$15,000 and \$29,999 Less than \$15,000	33.3 33.2 33.1 40.0	62.6 55.4 56.1 51.3	28.5 34.4 36.7 28.6	48.4 47.8 43.9 48.7
<u>Community</u> Urban Suburban Small city Rural/small town	26.8 29.7 29.4 41.2	58.0 62.5 57.4 50.3	35.9 27.2 28.7 37.0	48.1 47.1 50.5 41.1
Region Northeast Midwest South West	19.8 28.7 41.7 35.3	59.7 45.6 53.1 65.7	24.4 26.9 38.9 35.3	56.3 42.9 42.9 43.4
Politics Republican Democrat Independent/other	39.1 29.6 32.7	57.8 54.6 54.9	41.0 29.2 30.3	39.1 55.0 44.4

Note: See Note, table 2.22.

Table 2.81				
Attitudes toward laws covering	g the sale of fi	rearms		
By demographic characteristics,	United States,	1995		
Question: "In general, do you fee made more strict, less				ould be
	More strict	Less strict	Kept as they are	
National	59.2%	9.7%	28.1%	
Sex				
Male	48.7	13.7	33.7	
Female	69.0	5.9	22.8	
Race				
White	55.4	9.1	32.2	
Black Hispanic	71.2 80.8	13.5 6.8	15.4 12.3	
Порапіс	00.0	0.0	12.5	
Age	50.4	10.0		
18 to 29 years 30 to 39 years	58.4 56.5	10.0 11.2	29.9 30.2	
40 to 59 years	61.0	9.4	26.1	
60 years and older	59.0	8.1	27.7	
Education				
College graduate	66.7	8.6	22.6	
Some college	54.5	11.5	31.5	
High school graduate	58.6	9.5	28.5	
Less than high school graduate	54.5	7.9	30.7	
Income				
Over \$60,000	63.4	7.5	28.0	
Between \$30,000 and \$60,000	56.0	11.1	30.2	
Between \$15,000 and \$29,999 Less than \$15,000	59.8 58.5	10.0 9.3	28.9 26.3	
Less than \$13,000	50.5	9.5	20.3	
Community				
Urban	67.9	7.1	23.1	
Suburban Small city	65.0 64.7	9.9 8.6	21.3 25.1	
Rural/small town	49.2	10.7	36.4	
Region				
Northeast	69.5	5.1	23.2	
Midwest	52.5	10.9	34.0	
South	58.2	10.9	26.6	
West	59.9	9.9	27.7	
Politics				
Republican	50.0	14.3	33.0	
Democrat	72.5	4.6	21.8	
Independent/other	55.7	9.8	30.0	

Note: See Note, table 2.22. The "don't know" and "refused" categories have been omitted; therefore percents may not sum to 100.

United States, select	ed years 1982-9	3		
Question: "Would yo	u favor or oppose	e the registration o	all handguns?"	
			No	
	Favor	Oppose	opinion	
1982	66%	30%	4%	
1985	70	25	5	
1990	81	17	2	
1991	80	17	3	
1993	81	18	1	
Note: For a discussion	on of public opinio	on survey sampling	procedures, see Append	dix 6.
Source: George Gall	up. Jr., The Gall	up Poll Monthly.	No. 340 (Princeton, NJ: T	he Gallup
	p. 20. Reprinted			no ounup
Poll, January 1994),				
Poll, January 1994),				
Poll, January 1994),				
Poll, January 1994),				
Table 2.83				
Table 2.83	· · ·	ession of handgu	ns except by the police	and oth
Table 2.83	anning the poss	ession of handgu	ns except by the police	and othe
Table 2.83 Attitudes toward ba authorized persons	anning the poss	-	ns except by the police	and oth
Table 2.83 Attitudes toward ba	anning the poss	-	ns except by the police	and oth
Table 2.83 Attitudes toward ba authorized persons United States, select Question: "Do you th	anning the poss ed years 1980-93 ink there should	3 or should not be a	law that would ban the po	
Table 2.83 Attitudes toward ba authorized persons United States, select Question: "Do you th	anning the poss ed years 1980-93 ink there should	3	law that would ban the po	
Table 2.83 Attitudes toward be authorized persons United States, select Question: "Do you th	anning the poss ed years 1980-93 ink there should	3 or should not be a	law that would ban the po	
Table 2.83 Attitudes toward be authorized persons United States, select Question: "Do you th	anning the poss ed years 1980-93 ink there should	3 or should not be a blice and other auth	law that would ban the provided persons?"	
Table 2.83 Attitudes toward ba authorized persons United States, select Question: "Do you th	anning the poss ed years 1980-9 ink there should except by the po	3 or should not be a blice and other auth Should	law that would ban the po norized persons?" Don't know	
Table 2.83 Attitudes toward ba authorized persons United States, select Question: "Do you th handguns	anning the poss include the second ink there should except by the po Should	3 or should not be a blice and other auth Should not	law that would ban the po norized persons?" Don't know or refused	
Table 2.83 Attitudes toward ba authorized persons United States, select Question: "Do you th handguns January 1980	anning the poss inter the poss of the pose ink there should except by the post Should 31%	3 or should not be a plice and other auth Should not 65%	law that would ban the po norized persons?" Don't know or refused 4%	
Table 2.83 Attitudes toward ba authorized persons United States, select Question: "Do you th handguns January 1980 December 1980	anning the poss ed years 1980-93 ink there should except by the po Should 31% 38	3 or should not be a shice and other auth Should not 65% 51	law that would ban the portion of the portion of the point of the	
Table 2.83 Attitudes toward ba authorized persons United States, select Question: "Do you th handguns January 1980 December 1980 April 1981	anning the poss ted years 1980-93 ink there should except by the po Should 31% 38 39	3 or should not be a blice and other auth Should not 65% 51 58	law that would ban the prorized persons?" Don't know or refused 4% 11 3	
Table 2.83 Attitudes toward ba authorized persons United States, select Question: "Do you th handguns January 1980 December 1980 April 1981 June 1981	anning the poss inter the should except by the post Should 31% 38 39 41	3 or should not be a plice and other auth Should not 65% 51 58 58 54	law that would ban the provided persons?" Don't know or refused 4% 11 3 5	
Table 2.83 Attitudes toward be authorized persons United States, select Question: "Do you th handguns January 1980 December 1980 April 1981 June 1981 October 1987	anning the poss intervention in the poss intervention in the poss intervention in the possible intervention in the possible in the possible intervention in the possible in the possible in the possible intervention in the possible in the possi	3 or should not be a plice and other auti Should not 65% 51 58 54 54 50	law that would ban the portion of the portion of the point of the poin	
Table 2.83 Attitudes toward ba authorized persons United States, select Question: "Do you th handguns January 1980 December 1980 April 1981 June 1981 October 1987 September 1990	anning the poss teed years 1980-93 ink there should except by the po Should 31% 38 39 41 42 41	3 or should not be a blice and other auth Should not 65% 51 58 51 58 54 50 55	law that would ban the provinced persons?" Don't know or refused 4% 11 3 5 8 4	
Table 2.83 Attitudes toward ba authorized persons United States, select Question: "Do you th handguns January 1980 December 1980 April 1981 June 1981 October 1987 September 1990 March 1991	anning the poss ink there should except by the poss Should 31% 38 39 41 42 41 43	3 or should not be a blice and other auth Should not 65% 51 58 54 50 55 55 53	law that would ban the prorized persons?" Don't know or refused 4% 11 3 5 8 4 4	

PAGE 172 INTENTIONALLY BLANK.

Attitudes toward restricting the sale of handguns

By demographic characteristics, United States, 1996

Question: "Now I am going to read you a list of some programs and proposals that are being discussed in this country today. For each one, please tell me whether you strongly favor, favor, oppose, or strongly oppose it: Restricting the sale of handguns?"

	Strongly favor	Favor	Oppose	Strongly oppose
National	29.5%	23.7%	25.9%	18.6%
Sex				
Male	21.8	24.7	29.1	22.2
Female	36.4	22.7	22.9	15.3
Race				
White	29.3	23.9	25.7	18.7
Black	30.9	22.0	27.9	17.1
Nonwhite ^a	31.1	22.4	26.7	17.9
Age				
18 to 29 years	29.7	27.8	23.3	18.3
30 to 49 years	28.5	25.1	24.8	19.4
50 to 64 years	31.0	20.5	26.3	20.0
65 years and older	29.7	16.2	33.3	15.2
Education				
College graduate	41.5	24.0	18.8	13.9
Some college	26.0	28.0	27.1	17.6
High school graduate Less than high school	26.6	21.9	28.5	20.2
graduate	24.8	21.5	27.4	22.5
Family income				
\$75,000 and over	37.7	23.2	20.5	17.1
\$50,000 to \$74,999	30.5	27.7	24.4	15.9
\$30,000 to \$49,999	26.4	24.4	25.5	22.0
\$20,000 to \$29,999	30.7	23.9	26.2	16.6
Under \$20,000	27.2	23.0	30.1	18.0
Region				
Northeast	34.2	20.2	22.3	20.2
Midwest	31.2	22.8	28.3	15.8
South	26.8	24.9	25.8	19.7
West	27.4	26.1	26.5	18.4
Politics				
Republican	22.8	27.2	28.0	19.7
Democrat	34.0	22.0	26.4	15.8
Independent	31.8	23.1	22.3	20.4

Note: These data are derived from telephone interviews of a nationwide sample of 1,975 adults, 18 years of age and older. The interviews were conducted May 31-June 9, 1996 by Princeton Survey Research Associates for The Pew Research Center for The People & The Press. The 'don't know' category has been omitted; therefore percents may not sum to 100. For a discussion of public opinion survey sampling procedures, see Appendix 6.

^aIncludes black respondents.

Source: Table constructed by SOURCEBOOK staff from data provided by The Pew Research Center for The People & The Press. Reprinted by permission.

Attitudes toward a law requiring a police permit prior to gun purchase

By demographic characteristics, United States, selected years 1973-96

Question: "Would you favor or oppose a law which would require a person to obtain a police permit before he or she could buy a gun?"

	19	973	19	974	19	75	19	976	19	977	19	80	19	982	19	984
	Favor	Oppose	Favor	Oppose	Favor	Oppose	Favor	Oppose								
National	74%	25%	75%	24%	74%	24%	72%	27%	72%	26%	69%	29%	72%	26%	70%	27%
Sex																
Male	67	32	66	33	66	32	64	35	64	35	63	36	68	31	62	37
Female	79	19	83	15	80	17	78	20	78	19	74	23	75	23	76	20
Race	70	05	75		70	05	74	07	70	00	00		74	07	00	00
White Black/other	73 74	25 24	75 77	24 22	73 81	25 15	71 74	27 24	70 81	28 17	68 81	30	71 78	27	69 79	29
Diack/other	74	24	11	22	01	15	74	24	01	17	01	15	78	19	79	18
Age																
18 to 20 years	73	27	75	23	74	26	78	22	69	31	71	29	77	23	71	24
21 to 29 years	76	23	77	23	79	19	71	27	72	26	73	27	76	24	73	25
30 to 49 years	72	26	76	24	70	27	73	25	70	29	70	29	72	26	70	29
50 years and older	74	24	74	24	73	24	70	29	74	24	67	29	69	29	70	26
Education ^a																
College	76	23	77	22	76	22	71	27	74	25	70	29	76	23	74	25
High school graduate	73	25	75	23	74	24	72	27	70	28	69	29	71	27	68	30
Less than high											-					
school graduate	70	27	71	27	68	26	71	28	72	25	70	27	64	30	72	23
Income																
\$50,000 and over	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х
\$30,000 to \$49,999	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х
\$20,000 to \$29,999	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х
Under \$20,000	Х	х	Х	х	Х	х	Х	х	Х	х	Х	х	Х	х	Х	х
Occupation																
Professional/business	71	27	74	25	73	24	74	25	76	23	70	28	75	23	71	27
Clerical	78	21	84	16	81	18	78	20	75	22	77	21	77	23	76	23
Manual	74	24	74	24	70	27	68	30	68	30	67	32	69	29	68	29
Farmer	56	42	52	48	60	33	56	44	66	31	53	47	36	60	48	48
Region																
Northeast	88	10	88	12	85	12	86	13	85	14	86	13	85	13	80	18
Midwest	72	28	77	22	76	22	72	27	67	31	71	27	73	24	70	25
South	67	31	70	28	66	30	63	35	69	28	64	34	62	36	66	31
West	69	29	66	32	70	29	68	30	68	31	60	38	69	30	67	32
Religion																
Protestant	68	31	71	28	70	27	67	31	67	30	64	34	68	30	66	31
Catholic	83	15	85	14	83	15	82	18	80	20	83	16	81	17	79	20
Jewish	98	2	98	2	96	4	89	11	89	9	88	12	89	5	93	7
None	81	18	70	29	71	28	68	28	73	26	71	28	72	28	78	22
Politics																
Republican	70	28	74	25	74	23	71	27	71	26	64	35	66	33	66	32
Democrat	76	22	78	22	77	20	74	25	73	26	74	25	75	24	75	23
Independent	73	26	73	25	70	28	69	29	71	28	68	29	72	26	70	28

Note: The "don't know" category has been omitted; therefore percents may not sum to 100. For a discussion of public opinion survey sampling procedures, see Appendix 6.

Source: National Opinion Research Center, "General Social Surveys, 1972-94," "General Social Surveys, 1996," Storrs, CT: The Roper Center for Public Opinion Research, University of Connecticut. (Machine-readable data files.) Table constructed by SOURCEBOOK staff.

^aIn 1996, education categories were revised slightly and therefore are not directly comparable to data presented for prior years.

19	85	19	87	19	988	19	89	19	90	19	91	19	93	19	994	19	96
Favor	Oppose																
72%	26%	70%	28%	74%	24%	78%	21%	79%	20%	81%	18%	81%	17%	78%	20%	80%	18%
65	34	62	36	66	33	69	30	72	27	74	25	73	26	70	29	73	25
78	20	76	22	79	17	85	13	84	14	86	12	87	11	84	14	86	12
72	27	69	29	74	24	77	21	77	21	81	18	80	18	77	22	80	19
76	22	74	23	75	23	81	18	86	12	84	15	84	15	84	14	84	13
10	LL	14	20	10	20	01	10	00	12	04	10	04	10	04	14	04	10
71	29	69	29	73	24	66	34	91	9	70	30	83	17	85	15	69	29
74	25	76	23	73	26	81	17	83	15	82	18	83	17	78	20	78	21
71	28	68	30	72	26	74	25	76	23	82	17	82	17	77	22	83	15
72	26	69	29	75	20	81	17	78	19	80	17	80	18	79	19	80	19
75	24	74	25	76	22	80	19	81	18	85	14	84	15	79	19	83	16
71	28	67	31	74	24	75	23	77	20	79	20	79	19	76	22	79	19
69	26	70	27	66	27	82	17	73	22	70	24	76	20	78	18	76	20
X	X	X	X	x	x	x	X	X	X	X	X	84	15	79	20	82	16
X	X	X	X	x	x	x	X	X	X	X	X	83	16	74	25	82	17
X	X	x	X	x	x	x	X	x	X	x	X	84	15	80	19	81	18
X	X	x	X	x	x	x	X	x	X	x	X	79	20	80	18	78	19
75	24	74	24	77	21	82	17	78	20	89	11	84	15	79	20	82	17
79	21	77	22	78	19	80	16	84	15	84	15	89	10	85	14	83	16
68	31	64	33	71	26	72	26	77	22	75	23	75	23	74	24	80	18
43	57	48	50	24	65	73	27	56	39	72	28	72	24	56	38	53	43
82	17	83	15	84	13	90	10	85	15	84	15	90	9	85	15	84	13
73	25	68	31	76	22	80	19	78	20	81	17	82	16	78	21	84	14
67	32	66	31	69	28	72	26	77	20	78	21	75	22	77	21	78	20
71	29	67	31	68	28	74	24	75	24	85	15	82	17	74	25	77	21
68	30	67	31	72	26	75	23	76	22	78	20	79	19	75	23	81	17
79	20	74	24	77	20	84	16	84	14	84	15	84	14	84	15	83	15
94	6	85	10	100	0	100	0	100	0	100	0	96	4	94	6	89	11
74	26	77	20	73	25	70	26	76	23	87	13	80	20	76	22	72	26
70	28	71	27	68	29	76	22	78	21	81	18	76	22	71	28	77	22
74	25	70	29	79	19	84	15	83	15	82	16	86	13	85	14	86	12
72	27	70	28	73	24	71	26	76	23	80	19	81	17	77	21	79	19

Attitudes toward maintaining a ban on rapid-fire assault weapons

By demographic characteristics, United States, 1996

Question: "As you may know, in 1994 Congress passed legislation banning the manufacture, sale or possession of rapid-fire assault weapons. The measure exempts many types of guns used by hunters and other sports enthusiasts. Some people in Congress would like to repeal this assault weapons ban. Do you favor or oppose maintaining a ban on the manufacture, sale and possession of rapid-fire assault weapons? Do you (favor/oppose) that strongly or (favor/oppose) that somewhat?"

	Favor strongly	Favor somewhat	Oppose somewhat	Oppose strongly	Not sure
National	59%	12%	6%	18%	5%
Sex					
Male	55	12	7	23	3
Female	64	13	5	12	6
1 ontaio	04	10	0	12	0
Race					
White	58	13	7	17	5
Black	60	6	6	23	5
Age					
18 to 29 years	61	16	6	13	4
30 to 44 years	56	13	6	21	4
45 to 64 years	59	8	9	20	4
65 years and older	64	14	2	14	5
Education					
Education	07		-		
College graduate	67	11	7	14	1
Some college	60	12	6	18	4
No college	56	12	6	19	7
Income					
More than \$60,000	65	14	5	13	3
\$40,000 to \$60,000	64	11	6	17	2
\$20,000 to \$39,999	57	13	6	18	6
Less than \$20,000	49	14	8	20	8
Community					
City	65	10	6	11	8
Suburb	58	12	5	22	3
Small town	61		6	21	3
Rural	53	20	5	16	5
Pagion					
<u>Region</u> East	66	11	2	13	7
	66		3		7
Midwest	53	21	8	15	3
South	55	10	9	24	2
West	65	6	3	16	10
Politics					
Republican	51	17	6	20	6
Democrat	69	9	4	15	3
Independent	59	11	8	17	5

Note: See Note, table 2.30. This question was asked of 1,290 registered voters. The "refused" category has been omitted; therefore percents may not sum to 100.

Source: Table constructed by SOURCEBOOK staff from data provided by the Los Angeles Times Poll. Reprinted by permission.

Attitudes toward making it legal to see By demographic characteristics, United Question: "The House of Representative semi-automatic assault rifles oppose making it legal to sell National Sex Male Female	States, 1996 es recently voted to and to allow their s	repeal the ban on the sale of ale in the future. Do you favor or	Attitudes toward a ban on assau By demographic characteristics, Ur Question: "Please tell me whether some people have made possession of certain se	nited States, 1995 you would favor or oppo e to reduce crime: a ban		
Question: "The House of Representative semi-automatic assault rifles oppose making it legal to sell National Sex Male	es recently voted to and to allow their s semi-automatic as Favor	ale in the future. Do you favor or sault rifles?"	Question: "Please tell me whether some people have made	you would favor or oppo e to reduce crime: a ban		
semi-automatic assault rifles oppose making it legal to sell National <u>Sex</u> Male	and to allow their s semi-automatic as Favor	ale in the future. Do you favor or sault rifles?"	some people have made	e to reduce crime: a ban		
<u>Sex</u> Male		Oppose			sale and	
<u>Sex</u> Male	17%		_	Favor	Oppose	
Male		81%	National	a ban 68%	a ban 29%	
				0078	2376	
Female	28	70	Sex			
	8	91	Male	61	36	
			Female	74	23	
Race, ethnicity			_			
Vhite	19	79	Race			
Black	4	93	White	68	29	
lispanic	16	84	Black	68	27	
			Nonwhite ^a	73	24	
<u>\ge</u>						
18 to 24 years	25	75	Age			
5 to 29 years	30	70	18 to 29 years	61	34	
0 to 39 years	18	82	30 to 49 years	67	30	
0 to 49 years	15	84	50 to 64 years	76	21	
0 to 64 years	14	82	-			
55 years and older	12	85	50 years and older	73	24	
			65 years and older	70	27	
Education						
ess than high school graduate	12	83	Education			
ligh school graduate	20	79	College post graduate	77	23	
Some college	15	83	College graduate	77	21	
College graduate	20	80	Some college	66	32	
College post graduate	14	86	No college	64	32	
soliege poor graduate	14	00	i to conege	04	02	
ncome			Income			
\$15,000 or less	18	81	\$50,000 and over	76	22	
\$15,001 to \$25,000	19	79	\$30,000 to \$49,999	63	37	
\$25,001 to \$35,000	20	80	\$20,000 to \$29,999	70	26	
35,001 to \$50,000	14	85		64	32	
	14	85	Under \$20,000	04	32	
Over \$50,000	10	01	Community			
			Community	CO	07	
	45	84	Urban area	69	27	
Central city	15	84	Suburban area	71	28	
Netropolitan area ^a	18	81	Rural area	61	37	
Small town	14	82				
Rural area	26	71	Region			
			East	68	26	
Region			Midwest	73	26	
ast	16	83	South	67	30	
lidwest	17	80	West	64	34	
South	15	84				
Vest	24	75	Politics			
			Republican	65	33	
Politics			Democrat	76	23	
Republican	26	73	Independent	64	30	
Democrat	10	89	· ·	-		
ndependent	17	81	Note: The "don't know/refused" cat to 100. For a discussion of public o			

Note: The "not sure/refused" category has been omitted; therefore percents may not sum to 100. For a discussion of public opinion survey sampling procedures, see Appendix 6.

^aExcluding central city.

Source: Table constructed by SOURCEBOOK staff from data provided by Louis Harris and Associates. Reprinted by permission.

^aIncludes black respondents.

Source: Table constructed by SOURCEBOOK staff from data provided by The Gallup Organization, Inc. Reprinted by permission.

Attitudes toward legalization of the use of marijuana

By demographic characteristics, United States, selected years 1973-96

Question: "Do you think the use of marijuana should be made legal or not?"

	19	73	197	75	197	76	197	'8	198	30	198	33	198	34	19	86
		Should		Should		Should		Should		Should		Should		Should		Should
	Should	not	Should	not	Should	not	Should	not	Should	not	Should	not	Should	not	Should	not
National	18%	80%	20%	75%	28%	69%	30%	67%	25%	72%	20%	76%	23%	73%	18%	80%
Sex	00	75	05	00	00			00	00	07	05	74	00		00	75
Male	22	75	25	69 80	32	64 73	34	63	30 21	67	25	71	28	68 77	23	75
Female	15	83	16	80	24	73	26	71	21	76	16	80	19	11	14	84
Race																
White	18	80	20	75	27	70	29	68	25	72	19	77	23	73	18	81
Black/other	18	79	22	71	33	60	38	59	27	71	28	69	22	75	19	77
Age																
18 to 20 years	42	56	34	56	57	39	51	48	45	52	33	67	36	62	16	82
21 to 29 years	38	60	40	54	49	48	49	49	42	56	29	68	34	62	27	71
30 to 49 years	14	84	18	79	25	72	29	69	27	71	21	76	27	68	20	79
50 years and older	9	89	9	86	16	81	16	80	13	84	13	83	9	87	12	87
Education ^a																
College	32	66	35	59	40	56	42	55	35	61	23	74	29	66	22	75
High school graduate	15	83	16	79	26	70	27	70	23	75	21	76	21	75	17	82
Less than high																
school graduate	6	94	5	89	11	86	13	84	3	88	9	87	7	91	8	91
Income																
\$50,000 and over	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х
\$30,000 to \$49,999	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х
\$20,000 to \$29,999	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х
Under \$20,000	х	х	Х	х	х	х	х	Х	х	х	х	х	х	Х	х	Х
Occupation																
Professional/business	23	74	29	65	36	60	37	60	30	66	22	75	27	68	20	79
Clerical	15	83	20	76	26	72	27	71	23	75	18	79	22	72	16	82
Manual	17	82	17	79	25	71	28	69	23	74	22	75	20	77	19	79
Farmer	6	89	3	93	8	92	16	80	12	79	8	82	3	94	9	91
Region																
Northeast	22	74	26	70	32	64	33	62	27	70	20	76	24	74	20	80
Midwest	20	78	20	75	25	72	26	72	20	77	18	79	23	72	16	82
South	11	89	12	84	22	74	27	72	20	78	17	80	20	76	14	84
West	24	73	32	63	37	61	38	59	38	57	30	66	26	70	25	73
Religion																
Protestant	14	84	15	81	22	74	24	74	20	77	17	80	20	76	15	83
Catholic	18	81	21	73	32	64	31	64	26	71	17	78	20	76	18	80
Jewish	33	67	48	48	37	63	62	38	28	62	33	54	48	48	40	60
None	52	43	53	43	54	39	64	33	60	36	54	44	44	50	37	60
Politics																
Republican	11	87	12	86	20	78	19	80	18	80	15	82	17	80	13	86
Democrat	17	82	19	77	26	71	29	67	24	73	20	77	21	75	18	80
Independent	25	72	26	67	34	62	37	60	30	66	24	72	28	68	21	76

Note: The "don't know" category has been omitted; therefore percents may not sum to 100. For a discussion of public opinion survey sampling procedures, see Appendix 6.

Source: National Opinion Research Center, "General Social Surveys, 1972-94," "General Social Surveys, 1996," Storrs, CT: The Roper Center for Public Opinion Research, University of Connecticut. (Machine-readable data files.) Table constructed by SOURCEBOOK staff.

^aIn 1996, education categories were revised slightly and therefore are not directly comparable to data presented for prior years.

Should 16% 19 14 17	Should not 81% 78 83	Should 17%	Should not 79%	Should	Should		~		<u> </u>		Should		Should		Should
16% 19 14	81% 78	17%		Should		Should	Should not	Should	Should not	Should	not	Should	not	Should	not
19 14	78		1970	16%	not 81%	16%	81%	18%	78%	22%	73%	23%	72%	26%	69%
14		24		10%	0170	10%	0170	1070	1070	2270	13%	2376	1270	20%	09%
	83	21	74	20	76	19	79	23	74	27	68	27	69	30	66
17		14	82	14	84	14	82	14	81	19	76	20	75	22	72
	80	17	80	18	80	17	80	18	78	22	73	23	73	26	69
12	84	18	75	10	85	13	82	16	76	20	75	24	71	22	70
21	74	16	74	19	75	22	78	21	79	24	69	50	50	38	60
25	70	24	70	21	76	19	76	25	73	25	70	24	71	30	66
19 8	79 90	19 12	78 86	19 11	78 86	19 12	79 85	22 10	74 86	27 15	67 81	26 17	70 78	28 19	67 75
21 13	75 84	20 16	75 80	20 15	77 82	18 16	79 81	21 16	74 81	25 19	70 76	26 22	69 74	27 24	68 71
10	88	12	88	9	88	12	87	8	88	19	80	10	84	22	72
10	00	12	00	5	00	12	07	0	00	19	80	10	04	22	12
x	x x	x x	x x	x x	x	x	x x	x x	x	23	72 72	24	70	25	70
X X	X	X	X	X	X X	X X	X	X	X X	22 27	72 69	21 20	76 77	25 27	71 68
Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	х	Х	Х	Х	Х	23	73	27	69	29	66
20	77	16	80	20	77	21	77	19	76	24	71	26	69	26	68
11 16	83	14 20	82 75	10 17	88 80	9	87 80	16 17	80 70	19 24	75 71	18 24	79 72	23	71
16 2	81 95	6	75 94	25	80 75	16 6	88	15	79 80	24 5	90	24 15	72 82	26 26	69 71
		10				10		40			70	10			
18 14	78 83	19 18	76 78	14 14	80 84	12 16	84 81	18 13	77 83	24 19	70 77	19 19	75 76	26 23	67 74
13	85	12	86	14	83	12	84	15	81	19	77	22	74	24	70
23	72	23	72	26	72	29	71	30	66	28	64	32	64	31	64
13	85	13	84	13	86	12	85	16	80	18	78	19	77	19	74
16 40	81 55	16 52	80 44	16 35	80 65	15 33	84 60	15 36	82 59	21 30	75 60	21 42	74 49	29 37	68 54
42	51	38	55	40	49	44	51	40	52	47	45	46	49	42	54
13	84	14	84	14	85	11	86	16	80	14	84	15	82	20	77
15 19	82 76	18 20	78 75	16 19	81 76	18 18	79 78	16 21	80 75	28 23	68 69	24 29	73 64	24 30	69 64

Attitudes toward legalization of marijuana

By demographic characteristics, United States, 1995

Question: "There has been a great deal of public debate about whether marijuana use should be legal. Which one of the following policies would you favor?"

	Using marijuana should be en- tirely legal	It should be available by prescription for medical purposes	It should be a minor violation like a parking ticket, but not a crime	It should be a crime
National	10.5%	48.8%	13.1%	25.8%
Sex				
Male	13.7	40.9	16.0	27.0
Female	7.6	56.0	10.5	24.7
Race				
White	11.2	49.3	12.5	25.6
Black	10.5	38.1	21.9	25.7
Hispanic	5.5	54.8	8.2	31.5
·				
Age				
18 to 29 years	13.0	42.6	15.2	27.8
30 to 39 years	10.8	44.4	14.8	27.4
40 to 59 years	10.7	49.1	13.8	25.2
60 years and older	7.5	60.9	6.9	22.4
Education				
College graduate	12.9	50.7	12.9	22.1
Some college	9.2	46.1	16.2	25.7
High school graduate	9.8	50.0	10.7	28.8
Less than high school graduate	11.8	47.1	14.7	25.5
Income				
Over \$60,000	15.6	46.2	18.8	17.2
Between \$30,000 and \$60,000	10.2	48.1	14.4	26.2
Between \$15,000 and \$29,999	9.2	51.3	9.2	30.0
Less than \$15,000	7.6	53.8	10.9	25.2
Community	= 0	40.4	45.0	
Urban	7.6	48.4	15.9	26.1
Suburban	11.7	52.5	9.1	24.5
Small city Rural/small town	11.2 10.2	46.5	15.5	25.7
Rurai/smail.town	10.2	47.4	14.1	27.0
Region				
Northeast	7.3	54.2	16.4	19.8
Midwest	12.2	42.4	16.0	27.3
South	11.7	46.7	10.5	28.8
West	9.5	53.3	12.0	24.4
Delitica				
Politics Bopublicop	7.5	46.6	11.0	22.0
Republican Democrat	7.5 11.8	46.6 50.7	11.9 15.4	32.0 21.4
Independent/other	11.8	50.7	12.5	21.4
	F.11	50.0	12.0	20.1

Note: See Note, table 2.22. The "don't know" and "refused" categories have been omitted; therefore percents may not sum to 100.

Source: Table constructed by SOURCEBOOK staff from data provided by the Survey Research Program, College of Criminal Justice, Sam Houston State University.

Table 2.91

Teenagers' and parents' attitudes toward the legalization of marijuana

United States, 1996^a

Question: "Do you favor or oppose the legalization of marijuanameaning ending the laws
against having and using marijuana?"

	Teenagers	Parents	
Strongly favor	17%	8%	
Somewhat favor	14	18	
Somewhat oppose	18	13	
Strongly oppose	47	57	
Don't know/no response	5	4	

Note: See Note, table 2.5.

^aPercents may not add to 100 because of rounding.

Source: National Center on Addiction and Substance Abuse at Columbia University, *National Survey of American Attitudes on Substance Abuse II: Teens and Their Parents* (New York: National Center on Addiction and Substance Abuse at Columbia University, 1996), pp. 57, 67. Table adapted by SOURCEBOOK staff. Reprinted by permission.

High school seniors reporting that they worry about selected social problems

United States, 1984-96

Question: "Of all the problems facing the nation today, how often do you worry about each of the following?"

(Percent responding "often" or "sometimes")

	Class of 1984 (N=3,294)	Class of 1985 (N=3,286)	Class of 1986 (N=3,073)	Class of 1987 (N=3,370)	Class of 1988 (N=3,326)	Class of 1989 (N=2,849)	Class of 1990 (N=2,595)	Class of 1991 (N=2,595)	Class of 1992 (N=2,736)	Class of 1993 (N=2,807)	Class of 1994 (N=2,664)	Class of 1995 (N=2,646)	Class of 1996 (N=2,502)
Chance of nuclear war	69.4%	64.5%	69.1%	58.3%	57.3%	52.4%	45.1%	41.5%	33.4%	28.8%	27.9%	20.0%	21.6%
Population growth	25.3	25.7	24.1	26.6	27.5	29.6	33.0	30.6	35.2	38.9	35.4	34.9	37.4
Crime and violence	83.9	82.3	79.4	81.9	83.9	86.3	88.8	88.1	91.6	90.8	92.7	90.2	90.1
Pollution	49.1	46.9	44.2	45.2	45.5	55.9	67.2	72.1	71.9	72.8	66.5	63.6	62.9
Energy shortages	40.4	33.7	28.7	28.1	25.1	27.9	32.6	38.2	35.2	29.8	23.8	17.9	19.2
Race relations	43.1	43.4	43.4	44.2	53.3	53.6	57.1	59.4	68.7	75.4	71.6	68.9	70.7
Hunger and poverty	58.3	69.7	65.9	62.2	64.2	64.1	65.9	66.4	68.1	71.1	65.7	62.3	62.6
Using open land for housing													
or industry	30.0	30.4	26.8	30.5	29.4	30.8	33.9	33.8	34.7	32.9	32.7	28.9	32.6
Urban decay	18.0	17.9	17.0	18.5	19.9	19.8	20.4	21.7	25.8	25.3	25.6	23.0	25.1
Economic problems	66.2	60.4	60.6	55.6	56.2	57.6	56.8	63.9	70.6	71.8	62.6	55.7	57.9
Drug abuse	68.4	69.1	69.2	75.4	78.6	79.5	82.6	79.5	77.8	75.5	76.7	72.6	71.0

Note: These data are from a series of nationwide surveys of high school seniors conducted by the Monitoring the Future Project at the University of Michigan's Institute for Social Research from 1975 through 1996. The survey design is a multistage random sample of high school seniors in public and private schools throughout the continental United States. All percentages reported are based on weighted cases; the N's that are shown in the tables refer to the number of weighted cases.

refer to the number of weighted cases. Response categories were "never," "seldom," "sometimes," and "often." Readers interested in responses to this question for 1975 through 1983 should consult previous editions of SOURCEBOOK. For survey methodology and definitions of terms, see Appendix 7. Source: Lloyd D. Johnston, Jerald G. Bachman, and Patrick M. O'Malley, *Monitoring the Future 1985*, pp. 174, 175; 1987, pp. 180, 181; 1989, pp. 180, 181; 1991, pp. 188, 189; 1993, pp. 190, 191; 1995, pp. 191, 192 (Ann Arbor, MI: Institute for Social Research, University of Michigan); Jerald G. Bachman, Lloyd D. Johnston, and Patrick M. O'Malley, *Monitoring the Future 1984*, pp. 174, 175; 1986, pp. 176, 177; 1988, pp. 180, 181; 1990, pp. 186, 187; 1992, pp. 189, 190; 1994, pp. 189, 190 (Ann Arbor, MI: Institute for Social Research, University of Michigan); and data provided by the Monitoring the Future Project, Survey Research Center, Lloyd D. Johnston, Jerald G. Bachman, and Patrick M. O'Malley, Principal Investigators. Table adapted by SOURCEBOOK staff. Reprinted by permission.

High school seniors reporting that they worry about crime and violence

By sex, race, region, college plans, and illicit drug use, United States, 1984-96

Question: "Of all the problems facing the nation today, how often do you worry about. . .crime and violence?"

(Percent responding "often" or "sometimes")

	Class of 1984 (N=3,294)	Class of 1985 (N=3,286)	Class of 1986 (N=3,073)	Class of 1987 (N=3,370)	Class of 1988 (N=3,326)	Class of 1989 (N=2,849)	Class of 1990 (N=2,595)	Class of 1991 (N=2,595)	Class of 1992 (N=2,736)	Class of 1993 (N=2,807)	Class of 1994 (N=2,664)	Class of 1995 (N=2,646)	Class of 1996 (N=2,502)
Total	83.9%	82.3%	79.4%	81.9%	83.9%	86.3%	88.8%	88.1%	91.6%	90.8%	92.7%	90.2%	90.1%
<u>Sex</u> Male Female	77.5 90.4	76.6 88.0	70.8 87.4	73.7 90.3	76.0 91.8	80.9 92.2	84.8 93.4	82.6 93.6	87.6 95.7	85.7 95.6	88.4 96.5	85.8 95.1	84.8 95.4
<u>Race</u> White Black	83.3 90.4	80.9 88.9	78.4 81.9	80.8 94.2	82.8 88.2	84.6 91.8	88.1 92.7	86.6 94.5	90.5 96.9	89.4 95.1	92.9 90.7	90.0 93.0	89.5 92.9
<u>Region</u> Northeast North Central South West	82.7 83.6 86.0 81.9	80.0 81.7 83.6 84.0	77.0 78.9 82.3 78.4	77.9 81.6 85.3 81.3	81.9 81.7 86.1 85.4	83.0 83.0 89.4 88.2	87.7 87.0 90.4 89.4	86.0 88.8 88.4 89.0	92.0 87.6 93.8 93.0	90.6 90.2 91.2 91.4	91.0 93.2 93.3 92.4	91.7 86.7 91.3 92.2	89.4 87.4 91.1 93.4
<u>College plans</u> Yes No	85.6 82.0	83.3 81.4	80.9 77.2	82.8 79.4	85.4 80.8	88.0 82.8	89.8 88.0	89.9 83.9	93.1 87.7	92.4 85.8	94.1 89.4	92.6 84.0	91.6 86.2
<u>Lifetime illicit drug use</u> None Marijuana only Few pills More pills	87.5 84.0 86.6 78.4	84.5 80.5 81.9 81.6	80.3 79.2 80.0 77.8	83.2 81.7 80.8 81.2	85.8 83.9 83.7 81.2	88.8 86.6 85.2 81.7	90.6 87.1 87.6 85.7	90.7 85.4 86.6 84.8	92.9 89.6 89.4 90.6	91.9 91.1 90.7 87.4	94.1 91.5 95.6 89.5	91.8 90.9 92.6 84.1	90.5 91.9 91.0 87.4

Note: See Note, table 2.92. Data are given for those who identify themselves as white or Caucasian and those who identify themselves as black or African-American because these are the two largest racial/ethnic subgroups in the population. Data are not given for the other ethnic categories because each of these groups comprises a small portion of the sample in any given year (Source, **1992**, p. 9). "College plans" distinguishes those seniors who expect to graduate from a 4-year college from those who expect to receive some college training or none. The four drug use categories are based on an index of seriousness of involvement. The "pills" category indicates use of any of a number of drugs including some that usually are not taken in pill form. Respondents indicating the use of one or more occasions and did not use heroin at all fall into the "fwe pills" category. Respondents indicating such use on three or more occasions and who did not use heroin at all fall into the "seporting heroin use were included in a separate category that is not presented here due to the small number of respondents indicating such use. (Source, **1995**, pp. 8, 9, 14.)

Response categories were "never," "seldom," "sometimes," and "often." Readers interested in responses to this question for 1975 through 1983 should consult previous editions of SOURCEBOOK. For survey methodology and definitions of terms, see Appendix 7.

Source: Lloyd D. Johnston, Jerald G. Bachman, and Patrick M. O'Malley, *Monitoring the Future 1985*, p. 174; *1987*, p. 180; *1989*, p. 180; *1991*, p. 188; *1993*, p. 190; *1995*, p. 191 (Ann Arbor, MI: Institute for Social Research, University of Michigan); Jerald G. Bachman, Lloyd D. Johnston, and Patrick M. O'Malley, *Monitoring the Future 1984*, p. 174; *1986*, p. 176; *1988*, p. 180; *1990*, p. 186; *1992*, p. 189; *1994*, p. 189 (Ann Arbor, MI: Institute for Social Research, University of Michigan); and data provided by the Monitoring the Future Project, Survey Research Center, Lloyd D. Johnston, Jerald G. Bachman, and Patrick M. O'Malley, Principal Investigators. Table adapted by SOURCEBOOK staff. Reprinted by permission.

High school seniors reporting positive attitudes toward the performance of selected institutions

United States, 1984-96

Question: "Now we'd like you to make some ratings of how good or bad a job you feel each of the following organizations is doing for the country as a whole. . . . How good or bad a job is being done for the country as a whole by. . .?"

(Percent responding "good" or "very good")

	Class of 1984 (N=3,287)	Class of 1985 (N=3,294)	Class of 1986 (N=3,159)	Class of 1987 (N=3,357)	Class of 1988 (N=3,378)	Class of 1989 (N=2,852)	Class of 1990 (N=2,600)	Class of 1991 (N=2,582)	Class of 1992 (N=2,684)	Class of 1993 (N=2,773)	Class of 1994 (N=2,642)	Class of 1995 (N=2,658)	Class of 1996 (N=2,455)
Large corporations	45.4%	44.9%	47.6%	46.9%	47.4%	45.1%	38.4%	36.3%	31.8%	31.5%	34.6%	37.9%	36.3%
Major labor unions	31.6	32.6	32.6	31.8	32.7	35.5	31.7	31.3	28.9	27.2	29.2	28.0	30.8
The Nation's colleges and universities	79.1	76.1	78.6	78.8	76.8	73.7	73.8	70.2	67.2	61.1	67.7	66.6	70.5
The Nation's public schools	43.8	43.3	46.8	46.2	44.2	37.9	36.1	33.6	32.5	29.0	27.2	31.8	30.6
Churches and religious organizations The national news media (TV.	56.3	55.1	54.8	48.4	47.4	47.3	47.0	49.2	50.3	46.9	50.3	50.2	49.0
magazines, news services)	56.6	58.8	65.5	58.8	58.3	55.3	54.7	51.1	47.9	40.5	37.9	33.1	34.5
The President and his administration	43.9	45.9	54.4	35.2	35.3	36.4	41.8	56.8	23.8	24.9	22.1	19.7	24.0
Congressthat is, the U.S. Senate													
and House of Representatives	31.6	35.1	42.3	36.9	33.4	31.6	32.9	38.3	15.9	16.6	18.8	20.6	18.1
The U.S. Supreme Court	43.1	42.1	46.3	45.7	42.1	42.7	40.9	44.1	35.7	31.0	31.0	29.8	30.4
All the courts and the justice system													
in general	28.7	28.7	34.4	33.7	31.6	31.7	27.8	31.2	23.4	21.1	19.3	20.6	21.2
The police and other law enforcement													
agencies	36.9	37.3	40.5	39.5	37.4	33.6	34.3	28.0	26.9	27.1	29.3	28.7	27.6
The U.S. military	61.0	60.2	66.4	62.2	60.9	60.1	58.8	80.6	62.2	57.0	54.3	54.8	55.6

Note: See Note, table 2.92. Response categories were "very poor," "poor," "fair," "good," "very good," and "no opinion." Readers interested in responses to this question for 1975 through 1983 should consult previous editions of SOURCEBOOK. For survey methodology and definitions of terms, see Appendix 7. Source: Lloyd D. Johnston, Jerald G. Bachman, and Patrick M. O'Malley, *Monitoring the Future 1985*, pp. 126-128; *1987*, pp. 132-134; *1989*, pp. 132-134; *1991*, pp. 136-138; *1993*, pp. 138-140; *1995*, pp. 139-141 (Ann Arbor, MI: Institute for Social Research, University of Michigan); Jerald G. Bachman, Lloyd D. Johnston, and Patrick M. O'Malley, *Monitoring the Future 1984*, pp. 126-128; *1986*, pp. 129-131; *1988*, pp. 132-134; *1990*, pp. 135-137; *1992*, pp. 137-139; *1994*, pp. 137-139 (Ann Arbor, MI: Institute for Social Research, University of Michigan); and data provided by the Monitoring the Future Project, Survey Research Center, Lloyd D. Johnston, Jerald G. Bachman, and Patrick M. O'Malley, Principal Investigators. Table adapted by SOURCEBOOK staff. Reprinted by permission.

High school seniors reporting positive attitudes toward the performance of the police and other law enforcement agencies

By sex, race, region, college plans, and illicit drug use, United States, 1984-96

Question: "Now we'd like you to make some ratings of how good or bad a job you feel each of the following organizations is doing for the country as a whole.... How good or bad a job is being done for the country as a whole by...the police and other law enforcement agencies?"

(Percent responding "good" or "very good")

	Class of 1984 (N=3,287)	Class of 1985 (N=3,294)	Class of 1986 (N=3,159)	Class of 1987 (N=3,357)	Class of 1988 (N=3,378)	Class of 1989 (N=2,852)	Class of 1990 (N=2,600)	Class of 1991 (N=2,582)	Class of 1992 (N=2,684)	Class of 1993 (N=2,773)	Class of 1994 (N=2,642)	Class of 1995 (N=2,658)	Class of 1996 (N=2,455)
Total	36.9%	37.3%	40.5%	39.5%	37.4%	33.6%	34.3%	28.0%	26.9%	27.1%	29.3%	28.7%	27.6%
Sex													
Male	38.3	38.1	39.9	40.4	37.3	33.5	33.4	29.3	27.4	30.1	30.2	29.1	28.6
Female	35.6	36.5	40.9	38.5	37.5	34.2	35.0	27.2	26.8	24.3	28.4	28.1	26.5
Race													
White	37.6	38.9	42.4	41.9	40.5	35.5	35.4	31.5	30.0	31.1	32.2	31.5	30.7
Black	31.7	29.4	30.3	24.8	22.6	28.3	22.4	11.0	12.4	9.2	16.9	16.8	14.6
Region													
Northeast	32.0	32.7	32.5	37.4	34.0	33.4	28.3	26.3	26.6	28.0	29.5	25.5	30.7
North Central	37.1	36.9	41.4	39.4	38.5	33.8	35.2	35.7	27.7	28.5	29.9	29.9	24.5
South	37.5	36.5	42.7	39.5	38.5	35.2	36.0	22.1	24.5	25.4	29.3	27.3	26.5
West	41.1	44.7	46.2	41.9	37.3	30.7	36.3	30.0	30.7	27.8	28.4	32.9	31.1
College plans													
Yes	38.9	38.6	39.9	40.7	38.7	34.2	34.0	28.5	25.8	26.9	29.5	28.9	27.8
No	34.5	34.9	42.6	38.3	35.4	34.0	33.8	28.7	31.0	27.3	29.7	29.4	28.1
Lifetime illicit drug use													
None	37.3	41.4	43.4	43.0	39.7	35.1	37.7	31.1	29.5	29.7	32.9	31.7	29.4
Marijuana only	37.0	39.3	39.6	39.8	35.1	33.1	33.6	27.0	23.5	24.0	25.8	26.3	25.5
Few pills	38.3	33.8	40.3	38.2	35.9	28.0	31.5	29.4	23.3	25.2	26.7	24.2	36.3
More pills	34.6	30.3	36.9	32.6	35.7	32.8	26.6	17.5	21.3	22.2	22.9	25.8	20.0

Note: See Notes, tables 2.92 and 2.93. Response categories were "very poor," "poor," "fair," "good," "very good," and "no opinion." Readers interested in responses to this question for 1975 through 1983 should consult previous editions of SOURCEBOOK. For survey methodology and definitions of terms, see Appendix 7.

Source: Lloyd D. Johnston, Jerald G. Bachman, and Patrick M. O'Malley, *Monitoring the Future 1985*, p. 128; *1987*, p. 134; *1989*, p. 134; *1991*, p. 138; *1993*, p. 140; *1995*, p. 141 (Ann Arbor, MI: Institute for Social Research, University of Michigan); Jerald G. Bachman, Lloyd D. Johnston, and Patrick M. O'Malley, *Monitoring the Future 1984*, p. 128; *1986*, p. 131; *1988*, p. 134; *1990*, p. 137; *1992*, p. 139; *1994*, p. 139 (Ann Arbor, MI: Institute for Social Research, University of Michigan); and data provided by the Monitoring the Future Project, Survey Research Center, Lloyd D. Johnston, Jerald G. Bachman, and Patrick M. O'Malley, Principal Investigators. Table adapted by SOURCEBOOK staff. Reprinted by permission.

High school seniors reporting positive attitudes toward the performance of the U.S. Supreme Court

By sex, race, region, college plans, and illicit drug use, United States, 1984-96

Question: "Now we'd like you to make some ratings of how good or bad a job you feel each of

the following organizations is doing for the country as a whole. . . . How good or bad a job is being done for the country as a whole by. . .the U.S. Supreme Court?"

(Percent responding "good" or "very good")

	Class of 1984	Class of 1985	Class of 1986	Class of 1987	Class of 1988	Class of 1989	Class of 1990	Class of 1991	Class of 1992	Class of 1993	Class of 1994	Class of 1995	Class of 1996
	(N=3,287)	(N=3,294)	(N=3,159)	(N=3,357)	(N=3,378)	(N=2,852)	(N=2,600)	(N=2,582)	(N=2,684)	(N=2,773)	(N=2,642)	(N=2,658)	(N=2,455)
Total	43.1%	42.1%	46.3%	45.7%	42.1%	42.7%	40.9%	44.1%	35.7%	31.0%	31.0%	29.8%	30.4%
Sex													
Male	46.2	43.9	48.8	50.0	44.6	45.8	44.6	46.2	39.7	34.5	32.6	35.0	35.6
Female	40.0	40.6	44.0	41.9	40.5	39.5	37.2	41.7	32.1	27.8	29.6	24.8	25.7
Race													
White	45.2	43.8	48.1	47.9	45.0	43.7	42.0	47.1	38.4	33.3	32.9	32.5	31.3
Black	35.5	37.8	42.3	38.5	32.0	37.0	36.9	29.9	27.8	23.8	22.9	21.9	27.5
Region													
Northeast	40.0	40.1	41.1	44.1	39.4	38.9	38.4	40.1	31.9	31.5	31.5	26.6	32.7
North Central	41.7	41.3	47.1	47.9	42.1	41.1	42.1	47.9	38.3	33.4	32.2	32.8	27.4
South	44.9	43.3	48.5	44.9	46.5	46.7	41.6	43.9	35.9	28.9	30.3	30.4	34.0
West	45.0	43.8	48.3	45.9	37.4	40.9	40.4	42.8	34.8	31.0	30.2	27.5	25.0
College plans													
Yes	48.5	45.5	47.8	49.2	45.8	44.5	42.4	47.5	37.8	34.0	33.6	30.9	31.6
No	35.5	36.4	44.0	39.7	35.9	39.7	36.7	36.3	31.6	23.3	24.7	27.1	25.9
Lifetime illicit drug use													
None	46.0	44.1	47.4	49.7	43.5	44.2	44.1	46.8	39.3	33.4	32.6	30.7	30.5
Marijuana only	41.8	43.0	48.7	44.7	41.5	41.6	38.6	41.6	31.3	29.6	30.0	29.6	33.1
Few pills	46.6	42.5	43.5	44.1	38.1	35.5	36.0	41.9	30.7	27.6	29.1	34.5	32.5
More pills	37.8	37.5	43.6	40.3	41.8	45.7	36.7	37.2	27.7	27.7	29.3	24.5	26.4

Note: See Notes, tables 2.92 and 2.93. Response categories were "very poor," "poor," "fair," "good," "very good," and "no opinion." Readers interested in responses to this question for 1975 through 1983 should consult previous editions of SOURCEBOOK. For survey methodology and definitions of terms, see Appendix 7.

Source: Lloyd D. Johnston, Jerald G. Bachman, and Patrick M. O'Malley, *Monitoring the Future 1985*, p. 127; *1987*, p. 133; *1989*, p. 133; *1991*, p. 137; *1993*, p. 139; *1995*, p. 140 (Ann Arbor, MI: Institute for Social Research, University of Michigan); Jerald G. Bachman, Lloyd D. Johnston, and Patrick M. O'Malley, *Monitoring the Future 1984*, p. 127; *1986*, p. 130; *1988*, p. 133; *1990*, p. 136; *1992*, p. 138; *1994*, p. 138 (Ann Arbor, MI: Institute for Social Research, University of Michigan); and data provided by the Monitoring the Future Project, Survey Research Center, Lloyd D. Johnston, Jerald G. Bachman, and Patrick M. O'Malley, Principal Investigators. Table adapted by SOURCEBOOK staff. Reprinted by permission. Table 2.97

High school seniors reporting positive attitudes toward the performance of the courts and the justice system in general

By sex, race, region, college plans, and illicit drug use, United States, 1984-96

Question: "Now we'd like you to make some ratings of how good or bad a job you feel each of the following organizations is doing for the country as a whole.... How good or bad a job is being done for the country as a whole by...all the courts and the justice system in general?"

(Percent responding "good" or "very good")

	Class of 1984 (N=3,287)	Class of 1985 (N=3,294)	Class of 1986 (N=3,159)	Class of 1987 (N=3,357)	Class of 1988 (N=3,378)	Class of 1989 (N=2,852)	Class of 1990 (N=2,600)	Class of 1991 (N=2,582)	Class of 1992 (N=2,684)	Class of 1993 (N=2,773)	Class of 1994 (N=2,642)	Class of 1995 (N=2,658)	Class of 1996 (N=2,455)
Total	28.7%	28.7%	34.4%	33.7%	31.6%	31.7%	27.8%	31.2%	23.4%	21.1%	19.3%	20.6%	21.2%
Sex													
Male	29.4	30.0	33.0	36.1	31.7	33.2	30.9	33.8	25.3	24.0	20.5	22.3	25.7
Female	28.2	27.2	35.2	31.3	32.1	30.1	24.9	28.8	21.5	18.7	18.2	18.6	16.8
Race													
White	29.0	28.8	34.7	33.8	34.0	32.2	27.3	32.5	24.6	22.4	20.5	21.4	21.5
Black	26.4	28.9	35.4	30.9	21.6	26.8	26.4	23.5	18.6	13.6	12.0	17.3	20.8
Region													
Northeast	28.6	29.2	29.2	32.3	31.2	27.2	22.8	31.0	18.1	19.7	18.5	17.6	20.4
North Central	27.6	28.3	34.8	33.3	31.7	33.7	27.2	34.4	24.5	22.6	19.0	23.2	19.5
South	26.6	27.2	36.8	34.4	34.1	33.4	31.1	28.0	24.5	20.8	19.5	20.0	25.2
West	35.0	31.2	36.3	34.6	27.4	29.9	28.8	32.7	24.8	20.6	20.4	20.6	16.3
College plans													
Yes	29.8	28.9	34.2	34.7	32.7	32.3	27.6	32.7	24.0	21.8	20.6	20.4	20.6
No	27.2	27.7	34.6	31.8	30.2	31.2	27.8	27.6	22.8	17.6	16.4	21.0	23.2
Lifetime illicit drug use													
None	29.3	28.6	33.9	35.0	32.7	31.6	29.9	34.3	25.8	23.0	21.0	20.9	19.4
Marijuana only	29.8	31.4	36.4	33.8	31.5	33.2	25.7	29.3	22.0	19.6	18.3	19.5	23.4
Few pills	28.5	27.7	36.1	35.8	30.8	28.2	25.4	27.0	15.4	18.5	16.8	25.2	29.1
More pills	26.6	26.4	33.1	28.9	29.2	33.6	24.2	25.2	19.6	16.9	17.9	18.4	19.7

Note: See Notes, tables 2.92 and 2.93. Response categories were "very poor," "poor," "fair," "good," "very good," and "no opinion." Readers interested in responses to this question for 1975 through 1983 should consult previous editions of SOURCEBOOK. For survey methodology and definitions of terms, see Appendix 7.

Source: Lloyd D. Johnston, Jerald G. Bachman, and Patrick M. O'Malley, *Monitoring the Future 1985*, p. 127; *1987*, p. 133; *1989*, p. 133; *1991*, p. 137; *1993*, p. 139; *1995*, p. 140 (Ann Arbor, MI: Institute for Social Research, University of Michigan); Jerald G. Bachman, Lloyd D. Johnston, and Patrick M. O'Malley, *Monitoring the Future 1984*, p. 127; *1986*, p. 130; *1988*, p. 133; *1990*, p. 136; *1992*, p. 138; *1994*, p. 138 (Ann Arbor, MI: Institute for Social Research, University of Michigan); and data provided by the Monitoring the Future Project, Survey Research Center, Lloyd D. Johnston, Jerald G. Bachman, and Patrick M. O'Malley, Principal Investigators. Table adapted by SOURCEBOOK staff. Reprinted by permission.

Table 2.98

High school seniors' perceptions of the harmfulness of drug use, alcohol use, and cigarette smoking

By type of drug and frequency of use, United States, 1984-96

Question: "How much do you think people risk harming themselves (physically or in other ways), if they. . .?"

(Percent saying "great risk"^a)

Type of drug and frequency of use	Class of 1984 (N=3,262)	Class of 1985 (N=3,250)	Class of 1986 (N=3,020)	Class of 1987 (N=3,315)	Class of 1988 (N=3,276)	Class of 1989 (N=2,796)	Class of 1990 (N=2,553)	Class of 1991 (N=2,549)	Class of 1992 (N=2,684)	Class of 1993 (N=2,759)	Class of 1994 (N=2,591)	Class of 1995 (N=2,603)	Class of 1996 (N=2,449)
Try marijuana once or twice	14.7%	14.8%	15.1%	18.4%	19.0%	23.6%	23.1%	27.1%	24.5%	21.9%	19.5%	16.3%	15.6%
Smoke marijuana occasionally	22.6	24.5	25.0	30.4	31.7	36.5	36.9	40.6	39.6	35.6	30.1	25.6	25.9
Smoke marijuana regularly	66.9	70.4	71.3	73.5	77.0	77.5	77.8	78.6	76.5	72.5	65.0	60.8	59.9
Try LSD once or twice	45.4	43.5	42.0	44.9	45.7	46.0	44.7	46.6	42.3	39.5	38.8	36.4	36.2
Take LSD regularly	83.8	82.9	82.6	83.8	84.2	84.3	84.5	84.3	81.8	79.4	79.1	78.1	77.8
Try PCP once or twice	NA	NA	NA	55.6	58.8	56.6	55.2	51.7	54.8	50.8	51.5	49.1	51.0
	35.7	34.0	33.5	47.9	51.2	54.9	59.4	59.4	50.0	F7 C	57.0	53.7	54.2
Try cocaine once or twice Take cocaine occasionally	35.7 NA	34.0 NA	33.5 54.2	47.9 66.8	51.2 69.2	54.9 71.8	59.4 73.9	59.4 75.5	56.8 75.1	57.6 73.3	57.2 73.7	53.7 70.8	54.2 72.1
Take cocaine regularly	78.8	79.0	34.2 82.2	88.5	89.2	90.2	73.9 91.1	90.4	90.2	90.1	89.3	87.9	88.3
Take cocaine regularly	10.0	79.0	02.2	00.0	09.2	90.2	91.1	90.4	90.2	90.1	09.5	07.9	00.3
Try crack once or twice	NA	NA	NA	57.0	62.1	62.9	64.3	60.6	62.4	57.6	58.4	54.6	56.0
Take crack occasionally	NA	NA	NA	70.4	73.2	75.3	80.4	76.5	76.3	73.9	73.8	72.8	71.4
Take crack regularly	NA	NA	NA	84.6	84.8	85.6	91.6	90.1	89.3	87.5	89.6	88.6	88.0
Try cocaine powder once or twice	NA	NA	NA	45.3	51.7	53.8	53.9	53.6	57.1	53.2	55.4	52.0	53.2
Take cocaine powder occasionally	NA	NA	NA	56.8	61.9	65.8	71.1	69.8	70.8	68.6	70.6	69.1	68.8
Take cocaine powder regularly	NA	NA	NA	81.4	82.9	83.9	90.2	88.9	88.4	87.0	88.6	87.8	86.8
Try heroin once or twice	49.8	47.3	45.8	53.6	54.0	53.8	55.4	55.2	50.9	50.7	52.8	50.9	52.5
Take heroin occasionally	70.7	69.8	68.2	74.6	73.8	75.5	76.6	74.9	74.2	72.0	72.1	71.0	74.8
Take heroin regularly	87.2	86.0	87.1	88.7	88.8	89.5	90.2	89.6	89.2	88.3	88.0	87.2	89.5
Tau anala tanàna amin'ny taona 2008.	05.4	05.0	05.4	00.4	00.0	00.0	00.0	00.0	00.0	04.0		00.0	00.0
Try amphetamines once or twice Take amphetamines regularly	25.4 67.1	25.2 67.2	25.1 67.3	29.1 69.4	29.6 69.8	32.8 71.2	32.2 71.2	36.3 74.1	32.6 72.4	31.3 69.9	31.4 67.0	28.8 65.9	30.8 66.8
Take amplicianines regularly	07.1	07.2	07.5	03.4	09.0	71.2	71.2	74.1	72.4	03.5	07.0	05.5	00.0
Try crystal methamphetamine (ice)													
once or twice	NA	61.6	61.9	57.5	58.3	54.4	55.3						
Try barbiturates once or twice	27.4	26.1	25.4	30.9	29.7	32.2	32.4	35.1	32.2	29.2	29.9	26.3	29.1
Take barbiturates regularly	68.5	68.3	67.2	69.4	69.6	70.5	70.2	70.5	70.2	66.1	63.3	61.6	60.4
0 7													
Try one or two drinks of an alcoholic	4.0	5.0	4.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.4			7.0	5.0	7.0
beverage (beer, wine, liquor) Take one or two drinks nearly every	4.6	5.0	4.6	6.2	6.0	6.0	8.3	9.1	8.6	8.2	7.6	5.9	7.3
day	23.0	24.4	25.1	26.2	27.3	28.5	31.3	32.7	30.6	28.2	27.0	24.8	25.1
Take four or five drinks nearly every	<u> </u>												
day Have five or more drinks once	68.4	69.8	66.5	69.7	68.5	69.8	70.9	69.5	70.5	67.8	66.2	62.8	65.6
or twice each weekend	41.7	43.0	39.1	41.9	42.6	44.0	47.1	48.6	49.0	48.3	46.5	45.2	49.5
Smoke one or more packs of cigarettes per day	63.8	66.5	66.0	68.6	68.0	67.2	68.2	69.4	69.2	69.5	67.6	65.6	68.2
signification per day	00.0	00.0	00.0	00.0	00.0	07.2	00.2	00.4	00.2	00.0	07.0	00.0	00.2
Take steroids	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	63.8	69.9	65.6	70.7	69.1	66.1	66.4	67.6

Note: These data are from a series of nationwide surveys of high school seniors conducted by the University of Michigan's Institute for Social Research for the National Institute on Drug Abuse from 1975 through 1996. The survey design is a multistage random sample of high school seniors in public and private schools. Depending on the survey year, from 58 to 80% of the schools initially invited to participate agreed to do so. Completed questionnaires were obtained from 77 to 86% of all sampled students in participating schools each year. Beginning in 1991, eighth and tenth grade students also were included in the survey. All percentages reported are based on weighted cases; the N's that are shown in the tables also refer to the number of weighted cases. "Crack" is a highly potent and addictive form of cocaine. Alcohol and cigarette use are included in selected tables. Readers interested in responses to this question for 1975 through 1983 should consult previous editions of SOURCEBOOK. For survey wey methodology and definitions of terms, see Appendix 7.

^aAnswer alternatives were: (1) no risk, (2) slight risk, (3) moderate risk, (4) great risk, and (5) can't say, drug unfamiliar.

Source: Lloyd D. Johnston, Patrick M. O'Malley, and Jerald G. Bachman, *National Survey Results on Drug Use from the Monitoring the Future Study*, *1975-1996*, Vol. 1, Secondary School Students, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, National Institute on Drug Abuse (Washington, DC: USGPO, 1997). Table adapted by SOURCEBOOK staff. PAGE 188 INTENTIONALLY BLANK.

Students' perceptions of the harmfulness of drug use and alcohol use

By type of drug, frequency of use, and student grade level, United States, 1993-96

Question: "How much do you think people risk harming themselves (physically or in other ways), if they . . .?"

(Percent saying "great risk"^a)

		Eighth	grade			Tenth	grade			Twelft	h grade	
Type of drug and	1993	1994	1995	1996	1993	1994	1995	1996	1993	1994	1995	1996
frequency of use	(N=18,366)	(N=17,394)	(N=17,501)	(N=17,926)	(N=15,298)	(N=15,880)	(N=17,006)	(N=15,670)	(N=2,759)	(N=2,591)	(N=2,603)	(N=2,449)
Try marijuana once or twice	36.2%	31.6%	28.9%	27.9%	29.7%	24.4%	21.5%	20.0%	21.9%	19.5%	16.3%	15.6%
Smoke marijuana occasionally	53.8	48.6	45.9	44.3	46.1	38.9	35.4	32.8	35.6	30.1	25.6	25.9
Smoke marijuana regularly	79.6	74.3	73.0	70.9	78.5	71.3	67.9	65.9	72.5	65.0	60.8	59.9
Try inhalants once or twice	36.5	37.9	36.4	40.8	40.9	42.7	41.6	47.2	NA	NA	NA	NA
Take inhalants regularly	64.6	65.5	64.8	68.2	69.6	71.5	71.8	75.8	NA	NA	NA	NA
Try LSD once or twice ^b	42.1	38.3	36.7	36.5	48.7	46.5	44.7	45.1	39.5	38.8	36.4	36.2
Take LSD regularly ^b	68.3	65.8	64.4	63.6	78.9	75.9	75.5	75.3	79.4	79.1	78.1	77.8
Try crack once or twice	57.2	54.4	50.8	51.0	66.6	64.7	60.9	60.9	57.6	58.4	54.6	56.0
Take crack occasionally	76.8	74.4	72.1	71.6	84.4	83.1	81.2	80.3	73.9	73.8	72.8	71.4
Try cocaine powder once or twice	50.7	48.4	44.9	45.2	57.5	56.4	53.5	53.6	53.2	55.4	52.0	53.2
Take cocaine powder occasionally	71.8	69.1	66.4	65.7	79.1	77.8	75.6	75.0	68.6	70.6	69.1	68.8
Try one or two drinks of an alcoholic beverage (beer, wine,												
liquor)	12.4	11.6	11.6	11.8	10.9	9.4	9.3	8.9	8.2	7.6	5.9	7.3
Take one or two drinks nearly every day	32.6	29.9	30.5	28.6	35.9	32.5	31.7	31.2	28.2	27.0	24.8	25.1
Have five or more drinks once												
or twice each weekend	57.7	54.7	54.1	51.8	54.9	52.9	52.0	50.9	48.3	46.5	45.2	49.5
Smoke one or more packs												
of cigarettes per day	52.7	50.8	49.8	50.4	60.7	59.0	57.0	57.9	69.5	67.6	65.6	68.2
Take steroids ^c	70.2	67.6	NA	NA	73.4	72.5	NA	NA	69.1	66.1	66.4	67.6

Note: See Note, table 2.98. Readers interested in responses to this question for 1991 and 1992 should consult previous editions of SOURCEBOOK. For survey methodology and definitions of terms, see Appendix 7.

Source: Lloyd D. Johnston, Patrick M. O'Malley, and Jerald G. Bachman, *National Survey Results on Drug Use from the Monitoring the Future Study, 1975-1996*, Vol. 1, Secondary School Students, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, National Institute on Drug Abuse (Washington, DC: USGPO, 1997). Table adapted by SOURCEBOOK staff.

^aAnswer alternatives were: (1) no risk, (2) slight risk, (3) moderate risk, (4) great risk, and (5) can't say, drug unfamiliar. ^bData for eighth and tenth grades are based on a single questionnaire form; N is one-half of N

indicated.

 $^{\rm c}\textsc{Data}$ for eighth and tenth grades are based on a single form in 1993 and 1994; N is one-half of N indicated.

Table 2.100

Young adults' perceptions of the harmfulness of drug use, alcohol use, and cigarette smoking

By type of drug, frequency of use, and age group, United States, 1984-96 $\,$

Question: "How much do you think people risk harming themselves (physically or in other ways), if they. . .?"

(Percent saying "great risk"^a)

19 to 22 years old 1990 1991 1992 1993 1994 1995 1996 1996 1996 1996 1996 1996 1996													,	Age groups
Image requency of use(N=579)(N=571)(N=561)(N=570)(N=551)(N=551)(N=552)(N=533)(N=527)(N=480)(N=490)(N=490)(N=490)(N=490)(N=490)(N=490)(N=490)(N=490)(N=490)(N=490)(N=490)(N=490)(N=490)(N=490)(N=40)<							19	to 22 years	old					<u>a. a</u>
Smoke marijuana occasionally Smoke marijuana regularly 21.7 62.2 20.6 66.8 22.4 66.8 23.0 67.6 29.1 69.3 30.1 75.0 30.2 65.0 29.5 65.0 30.3 65.0 62.1 65.0 61.3 Try LSD once or twice Take LSD regularly 46.0 84.5 44.3 84.6 47.6 85.6 49.2 85.6 49.3 85.6 49.3 85.6 48.0 85.8 45.6 85.8 86.8 85.0 86.0 87.0 81.0 81.0 81.0 81.0 80.0 81.0 81.0 81.0 80.1 81.0 80.0 81.0 81.0 81.0 80.1 81.0 80.0 81.0 81.0 81.0 80.1 81.0 80.1 82.0														
Smoke marijuana regularly 62.2 66.8 67.6 69.4 72.4 74.9 73.0 75.0 69.3 69.2 65.0 62.1 61.3 Try LSD once or twice Take LSD regularly 46.0 44.3 47.6 49.4 49.2 49.5 49.3 48.0 45.6 42.4 42.3 40.3 44.4 Try PCP once or twice NA NA NA 63.6 63.8 NA NA <td>Try marijuana once or twice</td> <td>12.8%</td> <td>11.2%</td> <td>13.0%</td> <td>12.9%</td> <td>16.8%</td> <td>16.9%</td> <td>17.8%</td> <td>19.1%</td> <td>19.7%</td> <td>19.4%</td> <td>18.8%</td> <td>13.3%</td> <td>16.9%</td>	Try marijuana once or twice	12.8%	11.2%	13.0%	12.9%	16.8%	16.9%	17.8%	19.1%	19.7%	19.4%	18.8%	13.3%	16.9%
Try LSD once or twice 46.0 44.3 47.6 49.4 49.2 49.5 49.3 48.0 45.6 42.4 42.3 40.3 44.4 Try LSD once or twice NA NA NA 85.6 63.8 85.8 86.6 87.0 81.3 81.3 80.5 82.4 Try PCP once or twice NA NA NA S5.5 45.9 51.9 51.5 58.1 58.7 56.1 60.5 63.8 77.4 78.6 Take cocaine regularly NA NA NA S3.8 61.3 67.1 72.6 74.6 76.6 73.4 76.6 73.4 76.6 73.4 76.6 73.4 76.6 73.4 77.5 78.1 83.5 82.9 83.0 90.3 89.1 93.9 93.5 92.9 91.7 92.2 91.5 92.1 Try crack once or twice NA NA NA NA NA 70.0 77.3 81.8 82.3 82.7 81.9 83.6 84.3 78.8 83.5 79.4 83.6 84.3 <th< td=""><td>Smoke marijuana occasionally</td><td>21.7</td><td>20.6</td><td>22.4</td><td>23.0</td><td>28.7</td><td>29.1</td><td>30.1</td><td>30.2</td><td>29.5</td><td>30.3</td><td>31.3</td><td>25.5</td><td>25.6</td></th<>	Smoke marijuana occasionally	21.7	20.6	22.4	23.0	28.7	29.1	30.1	30.2	29.5	30.3	31.3	25.5	25.6
Take LSD regularly84.586.487.185.685.485.585.886.687.081.381.080.582.4Try PCP once or twiceNANANA63.663.8NA <t< td=""><td>Smoke marijuana regularly</td><td>62.2</td><td>66.8</td><td>67.6</td><td>69.4</td><td>72.4</td><td>74.9</td><td>73.0</td><td>75.0</td><td>69.3</td><td>69.2</td><td>65.0</td><td>62.1</td><td>61.3</td></t<>	Smoke marijuana regularly	62.2	66.8	67.6	69.4	72.4	74.9	73.0	75.0	69.3	69.2	65.0	62.1	61.3
Try PCP once or twice NA NA NA NA G3.6 G3.8 NA														
Try cocaine once or twice 33.1 33.2 35.5 45.9 51.5 58.1 58.7 56.1 60.5 74.9 75.4 78.0 77.4 76.6 Take cocaine regularly 75.1 82.9 82.0 88.0 90.3 89.1 93.9 93.5 92.9 91.7 92.2 91.5 92.1 Try crack once or twice NA NA NA NA 50.4 67.3 68.5 69.4 66.9 65.4 63.5 70.1 61.9 65.2 Take crack occasionally NA NA NA NA 72.6 77.3 81.8 82.3 82.7 81.9 83.6 84.3 78.8 83.5 Take crack regularly NA NA NA NA 72.6 77.3 81.8 82.3 82.7 81.9 83.6 84.3 78.8 83.5 Take crack regularly NA NA NA 72.6 77.5 79.8 80.8 80.2 81.6 73.4 77.9 82.1 Ty heroin once or twice 58.7 51.0 55.	Take LSD regularly	84.5	86.4	87.1	85.6	85.4	85.5	85.8	86.6	87.0	81.3	81.0	80.5	82.4
Take cocaine occasionally Take cocaine regularlyNA 75.1NA 82.953.8 82.061.3 88.067.1 90.372.6 89.174.6 93.972.6 93.574.9 92.975.4 91.778.0 92.273.4 91.576.6 92.1Try crack once or twice Take crack occasionally Take crack regularlyNA NANA NANA NA59.4 NA67.3 NA68.5 89.169.4 94.166.9 94.965.4 95.663.5 93.470.1 96.261.9 96.065.2 96.0Try crack once or twice Take crack regularlyNA NANA NANA NA59.4 NA67.3 NA68.5 84.369.4 84.366.9 84.365.4 84.363.5 84.370.1 84.861.9 84.365.2 84.3Try heroin once or twice Take heroin occasionally Take heroin regularly58.7 74.951.0 73.655.5 77.2 77.2 77.657.9 77.558.9 79.859.6 80.858.3 80.259.9 81.659.8 78.858.9 79.060.8 77.9 77.982.1 82.1 89.9Try amphetamines once or twice Take amphetamines regularly26.9 86.423.9 68.427.1 73.027.4 73.031.7 73.928.9 71.335.6 77.032.8 77.133.3 73.536.3 71.632.9 72.236.8 72.3Try ony stal methamphetamine (ice) Ic barbiturates regularlyNA 71.6NA 71.7NA 74.5NA 73.0NA 74.0NA 71.775.5 75.575.6 75.7	Try PCP once or twice	NA	NA	NA	63.6	63.8	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
Take cocaine regularly75.182.982.088.090.389.193.993.592.991.792.291.592.1Try crack once or twice Take crack occasionally Take crack regularlyNANANANA59.467.3 75.068.569.466.965.463.570.161.965.2Try crack occasionally Take crack regularlyNANANANAS9.691.194.194.995.693.496.296.094.294.6Try heroin once or twice Take heroin occasionally Take heroin occasionally Take heroin regularly58.751.055.557.958.959.658.359.959.858.960.858.961.0Try amphetamines once or twice Take amphetamines regularly26.923.927.127.431.728.935.632.834.533.336.332.936.8Try crystal methamphetamine (ice)NANANANANANA57.858.657.757.561.458.961.1Try one or two drinks of an alcoho- lic beverage (beer, wine, liquor) Take neor two drinks nearly4.73.15.43.53.95.96.15.45.86.66.54.53.3	Try cocaine once or twice	33.1		35.5	45.9		51.5	58.1		56.1	60.5	63.8	57.7	61.9
Try crack once or twice Take crack occasionally NA NA NA NA NA NA NA NA NA S9.6 67.3 91.1 68.5 94.1 69.4 94.1 66.9 94.9 65.4 93.6 63.5 93.4 70.1 96.2 61.9 96.0 65.4 94.2 63.5 94.2 70.1 96.0 61.9 94.2 63.5 94.2 70.1 96.0 61.9 94.2 63.5 94.6 70.1 96.0 61.9 94.2 63.5 94.6 70.1 96.0 61.9 94.2 63.5 94.6 70.1 96.0 61.9 94.2 63.5 94.6 70.1 96.2 61.9 96.0 63.4 94.2 96.2 96.0 96.2 96.0 96.2 94.2 96.2 96.0 96.2 96.0 96.2 94.2 96.2 96.0 96.2 96.0 96.2 94.2 96.2 94.0 96.2 94.2 96.2 89.2 91.2 89.2 91.5 91.2 92.2 89.2 89.2 89.2 81.8 91.2 80.8 80.2 80.2 89.2 81.6 89.2 77.9 89.2 82.1 89.9 94.0 Try amphetamines once or twice Take amphetamines regularly 26.9 68.4 23.9 72.3 72.1 72.0 73.9 73.0 71.3 74.0 74.0 77.1 73.5 73.5 71.6 72.2 75.8 Try crystal methamphetamine (ice) NA NA NA NA NA S7.8 58.6 57.7 <th< td=""><td></td><td>NA</td><td>NA</td><td>53.8</td><td>61.3</td><td>67.1</td><td></td><td>74.6</td><td></td><td></td><td>75.4</td><td></td><td>73.4</td><td></td></th<>		NA	NA	53.8	61.3	67.1		74.6			75.4		73.4	
Take crack occasionally Take crack regularlyNA NANA NANA NANA NANA 89.677.3 91.181.8 94.182.3 94.982.7 95.681.9 93.483.6 96.284.3 96.078.8 94.283.5 94.6Try heroin once or twice Take heroin occasionally Take heroin occasionally Take heroin occasionally Take heroin occasionally Take heroin regularly55.7 71.657.9 77.2 77.658.9 77.2 77.659.6 77.5 79.8 89.659.8 90.859.8 80.2 91.259.8 80.2 81.6 91.558.9 92.260.8 89.258.9 91.260.8 89.258.9 91.261.0 77.9 82.1 89.9Try amphetamines once or twice Take amphetamines regularly26.9 68.423.9 68.527.1 72.327.4 72.031.7 73.928.9 71.335.6 74.032.8 77.134.5 73.533.3 73.536.3 71.632.9 72.236.8 72.2Try crystal methamphetamine (ice)NANANANANA57.8 73.058.657.7 75.557.561.4 61.458.961.1Try barbiturates once or twice tic beverage (beer, wine, liquor) Take one or two drinks of an alcoho- lic beverage (beer, wine, liquor) Take one or two drinks nearly4.7 3.13.15.43.5 3.93.9 5.95.9 6.16.15.4 5.86.66.54.53.3	Take cocaine regularly	75.1	82.9	82.0	88.0	90.3	89.1	93.9	93.5	92.9	91.7	92.2	91.5	92.1
Take crack regularlyNANANANA89.691.194.194.995.693.496.296.094.294.6Try heroin once or twice Take heroin occasionally Take heroin regularly58.7 86.851.0 90.255.5 90.757.9 90.258.9 89.659.6 90.858.3 91.259.8 80.858.9 80.260.8 81.658.9 78.8 77.960.8 82.1 80.2Try amphetamines once or twice Take heroin regularly26.9 68.423.9 68.527.1 72.327.4 72.031.7 73.928.9 71.335.6 74.032.8 77.134.5 73.533.3 73.536.3 71.632.9 72.236.8 75.8Try crystal methamphetamine (ice)NANANANANA57.8 73.058.657.757.5 75.561.458.9 75.861.1Try barbiturates once or twice tic beverage (beer, wine, liquor) Take one or two drinks of an alcoho- lic beverage (beer, wine, liquor) Take one or two drinks nearly4.73.15.43.53.95.96.15.45.86.66.54.53.3		NA	NA	NA	59.4	67.3	68.5	69.4	66.9	65.4	63.5	70.1	61.9	65.2
Try heroin once or twice Take heroin occasionally 58.7 74.9 51.0 73.6 55.5 57.9 77.2 58.9 77.6 58.9 77.5 59.6 79.8 59.8 80.8 59.9 80.2 59.8 81.6 58.9 72.3 60.8 79.0 58.9 89.6 60.8 90.2 58.9 89.2 61.0 89.6 60.8 89.2 58.9 89.2 60.8 57.7 75.5 73.5 73.6 71.6 72.2 75.8 Try orystal methamphetamine (ice) NA NA NA NA NA 57.8 58.6 57.7 57.5 61.4 58.9 61.1 Try barbiturates once or twice lic beverage		NA		NA	75.0	77.3	81.8			81.9		84.3		
Take heroin occasionally Take heroin regularly74.9 86.873.6 90.277.2 90.777.6 90.277.5 89.679.8 90.880.8 91.280.2 91.581.6 92.278.8 89.279.0 91.277.9 89.982.1 94.0Try amphetamines once or twice Take amphetamines regularly26.9 68.423.9 68.527.1 72.327.4 72.031.7 73.928.9 71.335.6 74.032.8 77.134.5 73.533.3 73.536.3 71.632.9 72.236.8 75.8Try crystal methamphetamine (ice)NANANANANANA 74.057.858.6 75.557.757.561.4 61.458.961.1Try barbiturates once or twice Take barbiturates regularly29.9 71.625.0 71.730.7 74.529.6 73.032.7 74.030.5 71.736.4 75.533.5 73.633.4 71.135.0 69.430.5 66.434.1 70.7Try one or two drinks of an alcoho- lic beverage (beer, wine, liquor) Take one or two drinks nearly4.7 3.13.15.4 3.53.9 3.95.9 5.96.1 6.15.45.8 5.86.6 6.66.54.53.3	Take crack regularly	NA	NA	NA	89.6	91.1	94.1	94.9	95.6	93.4	96.2	96.0	94.2	94.6
Take heroin regularly86.890.290.790.289.690.891.291.592.289.291.289.994.0Try amphetamines once or twice Take amphetamines regularly26.9 68.423.9 68.527.1 72.327.4 72.031.7 73.928.9 71.335.6 74.032.8 77.134.5 73.533.3 73.536.3 71.632.9 72.236.8 75.8Try crystal methamphetamine (ice)NANANANANA57.858.657.757.561.458.961.1Try barbiturates once or twice Take barbiturates regularly29.9 71.625.0 71.730.7 74.529.6 73.032.7 74.030.5 71.736.4 														
Try amphetamines once or twice 26.9 23.9 27.1 27.4 31.7 28.9 35.6 32.8 34.5 73.5 73.5 71.6 72.2 75.8 Try crystal methamphetamines regularly 68.4 68.5 72.3 72.0 73.9 71.3 74.0 77.1 73.5 73.5 71.6 72.2 75.8 Try crystal methamphetamine (ice) NA NA NA NA NA S5.6 57.7 57.5 61.4 58.9 61.1 Try barbiturates once or twice 29.9 25.0 30.7 29.6 32.7 30.5 36.4 33.5 33.5 33.4 35.0 30.5 34.1 Take barbiturates regularly 71.6 71.7 74.5 73.0 74.0 71.7 75.5 73.6 71.1 69.4 66.4 70.7 Try one or two drinks of an alcoho-lic beverage (beer, wine, liquor) 4.7 3.1 5.4 3.5 3.9 5.9 6.1 5.4 5.8 6.6 6.5 4.5 3.3 Try one or two drinks of an alcoho-lic beverage (beer, wine, liquor) <t< td=""><td></td><td>74.9</td><td>73.6</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>80.8</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>79.0</td><td></td><td></td></t<>		74.9	73.6					80.8				79.0		
Take ampletamines regularly 68.4 68.5 72.3 72.0 73.9 71.3 74.0 77.1 73.5 73.5 71.6 72.2 75.8 Try crystal methamphetamine (ice) NA NA NA NA NA NA S7.8 S8.6 S7.7 S7.5 61.4 58.9 61.1 Try barbiturates once or twice 29.9 25.0 30.7 29.6 32.7 30.5 36.4 33.5 33.4 35.0 30.5 34.1 Take barbiturates regularly 71.6 71.7 74.5 73.0 74.0 71.7 75.5 73.6 71.1 69.4 66.4 70.7 Try one or two drinks of an alcoho-lic beverage (beer, wine, liquor) 4.7 3.1 5.4 3.5 3.9 5.9 6.1 5.4 5.8 6.6 6.5 4.5 3.3 Take one or two drinks nearly 4.7 3.1 5.4 3.5 3.9 5.9 6.1 5.4 5.8 6.6 6.5 4.5 3.3	Take heroin regularly	86.8	90.2	90.7	90.2	89.6	90.8	91.2	91.5	92.2	89.2	91.2	89.9	94.0
Try crystal methamphetamine (ice) NA NA NA NA NA NA S7.8 S8.6 S7.7 S7.5 61.4 58.9 61.1 Try barbiturates once or twice 29.9 25.0 30.7 29.6 32.7 30.5 36.4 33.5 33.5 33.4 35.0 30.5 34.1 Take barbiturates regularly 71.6 71.7 74.5 73.0 74.0 71.7 75.5 75.5 73.6 71.1 69.4 66.4 70.7 Try one or two drinks of an alcoho- lic beverage (beer, wine, liquor) Take one or two drinks nearly 4.7 3.1 5.4 3.5 3.9 5.9 6.1 5.4 5.8 6.6 6.5 4.5 3.3								35.6						
Try barbiturates once or twice 29.9 25.0 30.7 29.6 32.7 30.5 36.4 33.5 33.5 33.4 35.0 30.5 34.1 Take barbiturates regularly 71.6 71.7 74.5 73.0 74.0 71.7 75.5 75.5 73.6 71.1 69.4 66.4 70.7 Try one or two drinks of an alcoho- lic beverage (beer, wine, liquor) 4.7 3.1 5.4 3.5 3.9 5.9 6.1 5.4 5.8 6.6 6.5 4.5 3.3	Take amphetamines regularly	68.4	68.5	72.3	72.0	73.9	71.3	74.0	77.1	73.5	73.5	71.6	72.2	75.8
Take barbiturates regularly 71.6 71.7 74.5 73.0 74.0 71.7 75.5 75.5 73.6 71.1 69.4 66.4 70.7 Try one or two drinks of an alcoho- lic beverage (beer, wine, liquor) 4.7 3.1 5.4 3.5 3.9 5.9 6.1 5.4 5.8 6.6 6.5 4.5 3.3 Take one or two drinks nearly 4.7 3.1 5.4 3.5 3.9 5.9 6.1 5.4 5.8 6.6 6.5 4.5 3.3	Try crystal methamphetamine (ice)	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	57.8	58.6	57.7	57.5	61.4	58.9	61.1
Try one or two drinks of an alcoho- lic beverage (beer, wine, liquor) 4.7 3.1 5.4 3.5 3.9 5.9 6.1 5.4 5.8 6.6 6.5 4.5 3.3 Take one or two drinks nearly	Try barbiturates once or twice	29.9	25.0	30.7	29.6	32.7	30.5	36.4	33.5	33.5	33.4	35.0	30.5	34.1
lic beverage (beer, wine, liquor) 4.7 3.1 5.4 3.5 3.9 5.9 6.1 5.4 5.8 6.6 6.5 4.5 3.3 Take one or two drinks nearly	Take barbiturates regularly	71.6	71.7	74.5	73.0	74.0	71.7	75.5	75.5	73.6	71.1	69.4	66.4	70.7
Take one or two drinks nearly	Try one or two drinks of an alcoho-													
		4.7	3.1	5.4	3.5	3.9	5.9	6.1	5.4	5.8	6.6	6.5	4.5	3.3
every day 25.0 26.3 27.3 26.1 26.5 28.1 30.1 29.1 30.2 28.0 27.5 24.0 23.0		25.0	26.3	27.3	26.1	26.5	28.1	30.1	29.1	30.2	28.0	27.5	24.0	23.0
Take four or five drinks nearly	Take four or five drinks nearly													
every day 76.2 74.1 74.0 76.4 72.8 75.7 76.1 75.5 71.8 72.1 70.3 72.5 68.5	5 5	76.2	74.1	74.0	76.4	72.8	75.7	76.1	75.5	71.8	72.1	70.3	72.5	68.5
Have five or more drinks once or twice each weekend 37.9 40.2 34.6 36.7 36.9 42.4 40.6 40.8 41.8 42.4 41.9 39.9 40.7		37.9	40.2	34.6	36.7	36.9	42.4	40.6	40.8	41.8	42.4	41.9	39.9	40.7
Smoke one or more packs of	Smoke one or more packs of													
cigarettes per day 69.1 71.4 70.4 70.6 71.0 73.4 72.5 77.9 72.6 76.0 71.2 71.6 73.8		69.1	71.4	70.4	70.6	71.0	73.4	72.5	77.9	72.6	76.0	71.2	71.6	73.8

Note: See Note, table 2.98. "Young adults" includes high school graduates 1 to 10 years beyond high school. Some data for 1995 have been revised by the Source and therefore will differ from previous editions of SOURCEBOOK. For survey methodology and definitions of terms, see Appendix 7. Source: Lloyd D. Johnston, Patrick M. O'Malley, and Jerald G. Bachman, *National Survey Results on Drug Use from the Monitoring the Future Study*, 1975-1996, Vol. 2, College Students and Young Adults, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, National Institute on Drug Abuse (Washington, DC: USGPO, 1997). Table adapted by SOURCEBOOK staff.

^aAnswer alternatives were: (1) no risk, (2) slight risk, (3) moderate risk, (4) great risk, and (5) can't say, drug unfamiliar.

			23	to 26 years	old						27 to 30	years old		
1988	1989	1990	1991	1992	1993	1994	1995	1996	1991	1992	1993	1994	1995	1996
(N=527)	(N=498)	(N=511)	(N=505)	(N=518)	(N=503)	(N=465)	(N=445)	(N=438)	(N=486)	(N=482)	(N=473)	(N=443)	(N=448)	(N=422)
16.0%	14.0%	17.7%	14.0%	15.0%	13.0%	15.0%	15.8%	18.5%	15.7%	15.1%	14.0%	14.8%	16.1%	16.2%
26.8	25.3	30.4	26.2	27.4	24.0	25.5	27.7	27.3	27.4	27.5	26.8	28.1	28.3	28.1
68.3	72.1	71.0	70.9	67.3	64.1	63.2	64.2	62.7	67.5	68.8	69.4	65.6	69.2	67.3
53.7	50.7	52.0	50.1	49.7	49.0	46.8	45.8	46.1	52.5	53.0	51.5	53.5	52.5	50.0
89.2	89.0	88.2	89.1	87.3	85.3	87.5	86.3	84.7	87.1	88.5	89.0	89.2	88.4	87.0
63.2	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
47.1	51.3	51.5	50.5	53.5	54.1	56.0	58.7	57.2	52.6	51.8	54.7	53.5	56.4	53.6
63.2	69.9	69.9	70.3	69.9	72.8	70.3	76.0	71.3	69.1	69.9	69.1	69.9	70.0	67.8
90.9	91.2	91.2	92.7	89.9	91.9	92.6	93.3	90.6	90.9	92.0	91.6	92.1	91.3	91.5
63.5	69.8	67.3	66.9	67.1	64.2	69.3	64.8	68.6	66.8	64.3	68.8	65.6	66.4	66.7
74.0	79.9	81.1	83.9	84.4	81.6	83.2	81.4	85.9	81.8	79.1	83.6	78.6	81.1	81.3
89.2	91.5	94.2	95.4	94.1	93.4	94.9	95.5	96.1	94.4	93.3	93.5	93.0	94.0	94.3
65.4	62.3	64.1	62.4	63.7	65.0	63.3	64.1	63.5	66.1	66.5	69.3	69.6	66.4	66.4
82.4	80.8	83.4	84.4	81.5	82.1	80.8	85.3	82.4	84.3	84.9	86.2	86.8	83.1	83.8
91.5	91.3	91.0	92.6	91.3	91.6	93.0	93.5	92.7	90.7	91.3	92.6	93.8	92.4	92.1
33.2	32.5	35.3	31.0	32.7	32.6	32.9	34.3	34.9	36.5	36.2	34.0	37.5	36.0	36.2
77.4	76.7	77.8	79.4	76.4	76.2	73.6	80.5	78.5	79.4	80.3	79.8	78.4	77.7	75.6
NA	NA	56.5	56.0	55.6	52.0	61.0	57.8	64.0	57.2	52.7	60.3	57.9	58.5	59.1
35.8	32.9	37.9	31.8	33.5	32.8	34.0	34.8	35.8	37.0	38.2	36.5	40.5	36.6	37.2
79.8	76.6	80.5	77.7	76.3	75.0	74.3	77.6	77.1	79.6	78.6	80.2	78.3	77.7	74.1
4.2	5.1	5.7	4.4	5.6	3.2	4.5	4.3	4.8	6.6	5.6	4.7	4.1	6.7	4.7
29.1	27.8	31.1	30.4	31.6	25.9	26.2	26.1	22.0	31.7	30.9	28.0	27.4	27.2	24.0
81.8	76.9	79.7	80.2	78.0	76.7	77.5	75.2	72.0	79.1	79.9	79.1	76.6	82.2	76.1
35.8	37.7	40.2	39.3	37.6	36.2	40.2	37.9	39.1	42.2	45.1	42.9	43.2	44.6	41.5
75.5	71.4	78.5	75.3	76.3	78.4	76.4	76.0	76.0	75.4	77.6	75.0	75.3	75.6	73.0
70.0	/ 1.4	10.0	10.0	10.0	/0.4	10.4	70.0	70.0	70.4	11.0	75.0	10.0	10.0	13.0

Table 2.101

Respondents' perceptions of the harmfulness of selected drug use behaviors

By age group, United States, 1994

Question: "How much do you think people risk harming themselves physically and in other ways, when they do each of the following activities?"

(Percent responding "great risk")

	Total		Age	group	
	all	12 to 17	18 to 25	26 to 34	35 years
Risk behavior	ages	years	years	years	and older
Marijuana					
Smoke once or twice	35.6%	31.3%	21.0%	23.1%	43.4%
Smoke occasionally	39.8	32.4	24.0	28.9	48.0
Smoke regularly	59.0	57.8	46.4	49.5	65.0
Cocaine					
Try once or twice	69.8	51.7	60.5	60.6	77.8
Use occasionally	79.5	62.8	73.3	75.7	85.0
Use regularly	91.0	83.3	88.8	90.8	92.9
Use "crack" occasionally	86.3	65.2	82.1	87.6	90.6
PCP					
Try once or twice					
Use regularly	69.8	44.8	55.1	66.0	78.7
	84.5	69.5	77.8	85.2	88.5
Heroin					
Try once or twice	77.0	49.4	66.5	75.3	84.8
Use regularly	91.4	77.9	87.5	92.8	94.3
Anabolic steroids					
Use occasionally	68.9	51.2	56.6	65.7	75.8
Use regularly	86.2	78.1	81.5	85.5	88.9
Alcohol					
One or two drinks nearly					
every day	28.3	28.3	25.4	26.1	29.7
Four or five drinks nearly					
every day	71.8	64.9	65.1	71.7	74.6
Five or more drinks once					
or twice a week	59.0	53.7	48.3	51.9	64.5
<u>Cigarettes</u>					
Smoke one or more					
packs per day	66.0	51.6	60.2	65.6	69.9

Note: These data are from the 1994 National Household Survey on Drug Abuse sponsored by the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration. Households were randomly sampled from all households in the United States and interviews were conducted throughout the year. In 1994, a split sample design was employed in order to implement a new survey questionnaire. These data are based on 17,809 completed interviews using the new questionnaire. Answer alternatives to the question were "no risk," "slight risk," "moderate risk," and "great

risk."

For survey methodology, see Appendix 8.

Source: U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration, *National Household Survey on Drug Abuse: Main Find-ings 1994* (Rockville, MD: U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, 1996), p. 140. Table adapted by SOURCEBOOK staff.

High school seniors' perceptions of availability of drugs

By type of drug, United States, 1984-96

Question: "How difficult do you think it would be for you to get each of the following types of drugs, if you wanted some?"

(Percent saying drug would be "fairly easy" or "very easy" for them to get^a)

Type of drug	Class of 1984 (N=3,269)	Class of 1985 (N=3,274)	Class of 1986 (N=3,077)	Class of 1987 (N=3,271)	Class of 1988 (N=3,231)	Class of 1989 (N=2,806)	Class of 1990 (N=2,549)	Class of 1991 (N=2,476)	Class of 1992 (N=2,586)	Class of 1993 (N=2,670)	Class of 1994 (N=2,526)	Class of 1995 (N=2,552)	Class of 1996 (N=2,340)
Marijuana	84.6%	85.5%	85.2%	84.8%	85.0%	84.3%	84.4%	83.3%	82.7%	83.0%	85.5%	88.5%	88.7%
Amyl and butyl nitrites	NA	NA	NA	23.9	25.9	26.8	24.4	22.7	25.9	25.9	26.7	26.0	23.9
LSD	30.6	30.5	28.5	31.4	33.3	38.3	40.7	39.5	44.5	49.2	50.8	53.8	51.3
PCP	NA	NA	NA	22.8	24.9	28.9	27.7	27.6	31.7	31.7	31.4	31.0	30.5
Some other psychedelic	26.6	26.1	24.9	25.0	26.2	28.2	28.3	28.0	29.9	33.5	33.8	35.8	33.9
MDMA (ecstasy)	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	21.7	22.0	22.1	24.2	28.1	31.2	34.2	36.9
Cocaine powder	NA	NA	NA	52.9	50.3	53.7	49.0	46.0	48.0	45.4	43.7	43.8	44.4
Crack	NA	NA	NA	41.1	42.1	47.0	42.4	39.9	43.5	43.6	40.5	41.9	40.7
Cocaine	45.0	48.9	51.5	54.2	55.0	58.7	54.5	51.0	52.7	48.5	46.6	47.7	48.1
Heroin	19.9	21.0	22.0	23.7	28.0	31.4	31.9	30.6	34.9	33.7	34.1	35.1	32.2
Some other narcotic (including													
methadone)	32.1	33.1	32.2	33.0	35.8	38.3	38.1	34.6	37.1	37.5	38.0	39.8	40.0
Amphetamines	68.2	66.4	64.3	64.5	63.9	64.3	59.7	57.3	58.8	61.5	62.0	62.8	59.4
Crystal methamphetamine (ice)	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	24.1	24.3	26.0	26.6	25.6	27.0	26.9
Barbiturates	51.9	51.3	48.3	48.2	47.8	48.4	45.9	42.4	44.0	44.5	43.3	42.3	41.4
Tranquilizers	54.5	54.7	51.2	48.6	49.1	45.3	44.7	40.8	40.9	41.1	39.2	37.8	36.0
Steroids	NA	46.7	46.8	44.8	42.9	45.5	40.3						

Note: See Note, table 2.98. Readers interested in responses to this question for 1975 through 1983 should consult previous editions of SOURCEBOOK. For survey methodology and definitions of terms, see Appendix 7.

Source: Lloyd D. Johnston, Patrick M. O'Malley, and Jerald G. Bachman, *National Survey Results on Drug Use from the Monitoring the Future Study*, 1975-1996, Vol. 1, Secondary School Students, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, National Institute on Drug Abuse (Washington, DC: USGPO, 1997). Table adapted by SOURCEBOOK staff.

^aAnswer alternatives were: (1) probably impossible, (2) very difficult, (3) fairly difficult, (4) fairly easy, and (5) very easy.

Table 2.103

Students' perceptions of availability of drugs

By type of drug and student grade level, United States, 1993-96

Question: "How difficult do you think it would be for you to get each of the following types of drugs, if you wanted some?"

(Percent saying "fairly easy" or "very easy" for them to get^a)

		Eighth	grade			Tenth	grade			Twelfth	n grade	
	1993	1994	1995	1996	1993	1994	1995	1996	1993	1994	1995	1996
Type of drug	(N=16,775)	(N=16,119) (N=15,496)	(N=16,318)	(N=14,652)	(N=15,192)	(N=16,209) (N	V=14,887)	(N=2,670)	(N=2,526)	(N=2,552)	(N=2,340)
Marijuana	43.8%	49.9%	52.4%	54.8%	68.4%	75.0%	78.1%	81.1%	83.0%	85.5%	88.5%	88.7%
LSD	21.8	21.8	23.5	23.6	35.8	36.1	39.8	41.0	49.2	50.8	53.8	51.3
PCP ^b	18.5	17.7	19.0	19.6	23.4	23.8	24.7	26.8	31.7	31.4	31.0	30.5
Crack	25.9	26.9	28.7	27.9	33.0	34.2	34.6	36.4	43.6	40.5	41.9	40.7
Cocaine powder	25.9	26.4	27.8	27.2	34.1	34.5	35.3	36.9	45.4	43.7	43.8	44.4
Heroin	19.8	19.4	21.1	20.6	24.3	24.7	24.6	24.8	33.7	34.1	35.1	32.2
Other opiates ^b	19.0	18.3	20.3	20.0	24.9	26.9	27.8	29.4	37.5	38.0	39.8	40.0
Amphetamines	31.4	31.0	33.4	32.6	46.4	46.6	47.7	47.2	61.5	62.0	62.8	59.4
Crystal methamphetamine (ice) ^b	15.1	14.1	16.0	16.3	16.4	17.8	20.7	22.6	26.6	25.6	27.0	26.9
Barbiturates	26.1	25.3	26.5	25.6	38.8	38.3	38.8	38.1	44.5	43.3	42.3	41.4
Tranquilizers	21.4	20.4	21.3	20.4	30.5	29.8	30.6	30.3	41.1	39.2	37.8	36.0
Alcohol	73.9	74.5	74.9	75.3	88.9	89.8	89.7	90.4	NA	NA	NA	NA
Steroids	22.7	23.1	23.8	24.1	33.6	33.6	34.8	34.8	44.8	42.9	45.5	40.3

SOURCEBOOK staff.

Note: See Note, table 2.98. Readers interested in responses to this question for 1992 should consult previous editions of SOURCEBOOK. For survey methodology and definitions of terms, see Appendix 7.

^aAnswer alternatives were: (1) probably impossible, (2) very difficult, (3) fairly difficult, (4) fairly easy, and (5) very easy. For eighth and tenth grades the response "can't say, drug unfamiliar" was also available.

^bData for eighth and tenth grades are based on a single questionnaire form; N is one-half of N indicated in 1993-96.

Source: Lloyd D. Johnston, Patrick M. O'Malley, and Jerald G. Bachman, National

Survey Results on Drug Use from the Monitoring the Future Study, 1975-1996,

Vol. 1, Secondary School Students, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, National Institute on Drug Abuse (Washington, DC: USGPO, 1997). Table adapted by

High school seniors disapproving of drug use, alcohol use, and cigarette smoking

By type of drug and frequency of use, United States, 1984-96

Question: "Do you disapprove of people (who are 18 or older) doing each of the following?"

(Percent disapproving^a)

Type of drug and frequency of use	Class of 1984 (N=3,254)	Class of 1985 (N=3,265)	Class of 1986 (N=3,113)	Class of 1987 (N=3,302)	Class of 1988 (N=3,311)	Class of 1989 (N=2,799)	Class of 1990 (N=2,566)	Class of 1991 (N=2,547)	Class of 1992 (N=2,645)	Class of 1993 (N=2,723)	Class of 1994 (N=2,588)	Class of 1995 (N=2,603)	Class of 1996 (N=2,399)
Try marijuana once or twice	49.3%	51.4%	54.6%	56.6%	60.8%	64.6%	67.8%	68.7%	69.9%	63.3%	57.6%	56.7%	52.5%
Smoke marijuana occasionally	63.5	65.8	69.0	71.6	74.0	77.2	80.5	79.4	79.7	75.5	68.9	66.7	62.9
Smoke marijuana regularly	84.7	85.5	86.6	89.2	89.3	89.8	91.0	89.3	90.1	87.6	82.3	81.9	80.0
Try LSD once or twice	88.9	89.5	89.2	91.6	89.8	89.7	89.8	90.1	88.1	85.9	82.5	81.1	79.6
Take LSD regularly	96.8	97.0	96.6	97.8	96.4	96.4	96.3	96.4	95.5	95.8	94.3	92.5	93.2
Try cocaine once or twice	79.7	79.3	80.2	87.3	89.1	90.5	91.5	93.6	93.0	92.7	91.6	90.3	90.0
Take cocaine regularly	94.5	93.8	94.3	96.7	96.2	96.4	96.7	97.3	96.9	97.5	96.6	96.1	95.6
Try crack once or twice	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	92.3	92.1	93.1	89.9	89.5	91.4	87.4
Take crack occasionally	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	94.3	94.2	95.0	92.8	92.8	94.0	91.2
Take crack regularly	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	94.9	95.0	95.5	93.4	93.1	94.1	93.0
Try heroin once or twice	94.0	94.0	93.3	96.2	95.0	95.4	95.1	96.0	94.9	94.4	93.2	92.8	92.1
Take heroin occasionally	97.1	96.8	96.6	97.9	96.9	97.2	96.7	97.3	96.8	97.0	96.2	95.7	95.0
Take heroin regularly	98.0	97.6	97.6	98.1	97.2	97.4	97.5	97.8	97.2	97.5	97.1	96.4	96.3
Try amphetamines once or twice	72.8	74.9	76.5	80.7	82.5	83.3	85.3	86.5	86.9	84.2	81.3	82.2	79.9
Take amphetamines regularly	93.6	93.3	93.5	95.4	94.2	94.2	95.5	96.0	95.6	96.0	94.1	94.3	93.5
Try barbiturates once or twice	84.1	84.9	86.8	89.6	89.4	89.3	90.5	90.6	90.3	89.7	87.5	87.3	84.9
Take barbiturates regularly	95.1	84.9 95.5	94.9	96.4	95.3	95.3	90.5 96.4	90.8	90.3 96.5	97.0	96.1	95.2	94.9 94.8
Take barbiturates regularly	55.1	90.0	54.5	50.4	55.5	55.5	50.4	57.1	90.5	97.0	50.1	55.2	54.0
Try one or two drinks of an alcoholic													
beverage (beer, wine, liquor)	17.4	20.3	20.9	21.4	22.6	27.3	29.4	29.8	33.0	30.1	28.4	27.3	26.5
Take one or two drinks nearly every													
day	72.9	70.9	72.8	74.2	75.0	76.5	77.9	76.5	75.9	77.8	73.1	73.3	70.8
Take four or five drinks nearly every													
day	91.0	92.0	91.4	92.2	92.8	91.6	91.9	90.6	90.8	90.6	89.8	88.8	89.4
Have five or more drinks once					05.0			o 7 4			o= 4	~~ -	o 4 -
or twice each weekend	59.6	60.4	62.4	62.0	65.3	66.5	68.9	67.4	70.7	70.1	65.1	66.7	64.7
Smoke one or more packs of													
cigarettes per day	73.0	72.3	75.4	74.3	73.1	72.4	72.8	71.4	73.5	70.6	69.8	68.2	67.2
Take steroids	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	90.8	90.5	92.1	92.1	91.9	91.0	91.7

Note: See Note, table 2.98. Readers interested in responses to this question for 1977 through 1983 should consult previous editions of SOURCEBOOK. For survey methodology and definitions of terms, see Appendix 7.

Source: Lloyd D. Johnston, Patrick M. O'Malley, and Jerald G. Bachman, *National Survey Results on Drug Use from the Monitoring the Future Study, 1975-1996*, Vol. 1, Secondary School Students, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, National Institute on Drug Abuse (Washington, DC: USGPO, 1997). Table adapted by SOURCEBOOK staff.

^aAnswer alternatives were: (1) don't disapprove, (2) disapprove, and (3) strongly disapprove. Percentages are shown for categories (2) and (3) combined.

Students disapproving of drug use and alcohol use

By type of drug, frequency of use, and student grade level, United States, 1993-96

Question: "Do you disapprove of people who . . .?"

(Percent disapproving^a)

		Eighth	grade			Tenth	grade			Twelfth	grade ^b	
Type of drug and frequency of use	1993 (N=18,435)	1994 (N=17,429)	1995 (N=17,560)	1996 (N=17,998)	1993 (N=15,334)	1994 (N=15,891)	1995 (N=17,016) (1996 N=15,686)	1993 (N=2,723)	1994 (N=2,588)	1995 (N=2,603)	1996 (N=2,399)
Try marijuana once or twice	79.2%	72.9%	70.7%	67.5%	70.3%	62.4%	59.8%	55.5%	63.3%	57.6%	56.7%	52.5%
Smoke marijuana occasionally	85.7	80.9	79.7	76.5	79.4	72.3	70.0	66.9	75.5	68.9	66.7	62.9
Smoke marijuana regularly	88.9	85.3	85.1	82.8	87.4	82.2	81.1	79.7	87.6	82.3	81.9	80.0
Try inhalants once or twice	82.5	81.6	81.8	82.9	84.8	84.9	84.5	86.0	NA	NA	NA	NA
Take inhalants regularly	88.9	88.1	88.8	89.3	90.9	91.0	90.9	91.7	NA	NA	NA	NA
Try LSD once or twice ^c	77.1	75.2	71.6	70.9	82.1	79.3	77.9	76.8	85.9	82.5	81.1	79.6
Take LSD regularly ^c	79.8	78.4	75.8	75.3	86.8	85.6	84.8	84.5	95.8	94.3	92.5	93.2
Try crack once or twice	89.1	86.9	85.9	85.0	91.4	89.9	88.7	88.2	89.9	89.5	91.4	87.4
Take crack occasionally	91.7	89.9	89.8	89.3	93.6	92.5	91.7	91.9	92.8	92.8	94.0	91.2
Try cocaine powder once or twice	88.5	86.1	85.3	83.9	90.0	88.1	86.8	86.1	86.6	87.1	88.3	83.1
Take cocaine powder occasionally	91.6	89.7	89.7	88.7	93.2	92.1	91.4	91.1	91.2	91.0	92.7	89.7
Try one or two drinks of an												
alcoholic beverage (beer, wine,	50.9	47.8	48.0	45.5	38.5	36.5	36.1	34.2	30.1	28.4	27.3	26.5
liquor) Take one or two drinks nearly	50.9	47.0	40.0	40.0	30.5	30.5	30.1	34.2	30.1	20.4	21.5	20.5
every day	79.6	76.7	75.9	74.1	78.6	75.2	75.4	73.8	77.8	73.1	73.3	70.8
Have five or more drinks once												
or twice each weekend	83.3	80.7	80.7	79.1	74.7	72.3	72.2	70.7	70.1	65.1	66.7	64.7
Take steroids ^d	89.9	87.9	NA	NA	91.2	90.8	NA	NA	92.1	91.9	91.0	91.7

Note: See Note, table 2.98. Readers interested in responses to this question for 1991 and 1992 should consult previous editions of SOURCEBOOK. For survey methodology and definitions of terms, see Appendix 7.

^aAnswer alternatives were: (1) don't disapprove, (2) disapprove, and (3) strongly disapprove. For eighth and tenth grades the response "can't say, drug unfamiliar" was also available. Per-centages are shown for categories (2) and (3) combined.
^bThe twelfth grade questions ask about people who are 18 or older.
^cData for eighth and tenth grades are based on a single questionnaire form; N is one-half of N indicated.

 $^{\rm d}{\rm Data}$ for eighth and tenth grades are based on a single form in 1993 and 1994; N is one-half of N indicated.

Source: Lloyd D. Johnston, Patrick M. O'Malley, and Jerald G. Bachman, National Survey Results on Drug Use from the Monitoring the Future Study, 1975-1996, Vol. 1, Secondary School Students, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, National Institute on Drug Abuse (Washington, DC: USGPO, 1997). Table adapted by SOURCEBOOK staff.

Table 2.106

High school seniors favoring prohibition of drug use, alcohol use, and cigarette smoking

By type of drug and place of use, United States, 1984-96

Question: "Do you think that people (who are 18 or older) should be prohibited by law from doing each of the following?"

(Percent saying "yes"^a)

	Class of 1984 (N=3,236)	Class of 1985 (N=3,254)	Class of 1986 (N=3,074)	Class of 1987 (N=3,322)	Class of 1988 (N=3,288)	Class of 1989 (N=2,813)	Class of 1990 (N=2,571)	Class of 1991 (N=2,512)	Class of 1992 (N=2,671)	Class of 1993 (N=2,759)	Class of 1994 (N=2,603)	Class of 1995 (N=2,578)	Class of 1996 (N=2,422)
Smoke marijuana in private	41.6%	44.7%	43.8%	47.6%	51.8%	51.5%	56.0%	51.6%	52.4%	48.0%	42.9%	44.0%	40.4%
Smoke marijuana in public places	75.2	78.2	78.9	79.7	81.3	80.0	81.9	79.8	78.3	77.3	72.5	72.9	70.0
Take LSD in private	67.9	70.6	69.0	70.8	71.5	71.6	72.9	68.1	67.2	63.5	63.2	64.3	62.0
Take LSD in public places	82.4	84.8	84.9	85.2	86.0	84.4	84.9	83.9	82.2	82.1	80.5	81.5	79.2
Take heroin in private	69.8	73.3	71.7	75.0	74.2	74.4	76.4	72.8	71.4	70.7	70.1	72.2	70.8
Take heroin in public places	83.4	85.8	85.0	86.2	86.6	85.2	86.7	85.4	83.3	84.5	82.9	84.8	82.3
Take amphetamines or bar-													
biturates in private	54.4	56.3	56.8	59.1	60.2	61.1	64.5	59.7	60.5	57.4	55.7	57.5	54.6
Take amphetamines or bar- biturates in public places	76.8	78.3	79.1	79.8	80.2	79.2	81.6	79.7	78.5	78.0	76.4	77.6	74.3
	10.0	10.0		10.0	00.2		0110		10.0	10.0			1 1.0
Get drunk in private	19.7	19.8	18.5	18.6	19.2	20.2	23.0	22.0	24.4	22.1	21.0	21.6	21.4
Get drunk in public places	51.1	53.1	52.2	53.2	53.8	52.6	54.6	54.3	54.1	53.6	54.3	54.5	52.8
Smoke cigarettes in certain													
specified public places	39.2	42.8	45.1	44.4	48.4	44.5	47.3	44.9	47.6	45.9	47.3	45.1	43.4

Note: See Note, table 2.98. Readers interested in responses to this question for 1975 through 1983 should consult previous editions of SOURCEBOOK. For survey methodology and definitions of terms, see Appendix 7.

Source: Lloyd D. Johnston, Patrick M. O'Malley, and Jerald G. Bachman, *National Survey Results on Drug Use from the Monitoring the Future Study, 1975-1996*, Vol. 1, Secondary School Students, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, National Institute on Drug Abuse (Washington, DC: USGPO, 1997). Table adapted by SOURCEBOOK staff.

 $^{\rm a} {\rm Answer}$ alternatives were: (1) no, (2) not sure, and (3) yes.

High school seniors' attitudes toward the legalization of marijuana use

United States, 1984-96

Question: "There has been a great deal of public debate about whether marijuana use should be legal. Which of the following policies would you favor?"

(Percent favoring policy)

Policy	Class of 1984 (N=3,220)	Class of 1985 (N=3,230)	Class of 1986 (N=3,080)	Class of 1987 (N=3,330)	Class of 1988 (N=3,277)	Class of 1989 (N=2,812)	Class of 1990 (N=2,570)	Class of 1991 (N=2,515)	Class of 1992 (N=2,672)	Class of 1993 (N=2,768)	Class of 1994 (N=2,597)	Class of 1995 (N=2,574)	Class of 1996 (N=2,426)
Using marijuana should be entirely legal It should be a minor violation like a parking ticket but	18.6%	16.6%	14.9%	15.4%	15.1%	16.6%	15.9%	18.0%	18.7%	22.8%	26.8%	30.4%	31.2%
not a crime	23.6	25.7	25.9	24.6	21.9	18.9	17.4	19.2	18.0	18.7	19.0	18.0	21.0
It should be a crime	40.6	40.8	42.5	45.3	49.2	50	53.2	48.6	47.6	43.4	39.4	37.3	33.8
Don't know	17.2	16.9	16.7	14.8	13.9	14.6	13.6	14.3	15.7	15.1	14.8	14.4	13.9

Note: See Note, table 2.98. Readers interested in responses to this question for 1975 through 1983 should consult previous editions of SOURCEBOOK. For survey methodology and definitions of terms, see Appendix 7.

Source: Lloyd D. Johnston, Patrick M. O'Malley, and Jerald G. Bachman, *National Survey Results on Drug Use from the Monitoring the Future Study, 1975-1996*, Vol. 1, Secondary School Students, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, National Institute on Drug Abuse (Washington, DC: USGPO, 1997). Table adapted by SOURCEBOOK staff.

Table 2.108

High school seniors' attitudes toward legalization of the sale of marijuana if the use of marijuana were legal

United States, 1984-96

Question: "If it were legal for people to USE marijuana, should it also be legal to SELL marijuana?"

	Class												
	of 1984	of 1985	of 1986	of 1987	of 1988	of 1989	of 1990	of 1991	of 1992	of 1993	of 1994	of 1995	of 1996
	(N=3,220)	(N=3,230)	(N=3,080)	(N=3,330)	(N=3,277)	(N=2,812)	(N=2,570)	(N=2,515)	(N=2,672)	(N=2,768)	(N=2,597)	(N=2,574)	(N=2,426)
No	30.9%	32.6%	33.0%	36.0%	36.8%	38.8%	40.1%	36.8%	37.8%	36.7%	33.1%	32.3%	29.4%
Yes, but only to adults	45.8	43.2	42.2	41.2	39.9	37.9	38.8	41.4	39.5	40.7	41.7	43.4	46.7
Yes, to anyone	10.6	11.2	10.4	9.2	10.5	9.2	9.6	9.4	9.6	10.1	11.6	11.7	11.1
Don't know	12.8	13.1	14.4	13.6	12.8	14.1	11.6	12.5	13.1	12.5	13.7	12.6	12.8

Note: See Note, table 2.98. Readers interested in responses to this question for 1975 through 1983 should consult previous editions of SOURCEBOOK. For survey methodology and definitions of terms, see Appendix 7.

Source: Lloyd D. Johnston, Patrick M. O'Malley, and Jerald G. Bachman, *National Survey Results on Drug Use from the Monitoring the Future Study, 1975-1996*, Vol. 1, Secondary School Students, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, National Institute on Drug Abuse (Washington, DC: USGPO, 1997). Table adapted by SOURCEBOOK staff.

Table 2.109				Table 2.110				
College freshmen	reporting that marijua	na should be l	egalized	College freshmer	n reporting that abortio	n should be le	gal	
By sex, United Sta	tes, 1968-96			By sex, United Sta	ates, 1977-96			
(Percent indicating	"agree strongly" or "agr	ee somewhat")		(Percent indicating	g "agree strongly" or "agr	ee somewhat")		
	Marijua	na should be lee	galized		Abo	rtion should be l	egal	-
	Total	Male	Female		Total	Male	Female	
1968	19.4%	21.4%	16.9%	1977	55.7%	55.8%	55.6%	
1969	25.6	28.1	22.4	1978	56.7	56.5	56.9	
1970	38.4	41.0	35.2	1979	53.3	53.0	53.6	
1971	38.7	41.7	35.0	1980	53.6	53.3	53.8	
1972	46.6	49.6	43.0	1981	53.9	53.0	54.7	
1973	48.2	50.9	45.2	1982	54.8	53.7	55.9	
1974	46.7	49.7	43.4	1983	54.8	54.7	54.8	
1975	47.2	50.7	43.3	1984	53.8	53.3	54.2	
1976	48.9	51.6	46.1	1985	54.9	54.5	55.3	
1977	52.9	56.6	49.2	1986	58.6	58.3	59.0	
1978	49.5	52.1	47.1	1987	58.7	58.7	58.7	
1979	46.0	48.6	43.6	1988	57.0	56.8	57.2	
1980	39.3	42.1	36.6	1989	64.7	63.6	65.5	
1981	34.0	36.3	31.9	1990	64.9	65.0	64.8	
1982	29.4	32.5	26.4	1991	63.0	63.0	63.0	
1983	25.7	28.4	23.1	1992	64.1	63.9	64.2	
1984	22.9	25.8	20.3	1993	62.4	61.8	62.8	
1985	21.8	24.8	18.9	1993	59.7	59.0	60.3	
1986	21.3	25.0	18.0	1994	58.4	57.8	58.9	
1987	19.3	23.1	15.9	1995	56.3	55.7	56.7	
1988	19.3	22.8	16.4			55.7	50.7	
1989	16.7	20.1	13.7	Note: See Note, ta	able 2.109.			
1990	18.6	21.7	16.0					
1991	20.9	24.2	18.0		r W. Astin et al., The Am			
1992	23.0	26.6	19.9		ch Institute (Los Angeles			
1993	28.2	32.1	25.0	89, 118, 119. Tabl	e adapted by SOURCEE	BOOK staff. Rep	rinted by permission	

Note: These figures are taken from the Cooperative Institutional Research Program Fresh man Survey, which is conducted annually by the Higher Education Research Institute (HERI) at the University of California, Los Angeles. The survey covers a wide range of student characteristics including demographic and background information, high school activities, college plans, values, attitudes, and beliefs. Each fall, the HERI surveys approximately 300,000 fulltime students entering the freshman classes from a nationally representative sample of 2-year and 4-year colleges and universities in the United States. From 1966 to 1970, approximately 15% of the Nation's institutions of higher education were selected by sampling procedures to participate in the program. Beginning in 1971, a stratified sample was selected from all institutions that have entering freshman classes and that respond to the U.S. Depart-ment of Education's Higher Education General Information Survey. An institution is considered eligible if it was operating at the time of the survey and if it had a freshman class of at least 25 students. The data presented above are weighted estimates of all first-time, full-time students entering higher education institutions in the fall of each year. Published reports on trends over 30 years or reports on individual annual survey results can be obtained by writing to the Higher Education Research Institute, 3005 Moore Hall, UCLA, Los Angeles, CA 90095-1521. Response categories were "agree strongly," "agree somewhat," "disagree somewhat," and "disagree strongly." The text or format of the questions or responses may differ slightly in different years.

36.4

38.3

37.2

28.3

30.0

29.6

32.1

33.8

33.0

1994

1995

1996

Source: Alexander W. Astin et al., The American Freshman: Thirty Year Trends, Higher Education Research Institute (Los Angeles: University of California, 1997), pp. 58, 59, 88, 89, 118, 119. Table adapted by SOURCEBOOK staff. Reprinted by permission.

Table 2.111

College freshmen reporting there is too much concern in the courts for the rights of criminals

By sex, United States, 1969-83 and 1987-96

ting "agroe strongly" or "agree somewhat"

(Percent indicating "a	agree strongly" or "agr	ee somewhat")		
	There	is too much cor	ncern	
	in the courts	s for the rights of	criminals	
	Total	Male	Female	
1969	54.3%	60.0%	46.8%	
1970	51.6	57.5	44.5	
1971	48.1	53.9	41.1	
1972	50.3	56.4	43.0	
1973	50.1	55.7	43.7	
1974	51.5	56.9	45.6	
1975	53.5	59.1	47.1	
1976	59.7	64.8	54.3	
1977	64.3	69.2	59.2	
1978	65.4	70.3	60.6	
1979	62.4	68.1	57.0	
1980	65.9	70.5	61.6	
1981	69.1	74.2	64.2	
1982	69.8	74.3	65.5	
1983	68.8	72.5	65.2	
1987	68.3	71.4	65.5	
1988	69.1	72.7	66.0	
1989	68.5	71.9	65.5	
1990	66.3	69.8	63.2	
1991	65.3	67.6	63.4	
1992	66.7	68.8	64.8	
1993	67.6	70.1	65.5	
1994	73.0	75.0	71.3	
1995	73.3	74.4	72.5	
1996	71.6	73.0	70.4	

Note: See Note, table 2.109.

Source: Alexander W. Astin et al., The American Freshman: Thirty Year Trends, Higher Education Research Institute (Los Angeles: University of California, 1997), pp. 58, 59, 88, 89, 118, 119. Table adapted by SOURCEBOOK staff. Reprinted by permission.

Table 2.112 College freshmen reporting that capital punishment should be abolished

By sex, United States, 1969, 1970, 1971, and 1978-96

(Percent indicating "agree strongly" or "agree somewhat")

	Capital puni	shment should b	e abolished	
	Total	Male	Female	
1969	53.9%	50.0%	59.0%	
1970	56.3	53.4	59.8	
1971	57.6	53.2	62.8	
1978	32.6	26.8	38.2	
1979	34.5	28.0	40.7	
1980	34.5	28.0	40.5	
1981	30.1	24.7	35.2	
1982	28.4	23.1	33.5	
1983	28.9	23.9	33.7	
1984	26.0	22.2	29.6	
1985	26.6	22.6	30.2	
1986	25.4	21.4	29.1	
1987	23.8	20.4	27.0	
1988	23.0	19.6	26.0	
1989	21.3	18.4	23.8	
1990	21.5	18.5	24.1	
1991	21.2	18.8	23.2	
1992	21.0	18.1	23.5	
1993	22.1	19.2	24.5	
1994	20.1	17.7	22.1	
1995	20.9	18.1	23.2	
1996	22.2	19.0	24.8	

Note: See Note, table 2.109.

Source: Alexander W. Astin et al., *The American Freshman: Thirty Year Trends*, Higher Education Research Institute (Los Angeles: University of California, 1997), pp. 58, 59, 88, 89, 118, 119. Table adapted by SOURCEBOOK staff. Reprinted by permission.

Table 2.113

College freshmen reporting that homosexual relations should be legally prohibited

By sex, United States, 1976-96

(Percent indicating "agree strongly" or "agree somewhat")

		nportant to have		
	Total	Male	Female	
1976	47.0%	55.0%	38.6%	
1977	48.6	56.7	40.3	
1978	46.3	54.4	38.5	
1979	47.3	56.2	39.0	
1980	48.9	58.1	40.3	
1981	48.6	57.8	39.9	
1982	47.2	57.0	37.6	
1983	49.0	58.3	39.9	
1984	47.8	57.9	38.4	
1985	47.9	58.3	38.3	
1986	52.2	62.5	42.8	
1987	53.1	62.5	44.6	
1988	49.0	59.7	39.9	
1989	45.4	57.3	35.1	
1990	44.4	56.0	34.5	
1991	42.2	53.4	32.5	
1992	37.6	48.6	28.3	
1993	36.2	47.7	26.6	
1994	33.9	45.4	24.0	
1995	30.6	42.4	20.7	
1996	33.5	45.2	24.1	

Note: See Note, table 2.109.

Source: Alexander W. Astin et al., *The American Freshman: Thirty Year Trends*, Higher Education Research Institute (Los Angeles: University of California, 1997), pp. 58, 59, 88, 89, 118, 119. Table adapted by SOURCEBOOK staff. Reprinted by permission.

Table 2.114

Attitudes of college freshmen toward testing for AIDS and drug testing by employers

By sex, United States, 1988-96

(Percent indicating "agree strongly" or "agree somewhat")

	contro	ne best way t ol AIDS is thi ad, mandato	ough	Employers should be allowed to require drug testing of employees or job applicants						
	Total	Male	Female	Total	Male	Female				
1988	67.7%	67.7%	67.7%	71.0%	69.8%	72.0%				
1989	67.2	66.9	67.5	77.8	76.5	78.9				
1990	66.4	66.5	66.3	80.4	78.5	82.1				
1991	66.4	66.3	66.5	80.8	79.1	82.3				
1992	63.5	63.2	63.7	82.4	80.5	83.9				
1993	61.7	60.4	62.7	79.6	77.4	81.5				
1994	62.3	61.3	63.2	80.6	78.3	82.5				
1995	NA	NA	NA	77.3	74.1	80.0				
1996	NA	NA	NA	79.2	76.4	81.4				

Note: See Note, table 2.109.

Source: Source: Alexander W. Astin et al., *The American Freshman: Thirty Year Trends*, Higher Education Research Institute (Los Angeles: University of California, 1997), pp. 58, 59, 88, 89, 118, 119. Table adapted by SOURCEBOOK staff. Reprinted by permission.

Table 2.115

1

College freshmen reporting that the Federal Government should do more to control the sale of handguns

By sex, United States, 1989-96

(Percent indicating "agree strongly" or "agree somewhat")

The Federal Government should do more to control the sale of handguns											
	Total	Male	Female								
1989	78.2%	67.2%	87.6%								
1990	77.1	65.5	87.0								
1991	78.1	66.8	87.8								
1992	80.4	69.4	89.6								
1993	81.8	71.8	90.2								
1994	79.9	69.2	89.2								
1995	80.8	70.2	89.7								
1996	81.6	71.6	89.5								

Note: See Note, table 2.109.

Source: Alexander W. Astin et al., *The American Freshman: Thirty Year Trends*, Higher Education Research Institute (Los Angeles: University of California, 1997), pp. 58, 59, 88, 89, 118, 119. Table adapted by SOURCEBOOK staff. Reprinted by permission.

Attitudes toward laws regulating the distribution of pornography

By demographic characteristics, United States, selected years 1983-96

Question: "Which of these statements comes closest to your feelings about pornography laws:

There should be laws against the distribution of pornography whatever the age; there should be laws against the distribution of pornography to persons under 18; or there should be no laws forbidding the distribution of pornography?"

		1983			1984			1986			1987			1988	
	Laws fo	orbidding		Laws fo	orbidding		Laws fo	orbidding		Laws fo	orbidding		Laws fo	rbidding	
	distri	bution	No laws	distri	bution	No laws									
	What-	То	forbidding	What-	То	forbidding									
	ever	persons	distri-	ever	persons	distri-									
	the age	under 18	bution	the age	under 18	bution									
National	41%	53%	4%	41%	54%	4%	43%	53%	4%	40%	55%	4%	43%	50%	5%
Sex			_			_						_			-
Male	31	63	5	30	63	7	30	64	4	26	67	5	33	59	6
Female	49	46	4	48	48	2	52	44	4	50	46	3	51	43	4
Race	10	50			- 4		40	50	0		- 1		45	40	-
White Block/other	42 32	52 62	4 5	41 37	54 54	4 5	43 38	53 53	3 6	41 33	54 61	4 3	45 35	49 58	5
Black/other	32	62	5	37	54	5	38	53	б	33	61	3	35	58	6
Age	24	70	2	20	CO	0	24	74	F	20	74	0	22	74	6
18 to 20 years 21 to 29 years	24 25	73 71	2 3	20 22	69 73	9 5	21 26	74 71	5 2	26 25	74 70	0 5	23 28	71 67	6 4
30 to 49 years	25 35	60	3	22 34	73 62	5 4	26 35	60	2 4	25 32	63	5 4	28 38	57	4
50 years and older	38	56	4	36	60	4	40	55	4	36	60	4	32	61	7
	00	00	-	00	00	-	40	00	-	00	00	-	02	01	,
Education ^a College	35	60	5	33	62	5	38	58	4	32	63	4	37	58	4
High school graduate	42	53	4	44	52	3	42	54	4	43	53	3	46	47	5
Less than high															
school graduate	59	31	5	54	36	5	61	31	2	56	34	7	55	30	6
Income															
\$50,000 and over	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х
\$30,000 to \$49,999	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х
\$20,000 to \$29,999	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х
Under \$20,000	х	Х	Х	х	х	Х	х	х	х	х	х	х	х	х	х
Occupation															
Professional/business	38	55	5	35	60	4	40	55	4	35	59	4	40	54	4
Clerical	46	50	4	43	54	2	50	48	2	51	46	3	53	44	2
Manual	38	56	5	43	51	4	40	55	4	39	55	4	41	51	6
Farmer	38	51	5	55	39	6	60	40	0	38	58	5	56	31	12
<u>Region</u>															
Northeast	59	33	6	62	32	4	60	34	4	57	37	4	58	32	5
Midwest	38	56	4	40	54	4	42	54	3	39	55	5	45	48	3
South	46	48	4	43	51	4	47	48	4	43	52	4	48	45	5
West	40	54	6	42	53	5	38	58	4	39	55	4	44	52	4
Religion															
Protestant	46	48	4	44	50	4	47	49	3	43	53	3	48	46	4
Catholic	36	59	5	40	58	2	40	56	3	40	56	3	40	55	3
Jewish	19	72	7	19	77	4	18	71	10	20	65	15	13	65	17
None	27	65	6	21	70	9	24	66	8	16	71	11	21	64	12
Politics															
Republican	45	49	5	44	52	3	47	49	3	44	51	4	46	48	3
Democrat	41	54	5	44	51	4	44	50	4	41	54	4	44	49	6
Independent	39	55	4	35	58	6	37	59	3	34	61	4	39	54	5

Note: The "don't know" category has been omitted; therefore percents may not sum to 100. Readers interested in responses to this question for previous years should consult previous editions of SOURCEBOOK. For a discussion of public opinion survey sampling procedures, see Appendix 6.

Source: National Opinion Research Center, "General Social Surveys, 1972-94," "General Social Surveys, 1996," Storrs, CT: The Roper Center for Public Opinion Research, University of Connecticut. (Machine-readable data files.) Table constructed by SOURCEBOOK staff.

^aIn 1996, education categories were revised slightly and therefore are not directly comparable to data presented for prior years.

	1989			1990			1991			1993			1994			1996	
	orbidding																
distri	ibution	No laws	distri	bution	No laws	distri	bution	No laws	distri	To	No laws	distri	bution	No laws	distri	bution	No laws
What-	To	forbidding															
ever	persons	distri-															
the age	under 18	bution															
40%	54%	5%	41%	52%	6%	40%	55%	4%	42%	54%	3%	37%	60%	3%	38%	58%	4%
29	63	7	33	59	6	26	66	6	32	62	5	26	68	5	25	70	4
49	46	3	47	47	5	49	47	3	48	48	2	45	52	2	48	48	4
41	54	4	42	51	5	41	54	4	44	53	3	38	58	3	39	56	4
38	52	7	34	57	7	31	60	6	31	60	6	31	65	3	31	64	3
36	56	8	17	65	13	16	79	0	28	59	10	15	79	3	23	70	6
27	68	3	29	67	3	30	66	3	24	72	3	25	72	2	25	72	2
30	65	4	36	60	4	33	63	4	33	64	3	28	69	3	30	66	3
59	33	6	53	36	8	54	38	5	60	34	3	54	41	4	54	39	5
34	59	5	36	57	7	36	59	4	36	60	3	30	66	3	32	63	4
43	53	4	44	51	5	42	53	4	46	49	3	42	56	2	41	57	2
59	29	7	47	38	5	51	43	4	55	39	4	54	34	9	48	43	6
X	x	X	x	x	x	x	x	X	35	60	5	32	65	2	31	65	3
X	x	X	x	x	x	x	x	X	37	60	2	34	63	3	34	62	3
X	x	X	x	x	x	x	x	X	41	53	4	35	60	4	39	56	4
X	x	X	x	x	x	x	x	X	47	49	2	41	55	3	39	54	4
37	58	4	38	55	6	35	60	4	38	58	3	32	64	3	34	62	4
48	48	3	47	50	4	45	51	4	48	48	4	43	55	1	41	56	3
39	53	6	40	52	5	41	53	4	42	54	3	35	61	4	38	57	3
44	38	12	35	59	6	25	65	5	42	53	5	38	52	5	45	47	3
36	56	5	32	62	5	34	61	3	38	56	5	32	63	4	34	60	5
39	56	4	38	54	7	41	54	5	40	56	3	34	63	2	40	56	3
44	49	6	49	44	5	39	54	5	47	49	2	43	53	3	42	53	3
40	56	4	38	55	6	44	53	3	38	57	4	32	64	3	30	65	4
46	50	4	46	48	5	43	52	3	49	47	2	43	54	2	45	51	3
34	61	2	39	56	4	38	58	4	35	62	3	32	66	2	30	65	4
24	71	6	20	53	20	4	82	9	20	80	0	20	76	5	24	63	11
22	59	16	22	66	9	18	66	11	15	75	9	17	75	7	18	73	6
42	53	4	43	50	5	43	54	2	52	45	3	43	55	2	43	53	4
44	51	5	44	47	7	38	55	4	41	55	3	36	61	2	36	59	4
34	58	5	34	60	5	38	56	5	35	60	4	33	63	4	35	61	3

Attitudes toward pornographic material leading to rape

By demographic characteristics, United States, selected years 1973-94

Question: "The next questions are about pornography--books, movies, magazines, and photographs that show or describe sex activities. I'm going to read some opinions about the effects of looking at or reading such sexual materials. As I read each one, please tell me if you think sexual materials do or do not have that effect: Sexual materials lead people to commit rape."

	19	73	19	75	19	76	19	78	19	80	19	83	19	84	19	86	19	87
	Yes	No																
National	50%	43%	52%	38%	53%	38%	57%	36%	54%	37%	55%	38%	55%	37%	57%	36%	54%	37%
Sex																		
Male	43	51	46	46	46	46	50	45	47	45	48	46	46	48	50	43	45	45
Female	56	36	57	31	58	32	61	30	59	30	61	31	61	30	62	31	61	30
Race																		
White	50	43	53	38	54	38	57	36	54	38	56	38	55	38	57	37	55	37
Black/other	52	43	47	41	44	44	55	38	59	31	53	35	56	34	56	33	49	37
Age																		
18 to 20 years	41	56	44	51	39	54	53	45	50	43	46	50	40	49	53	42	43	38
21 to 29 years	36	60	37	55	38	54	47	46	45	50	51	42	46	47	44	50	50	45
30 to 49 years	47	47	47	42	49	44	50	42	48	44	49	45	50	43	50	45	45	46
50 years and older	62	28	66	23	65	23	69	23	65	22	65	26	69	23	71	19	68	22
Education																		
College	34	60	37	54	40	53	40	51	35	56	45	49	44	47	48	45	41	50
High school	53	40	55	35	56	36	61	33	62	30	61	33	59	34	58	35	61	30
Grade school	69	23	70	18	67	19	76	15	68	17	65	21	74	16	80	12	73	14
Income																		
\$50,000 and over	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х
\$30,000 to \$49,999	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х
\$20,000 to \$29,999	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х
Under \$20,000	х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х
Occupation																		
Professional/business	41	50	46	45	43	51	46	46	43	48	48	44	48	44	49	43	43	48
Clerical	47	44	50	37	55	35	62	30	62	30	60	33	54	37	58	36	65	29
Manual	56	38	56	36	58	34	58	35	58	33	58	36	60	34	61	33	58	32
Farmer	64	31	60	23	46	26	64	28	50	32	60	26	64	29	74	17	75	18
Region																		
Northeast	42	49	54	38	49	47	48	42	51	38	55	37	48	43	54	39	50	41
Midwest	53	39	51	41	56	34	57	35	53	38	53	40	53	38	58	37	57	36
South	53	41	57	30	53	34	65	29	61	32	60	33	63	30	59	32	58	32
West	50	45	40	50	51	41	52	43	46	42	52	41	52	42	54	40	48	41
Religion																		
Protestant	54	39	56	33	56	34	62	32	59	33	59	34	61	33	61	31	58	34
Catholic	50	41	54	38	54	39	56	35	48	42	55	37	50	40	54	41	54	37
Jewish	24	67	26	56	38	58	41	48	39	45	23	74	27	50	30	62	40	40
None	21	75	20	73	26	65	26	66	36	55	38	53	37	52	33	60	29	62
Politics																		
Republican	54	39	58	30	56	31	63	29	57	34	59	36	61	31	60	34	59	34
Democrat	52	40	54	36	56	35	57	38	57	34	56	37	56	37	59	34	54	37
Independent	44	50	46	44	47	45	53	39	50	40	52	39	50	41	51	41	50	39

Note: The "don't know" category has been omitted; therefore percents may not sum to 100. For a discussion of public opinion survey sampling procedures, see Appendix 6.

Source: National Opinion Research Center, "General Social Surveys, 1972-94," Storrs, CT: The Roper Center for Public Opinion Research, University of Connecticut. (Machinereadable data file.) Table constructed by SOURCEBOOK staff.

198	38	198	39	199	90	199	91	199	93	199	94
Yes	No										
56%	36%	60%	33%	56%	33%	52%	37%	57%	34%	48%	42%
47	45	49	45	48	42	40	50	50	43	40	51
64	28	68	24	64	26	61	27	62	27	55	34
57	35	59	34	56	33	53	36	57	33	48	42
52	38	66	24	56	34	49	40	56	35	49	38
36	58	64	33	61	26	26	68	52	38	41	47
49	44	50	42	49	44	46	44	55	39	40	52
52	42	53	40	52	38	48	43	45	44	42	49
67	23	72	21	65	22	63	24	74	18	61	27
44	46	48	45	47	42	45	43	49	40	39	49
66	29	68	25	64	27	58	33	64	27	55	37
65	23	76	16	64	19	71	20	71	23	67	15
х	х	х	х	х	х	х	х	48	41	38	54
Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	50	41	47	42
Х	X X	Х	Х	X X	Х	х	Х	61	33	45	44
Х	X	Х	Х	X	х	х	Х	67	26	58	34
50	41	51	41	50	40	45	44	48	40	37	51
63 57	29 35	70 64	25 29	65 60	29 29	55	35 32	62	31	60 50	33 40
62	38	56	29 25	53	29 29	58 40	20	60 90	31 10	50 50	38
02	00	00	20	00	20		20	00		00	00
50	42	55	35	50	46	45	42		20	44	47
50 56	42 36	55 59	36	50 57	31	45 53	42 38	55 54	36 35	44 48	47
65	27	66	27	60	29	56	34	61	29	53	39
50	42	55	37	57	30	53	34	55	37	44	44
60	32	65	28	62	27	55	33	62	30	53	38
57	36	56	36	53	37	56	36	56	35	45	45
48	44	65	35	20	73	18	59	40	40	28	61
33	52	38	59	37	56	31	65	36	51	30	53
56	35	59	35	56	31	52	36	64	29	56	36
58	34	66	27	59	30	54	35	56	36	48	40
54	38	54	38	55	38	51	39	54	35	41	49

Table 2.118	Table 2.119									
Attitudes toward the legalization	•			Attitudes toward the legality of homosexual relations						
By demographic characteristics, U				By demographic character	istics, United St	ates, 1996				
Question: "In your opinion, should older be legal or illegal i		ults aged 18 yea	ars of age and	Question: "Do you think ho not be legal?"	mosexual relati	ons between co	prisenting adults should or shoul			
	Legal	Illegal	Don't know/ refused		Legal	Not legal	Don't know/ refused			
National	26%	70%	4%	National	44%	47%	9%			
Sex				Sex						
Male	32	63	5	Male	48	45	7			
Female	21	77	2	Female	41	49	10			
Race				Race						
White	27	70	3	White	45	47	8			
Black	20	79	1	Black	43	48	9			
Nonwhite ^a	22	75	3	Nonwhite ^a	42	49	9			
Age				Age						
18 to 29 years	25	74	1	18 to 29 years	55	38	7			
30 to 49 years	28	68	4	30 to 49 years	49	43	8			
50 to 64 years	32	65	3	50 to 64 years	41	49	10			
65 years and older	18	77	5	65 years and older	24	65	11			
Education				Education						
College post graduate	41	57	2	College post graduate	64	26	10			
College graduate	28	69	3	College graduate	67	26	7			
Some college	28	68	4	Some college	46	45	9			
No college	21	76	3	No college	32	60	8			
Income				Income						
\$75,000 and over	34	61	5	\$75,000 and over	49	40	11			
\$50,000 and over ^b	33	64	3	\$50,000 and over ^b	51	40	9			
\$30,000 to \$49,999	26	70	4	\$30,000 to \$49,999	47	45	8			
\$20,000 to \$29,999	27	71	2	\$20,000 to \$29,999	47	47	6			
Under \$20,000	18	80	2	Under \$20,000	38	55	7			
Community				Community						
Urban area	30	68	2	Urban area	45	47	8			
Suburban area	26	69	5	Suburban area	46	44	10			
Rural area	20	77	1	Rural area	39	53	8			
Region				Region						
East	28	68	4	East	47	45	8			
Midwest	20	78	2	Midwest	41	45	13			
South	20	75	1	South	39	52	9			
West	34	58	8	West	52	43	5			
Politics				Politics						
Republican	23	74	3	Republican	33	62	5			
	23	74 71								
Democrat		71 66	4 3	Democrat	52 45	40	8			
Independent	31	00	3	Independent	40	43	12			

Note: For a discussion of public opinion survey sampling procedures, see Appendix 6.

^aIncludes black respondents. ^bIncludes \$75,000 and over category. Note: For a discussion of public opinion survey sampling procedures, see Appendix 6.

^aIncludes black respondents.

^bIncludes \$75,000 and over category.

Source: Table constructed by SOURCEBOOK staff from data provided by The Gallup Organization, Inc. Reprinted by permission. Source: Table constructed by SOURCEBOOK staff from data provided by The Gallup Organization, Inc. Reprinted by permission.

Attitudes toward the legality of abortion

United States, selected years 1975-96

Question: "Do you think abortions should be legal under any circumstances, legal only under certain circumstances, or illegal in all circumstances?"

	Always legal	Legal under certain circumstances	Never legal	No opinion
1975	21%	54%	22%	3%
1977	22	55	19	4
1979	22	54	19	5
1980	25	53	18	4
1981	23	52	21	4
1983	23	58	16	3
1988	24	57	17	2
April 1989	27	50	18	5
July 1989	29	51	17	3
1990	31	53	12	4
May 1991	32	50	17	1
September 1991	33	49	14	4
January 1992	31	53	14	2
June 1992	34	48	13	5
1993	32	51	13	4
March 1994	31	51	15	3
September 1994	33	52	13	2
1995	33	50	15	2
1996	25	58	15	2

Note: For a discussion of public opinion survey sampling procedures, see Appendix 6.

Source: George Gallup, Jr., *The Gallup Poll Monthly*, No. 371 (Princeton, NJ: The Gallup Poll, August 1996), p. 33. Table adapted by SOURCEBOOK staff. Reprinted by permission.

Table 2.121

Attitudes toward the legality of abortion

By demographic characteristics, United States, 1996

Question: "Do you think abortions should be legal under any circumstances, legal only under certain circumstances, or illegal in all circumstances?"

	Always legal	Legal under certain circumstances	Never legal	
National	25%	58%	15%	
Sex				
Male	24	61	13	
Female	27	54	16	
Race				
White	26	58	14	
Black	20	57	20	
Nonwhite ^a	23	55	19	
Age				
18 to 29 years	29	53	18	
30 to 49 years	27	59	12	
50 to 64 years	26	54	16	
65 years and older	17	63	18	
Education				
College post graduate	35	52	11	
College graduate	34	57	7	
Some college	23	61	13	
No college	21	58	19	
Income				
\$75,000 and over	31	59	9	
\$50,000 and over ^b	34	57	7	
\$30,000 to \$49,999	26	57	16	
\$20,000 to \$29,999	29	58	12	
Under \$20,000	16	59	22	
Community				
Urban area	32	56	9	
Suburban area	27	55	16	
Rural area	16	63	19	
Region				
East	32	50	16	
Midwest	23	60	15	
South	25	58	15	
West	21	64	12	
Politics				
Republican	19	58	21	
Democrat	28	60	9	
Independent	28	55	15	

nuepenueni

Note: The "no opinion" category has been omitted; therefore percents may not sum to 100. For a discussion of public opinion survey sampling procedures, see Appendix 6.

^aIncludes black respondents.

^bIncludes \$75,000 and over category.

Source: George Gallup, Jr., *The Gallup Poll Monthly*, No. 371 (Princeton, NJ: The Gallup Poll, August 1996), p. 33. Table adapted by SOURCEBOOK staff. Reprinted by permission.

Appendix 6

Public opinion survey sampling procedures

Note: Information on Gallup survey sampling procedures was excerpted from George H. Gallup, *The Gallup Poll, Public Opinion 1934-1971, Vol. 1, 1935-1948* (New York: Random House, 1972), pp. vi-viii; George H. Gallup, *The Gallup Opinion Index*, Report No. 162 (Princeton, NJ: The Gallup Poll, January 1979), pp. 29, 30; George Gallup, *The Sophisticated Poll Watcher's Guide*

(Princeton, NJ: Princeton Opinion Press, 1976), p. 102; and from information provided to SOURCEBOOK staff from The Gallup Organization, Inc. Information on the Harris Poll survey sampling procedures was provided to SOURCEBOOK staff by Louis Harris and Associates, Inc.; similar procedures used in earlier surveys are described in Louis Harris and Associates, Inc., The Harris Yearbook of Public Opinion 1970: A Compendium of Current American Atti-

tudes (New York: Louis Harris and Associates, Inc., 1971), pp. 511-514. Information on the survey procedures employed by the National Opinion Research Center was excerpted from the National Opinion Research Center, *General Social Surveys*,

1972-1996: Cumulative Codebook (Chicago: National Opinion Research Center. University of Chicago, 1996), pp. v-vii, 54, 965, 966, 1184-1186. Information on the Phi Delta Kappa/Gallup Poll was excerpted from Stanley M. Elam, Lowell C. Rose, and Alec M. Gallup, "The 25th Annual Phi Delta Kappa/Gallup Poll of the Public's Attitudes Toward the Public Schools," Phi Delta Kappan (October 1993), p. 152; "The 26th Annual Phi Delta Kappa/Gallup Poll of the Public's Attitudes Toward the Public Schools," Phi Delta Kappan (September 1994), p. 56; Stanley M. Elam and Lowell C. Rose. "The 27th Annual Phi Delta Kappa/Gallup Poll of the Public's Attitudes Toward the Public Schools," Phi Delta Kappan (September 1995), p. 56; and Stanley M. Elam, Lowell C. Rose, and Alec M. Gallup, "The 28th Annual Phi Delta Kappa/Gallup Poll of the Public's Attitudes Toward the Public Schools," Phi Delta Kappan (September 1996), p. 58. Information on the 1996 Ethnic Market Report was provided by Market Segment Research and Consulting, Inc. Information also was excerpted from material provided by The Pew Research Center for The People & The Press. Information on the Youth Risk Behavior Surveillance System was excerpted from Laura Kann et al., "Youth Risk Behavior Surveillance--United States, 1995," CDC

Surveillance Summaries, *Morbidity and Mortality Weekly Report* 45 SS-4 (Washington, DC: USGPO, Sept. 27, 1996).

The sampling procedures of seven public opinion surveys or survey organizations are presented in this appendix; The Gallup Poll, the Gallup/Phi Delta Kappa Education Poll, the Harris Survey, the National Opinion Research Center, Market Segment Research and Consulting, Inc., The Pew Research Center for The People & The Press, and the Youth Risk Behavior Surveillance System.

GALLUP POLLS

All Gallup polls since 1950, excluding certain special surveys, have been based on a national probability sample of interviewing areas. Refinements in the sample design have been introduced at various points in time since then. However, over this period the design essentialy has conformed to the current procedure, as described in the following paragraphs.

The United States is divided into seven sizeof-community strata: cities of population 1,000,000 and over, 250,000 to 999,999, and 50,000 to 249,000, with the urbanized areas of all these cities forming a single stratum; cities of 2,500 to 49,999; rural villages; and farm or open country rural areas. Within each of these strata, the population is further divided into seven regions: New England, Middle Atlantic, East Central, West Central, South, Mountain, and Pacific Coast. Within each size-of-community and regional stratum the population is arrayed in geographic order and zoned into equal sized groups of sampling units. Pairs of localities in each zone are selected with probability of selection proportional to the size of each locality's population--producing two replicated samples of localities.

Within selected cities for which population data are reported by census tracts or enumeration districts, these sample subdivisions are drawn with probability of selection proportional to the size of the population. For other cities, minor civil divisions, and rural areas in the sample for which population data are not reported by census tracts or enumeration districts, small, definable geographic areas are drawn, with the probability of selection proportional to size where available data permit; otherwise with equal probability.

A block or block cluster is drawn with probability of selection proportional to the number of dwelling units from within each subdivision selected for which block statistics are available. In cities and towns for which block statistics are not available, blocks are drawn at random, that is, with equal probability. In subdivisions that are rural or open country in character, segments approximately equal in size of population are delineated and drawn with equal probability.

In each cluster of blocks and each segment so selected, a randomly selected starting point is designated on the interviewer's map of the area. Starting at this point, interviewers are required to follow a given direction in the selection of households, taking households in sequence, until their assigned number of interviews has been completed. Within each occupied dwelling unit or household reached, the interviewer asks to speak to the voungest man 18 or older at home, or if no man is at home, the oldest woman 18 or older. This method of selection within the household has been developed empirically to produce an age distribution by men and women separately which compares closely with the age distribution of the population. It increases the probability of selecting younger men, who are at home relatively infrequently, and the probability of reaching older women in the household who tend to be under-represented unless given a disproportionate chance of being drawn from among those at home. The method of selection among those at home within the household is not strictly random, but it is systematic and objective, and eliminates interviewer judgment in the selection process. Interviewing is conducted at times when adults are most likely to be at home, which means on weekends or if on weekdays, after 4 p.m. for women and after 6 p.m. for men. Allowance for persons not at home is made by a "times-at-home" weighting procedure rather than by "call-backs." This procedure is a standard method for reducing the sample bias that would otherwise result from underrepresentation of persons who are difficult to find at home.

The pre-stratification by regions is routinely supplemented by fitting each obtained sample to the latest available U.S. Bureau of the Census estimates of the regional distribution of the population. Also minor adjustments of the sample are made by educational attainment (for men and women separately). based on the annual estimates of the U.S. Bureau of the Census derived from their Current Population Survey. The sample procedure described is designed to produce an approximation of the adult civilian population living in the United States, except for those persons in institutions such as prisons or hospitals. The four regions of the country, as reported in Gallup public opinion surveys, have been defined in the following manner:

East--Maine, New Hampshire, Vermont, Massachusetts, Rhode Island, Connecticut, New York, New Jersey, Pennsylvania, Maryland, Delaware, West Virginia, District of Columbia;

Midwest--Ohio, Michigan, Indiana, Illinois, Wisconsin, Minnesota, Iowa, Missouri, North Dakota, South Dakota, Nebraska, Kansas;

South--Virginia, North Carolina, South Carolina, Georgia, Florida, Kentucky, Tennessee, Alabama, Mississippi, Arkansas, Louisiana, Oklahoma, Texas; and

West--Montana, Arizona, Colorado, Idaho, Wyoming, Utah, Nevada, New Mexico, California, Oregon, Washington, Hawaii, Alaska.

Urbanization--Central cities have populations of 50,000 and above. Suburbs constitute the fringe and include populations of 2,500 to 49,999. Rural areas are those that have populations of under 2,500.

Race/ethnicity--Nonwhite is comprised of individuals who report themselves as any combination of the following classifications: Hispanic, American Indian, Other Indian, Oriental, and Black. Black and Hispanic are subcategories of Nonwhite. However, due to variation in respondent reporting the category White may also include some Hispanics.

According to Gallup policy, if the interviewee does not hear or does not understand a question, the interviewer repeats the question and if on the second reading the person does not understand or does not get the point of the question, the interviewer checks the "no opinion" box. It should also be noted that seldom more than 10% of all those contacted refuse to be interviewed. Gallup Poll Surveys include approximately 1,000 respondents.

Sampling error

All sample surveys are subject to sampling error, that is, the extent to which the results may differ from those that would be obtained if the entire population surveyed had been interviewed. The size of sampling errors depends largely on the number of interviews. The following table may be used in estimating sampling error. The computed allowances have taken into account the effect of the sample design upon sampling error. They may be interpreted as indicating the range (plus or minus the figure shown) within which the results of repeated samplings in the same time period could be expected to vary, 95% of the time, assuming the same sampling procedure, the same interviewers, and the same questionnaire.

Recommended allowance for sampling error (plus or minus) at 95% confidence level

(Percent)

t-					
	Ş	Sample	e size		
1,000	750	600	400	200	100
2	3	3	4	5	7
3	4	4	5	7	9
4	4	4	6	8	10
4	4	5	6	8	11
4	4	5	6	8	11
4	4	5	6	8	11
4	4	4	6	8	10
3	4	4	5	7	9
2	3	3	4	5	7
	1,000 2 3 4 4 4 4 4 4 3	1,000 750 2 3 3 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 3 4	Sample 1,000 750 600 2 3 3 3 4 4 4 4 5 4 4 5 4 4 5 4 4 5 4 4 5 4 4 5 4 4 5 4 4 5 4 4 5 4 4 5 4 4 5 4 4 5 4 4 5 4 4 5 4 4 4 3 4 4	Sample Size 1,000 750 600 400 2 3 3 4 3 4 4 5 4 4 5 6 4 4 5 6 4 4 5 6 4 4 5 6 4 4 5 6 4 4 5 6 4 4 5 6 4 4 5 6 4 4 5 6 4 4 5 6 3 4 4 5	Sample Size 1,000 750 600 400 200 2 3 3 4 5 3 4 4 5 7 4 4 4 6 8 4 4 5 6 8 4 4 5 6 8 4 4 5 6 8 4 4 5 6 8 4 4 5 6 8 4 4 5 6 8 4 4 5 6 8 3 4 4 5 7

The table would be used in the following manner: Assume a reported percentage is 33 for a group which includes 1,000 respondents. Proceed to row "Percentages near 30" in the table and then to the column headed, "1,000." The figure in this cell is four, which means that at the 95% confidence level, the 33% obtained in the sample is subject to a sampling error of plus or minus four points.

PHI DELTA KAPPA/GALLUP POLL

The Phi Delta Kappa/Gallup polls are modified probability samples of adults 18 years of age and older living in the United States. The 1993 sample was comprised of 1,306 adults; interviewing took place May 21-June 9, 1993. The 1994 sample was comprised of 1,326 adults; interviewing took place May 10-June 8, 1994. The 1995 sample was comprised of 1,311 adults; interviewing took place May 25-June 15, 1995. The 1996 sample was comprised of 1,329 adults; interviewing took place May 2-22, 1996. The data collection design employed the Gallup Organization's standard national telephone sample, i.e., an unclustered, directoryassisted, random-digit telephone sample, based on a proportionate stratified sampling design. "Nonpublic school parents" includes parents of students who attend parochial schools, private schools, or independent schools. For further information on the survey sampling procedures see Stanley M. Elam, Lowell C. Rose, and Alec M. Gallup, "The 28th Annual Phi Delta Kappa/Gallup Poll of the Public's Attitudes Toward the Public Schools," Phi Delta Kappan (September 1996), pp. 58, 59.

HARRIS SURVEYS

Harris surveys are based on a national sample of the civilian population of the continental United States. Alaska and Hawaii are not represented in the sample, nor are those in prisons, hospitals, or religious and educational institutions. The sample is based on census information on the population of each State in the country, and on the population living in standard metropolitan areas and in the rest of the country. These population figures are updated by intercensal estimates produced annually by the U.S. Bureau of the Census, and sample locations are selected biennially to reflect changes in the country's demographic profile.

National samples are stratified in two dimensions--geographic region and metropolitan (and non-metropolitan) residence. Stratification insures that the samples will reflect, within 1%, the actual proportions of those living in the country in different regions and metropolitan (and non-metropolitan) areas. Within each stratum the selection of the ultimate sampling unit is achieved through a series of steps, a process which is technically called multi-stage unclustered sampling. Each sampling unit yields one interview. First States, then counties, and then minor civil divisions (cities, towns, townships) are selected with probability proportional to census estimates of their respective household populations.

The Harris Survey has four of these national samples, and they are used in rotation from study to study. The specific sample locations in one study generally are adjacent to those used in the next study. For most surveys covering the entire country, more than one national sample may be employed. Harris Surveys of a nationwide sample usually include approximately 1,250 respondents. All interviews prior to 1978 were conducted in person, in the homes of respondents. At each household the respondent was chosen by means of a random selection pattern, geared to the number of adults of each sex who live in the household. Interviews lasted approximately 1 hour. Almost all interviews conducted as of 1978 have been telephone interviews. Respondents are selected on the basis of random digit dialing. When the completed interviews are received in New York, a subsample of the respondents are recontacted to verify that the data have been accurately recorded. Questionnaires are edited and coded in the New York office. The Harris sampling procedure is designed to produce a national cross-section that accurately reflects the actual population of the country 18 years of age and older living in private households. This means that the results of a survey among a national sample can be projected as representative of the country's civilian population 18 years of age and older.

Harris Survey national results are reported for the East, Midwest, South, and West regions of the country, defined as follows:

East--Maine, New Hampshire, Vermont, New York, Massachusetts, Rhode Island, Connecticut, Pennsylvania, Maryland, New Jersey, Delaware, West Virginia; Midwest--North Dakota, South Dakota, Nebraska, Kansas, Minnesota, Iowa, Missouri, Wisconsin, Illinois, Michigan, Indiana, Ohio;

South--Kentucky, Virginia, Tennessee, North Carolina, South Carolina, Georgia, Alabama, Mississippi, Florida, Louisiana, Arkansas, Oklahoma, Texas; and

West--Washington, Oregon, California, Idaho, Nevada, Utah, Arizona, Montana, Wyoming, Colorado, New Mexico.

Sampling error

The results of the surveys are subject to sampling error, i.e., the difference between the results obtained from the sample and those that would be obtained by surveying the entire population. The size of a possible sampling error varies to some extent with the size of the sample and with the percentage giving a particular answer. The following table sets forth the range of error in samples of different sizes and at different percentages of response.

For example, if the response for a sample size of 1,200 is 30%, in 95 cases out of 100 the response in the population will be between 27% and 33%. This error accounts only for sampling error. Survey research also is susceptible to other errors, such as data handling and interview recording.

Recommended allowance for sampling error (plus or minus) at 95% confidence level

Re-						
sponse		5	Sampl	<u>e size</u>		
percent	1,600	1,200	900	500	250	100
10(90)	2	2	2	3	5	7
20(80)	2	3	3	4	6	10
30(70)	3	3	4	5	7	11
40(60)	3	3	4	5	7	12
50	3	3	4	5	8	12

NATIONAL OPINION RESEARCH CENTER

The National Opinion Research Center (NORC) maintains a national probability sample. The General Social Surveys (GSS) are interviews administered to the NORC national samples using a standard questionnaire. They have been conducted during February, March, and April from 1972 to 1978, 1980, 1982 to 1991, 1993, 1994, and 1996. There are a total of 35,284 completed interviews (1,613 in 1972; 1,504 in 1973; 1,484 in 1974; 1,490 in 1975; 1,499 in 1976; 1,530 in 1977; 1,532 in 1978; 1,468 in 1980; 1,506 and an oversample of 354 blacks in 1982; 1,599 in 1983; 1,473 in 1984; 1,534 in 1985: 1.470 in 1986: 1.466 and an oversample of 353 blacks in 1987; 1,481 in 1988; 1,537 in 1989; 1,372 in 1990; 1,517 in 1991; 1,606 in 1993; 2,992 in 1994; and 2,904 in 1996). Sampling frames are based on 1970

census information for surveys conducted in 1972-78, 1980, and 1982. For all interviews conducted from 1984-91, the national sampling frame was based on 1980 census information. A split sample transition design was used in the 1983 survey. One-half of the sample was drawn from the 1970 frame and one-half from the 1980 frame. Again in 1993, a split sample transaction design was employed on the 1993 survey to measure the effect of switching from the 1980 sample frame to the 1990 sample frame. Half the sample was drawn from each frame. Since 1973, the median length of the interview has been about one and a half hours. This study employed standard field procedures for national surveys, including interviewer hiring and training by area supervisors in interviewing locations when necessary.

Each survey is an independently drawn sample of English-speaking persons 18 years of age and older, living in noninstitutional arrangements within the United States. Alaska and Hawaii are not included in samples drawn from the 1970 sampling frame, but are represented in one-half of the 1983 surveys and all those conducted from 1984-96. Block quota sampling was used in the 1972, 1973, and 1974 surveys and for half of the 1975 and 1976 surveys. Full probability sampling was employed in half of the 1975 and 1976 surveys and in all of the surveys conducted subsequent to 1976.

The sample is a multi-stage area probability sample to the block or segment level. At the block level, quota sampling is used with quotas based on sex, age, and employment status. The cost of the quota samples is substantially less than the cost of a full probability sample of the same size, but there is, of course, the chance of sample biases mainly due to not-at-homes which are not controlled by the quotas. However, in order to reduce this bias, the interviewers are given instructions to canvass and interview only after 3:00 p.m. on weekdays or during the weekend or holidays. The first stage of sample selection includes selection of the Primary Sampling Units (PSUs). The PSUs employed are Standard Metropolitan Statistical Areas (SMSAs) or nonmetropolitan counties selected in NORC's Master Sample. These SMSAs and counties were stratified by region, age, and race before selection. The units of selection of the second stage were block groups (BGs) and enumeration districts (EDs). These EDs and BGs were stratified according to race and income. The third stage of selection was that of blocks, which were selected with probabilities proportional to size. In places without block statistics, measures of size for the blocks were obtained by field counting. The average cluster size is five respondents per cluster.

The quotas call for approximately equal numbers of men and women with the exact proportion in each segment determined by the 1970 census tract data. For women, the additional requirement is imposed that there be the proper proportion of employed and unemployed women in the location. Again, these quotas are based on the 1970 census tract data. For men, the added requirement is that there be the proper proportion of men over and under age 35 in the location. Past experience suggests that, for most purposes, this quota sample of 1,500 could be considered as having about the same efficiency as a simple random sample of 1,000 cases.

The 1975 and 1976 studies were conducted with a traditional sample design, one-half full probability and one-half block quota. The sample was divided into two parts for several reasons: (1) to provide data for possibly interesting methodological comparisons; and (2) on the chance that there are some differences over time, that it would be possible to assign these differences to either shifts in sample designs, or changes in response patterns. Having allowed for the appearance of all items in the transitional sample design, the GSS then switched to a full probability sample beginning with the 1977 survey.

Rotation

Since its inception the GSS employed a rotation design under which most of its items appeared on two out of every three surveys. While this design proved to be useful for both monitoring change and augmenting the content of the GSS, it had the disadvantage of irregularly spacing the data and allowing gaps in the time series. This situation was particularly acute during 1978-82 because of the lack of funding for surveys in 1979 and 1981. At that juncture 4-year gaps regularly appeared in the data and 6-year lapses existed for bivariate correlations between items from different rotations. Even with annual surveys 2-year gaps and 3-year intervals for bivariate correlations occur. To reduce this imbalance in the time series and reduce the length of intervals, in 1988 the rotation, across-time design previously used was changed to a split-ballot design. Under this design rotations 1, 2, and 3 occur across random sub-samples within each survey rather than across surveys (and years). Each sub-sample (known as ballots) consists of 1/3 of the sample. Permanent items are not affected by this switch. They continue to appear on all cases for all surveys. Rotating items now appear on all surveys and are asked of two-thirds of respondents on each survey. Over a 3-year cycle the same number of respondents are asked the "rotating" items as before (3,000), but instead of coming in two segments of 1,500

each from two surveys, they appear in three segments of 1,000 each from three surveys.

The 1993 GSS was the last survey conducted under this design. In 1994 two major innovations were introduced to the GSS.

First, the traditional core was substantially reduced to allow for the creation of minimodules (i.e. blocks of about 15 minutes devoted to some combination of small- to medium-sized supplements). The minimodules space provides greater flexibility to incorporate innovations and to include important items proposed by the social science community.

Second, a new biennial, split-sample design was used. The sample consists of two parallel sub-samples of approximately 1,500 cases each. The two sub-samples both contain the identical core. The A sample also contains a standard, topical module, the mini-modules, and an International Social Survey Program (ISSP) module (on women, work, and the family). The B sample has a second topical module, mini-modules, and an ISSP module (on the environment). In effect, one can think of the A sample as representing a traditional GSS for 1994 and the B sample representing a traditional GSS for 1995. Rather than being fielded separately in two different years they are fielded together.

In 1996 (and in subsequent even-numbered years), the same design described for 1994 was repeated. In addition, in 1994 only, a transitional design was utilized to calibrate any impact of deletions from the core.

Survey results are reported for four regional categories, with the States classified in the following way:

Northeast--Connecticut, Maine, Massachusetts, New Hampshire, New Jersey, New York, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, Vermont;

North Central--Illinois, Indiana, Iowa, Kansas, Michigan, Minnesota, Missouri, Nebraska, North Dakota, Ohio, South Dakota, Wisconsin;

South--Alabama, Arkansas, Delaware, District of Columbia, Florida, Georgia, Kentucky, Louisiana, Maryland, Mississippi, North Carolina, Oklahoma, South Carolina, Tennessee, Texas, Virginia, West Virginia;

West--Alaska, Arizona, California, Colorado, Hawaii, Idaho, Montana, Nevada, New Mexico, Oregon, Utah, Washington, Wyoming.

MARKET SEGMENT RESEARCH AND CONSULTING, INC.

These data were collected and analyzed by Market Segment Research and Consulting, Inc. (MSRC) as part of the 1996 Ethnic Market Report. A total of 5,000 interviews were conducted from January to March 1996. The data were obtained using a combination of telephone and face-to-face interviews. A total of 2,000 interviews were conducted among Hispanics, 1,000 among whites, 1,000 among African-Americans, and 1,000 among Asians. The Hispanic interviews were conducted in Los Angeles, New York, Miami, Chicago, San Antonio, Houston, San Francisco, El Paso, Dallas, and Phoenix/Tucson. The African-American interviews were conducted in New York, Chicago, Washington, D.C., Los Angeles, Detroit, Philadelphia, Atlanta, Baltimore, Houston, and Miami, Asian interviews were conducted in Los Angeles, New York, San Francisco, Chicago, and Washington, D.C. The whites interviewed comprised a National probability sample.

The samples were based on Areas of Dominant Influence (ADI) and were selected using the 1990 U.S. Census. Within each ADI, census tracts with high, medium, and low population densities for each segment were identified and a sample was selected from each in order to ensure a reflective crosssection of the population. For the Hispanic segment, 50% of the sample was selected by surname and the remaining 50% was random.

Intensive supervisor and interviewer training and field briefings were conducted. Every question and possible answer was reviewed twice. The interviewers conducted practice interviews with each other and then with actual respondents. These practice interviews were not included in the sample. As a security measure, no single interviewer conducted more than 10% of the total interviews. Supervisors conducted a minimum of 20% validation of each interviewer's daily work.

The questionnaire was developed by MSRC and each respondent was interviewed for approximately 45 minutes, using a split-run method, resulting in approximately 1.5 hours worth of data collection in total. In order to assure the most representative sample of the population, limited screening criteria were used. To qualify for the study, respondents were screened to be 18 years of age or older, to be of the appropriate ethnic origin (self-described), and to pass standard security questions. Within each ethnic segment, 50% males and 50% females were interviewed. MSRC translated the questionnaire into Spanish, Japanese, Vietnamese, Korean, and Cantonese/Mandarin. For each language, MSRC translated the English questionnaire into the native language and back-translated it to English to ensure that the meaning of each question was conveyed accurately. The interviews were completed in the respondents' language of preference.

THE PEW RESEARCH CENTER FOR THE PEOPLE & THE PRESS

Both the May/June and November/ December 1996 surveys were conducted by Princeton Survey Research Associates for The Pew Research Center for The People & The Press. The survey results are based on telephone interviews among nationwide samples of adults 18 years of age and older. The samples are random digit dialing samples of telephone numbers selected from telephone exchanges in the continental United States. Both listed and unlisted numbers (including not-yet-listed numbers) are represented. The telephone exchanges were selected with probabilities proportional to their size. The first eight digits of the sampled telephone numbers (area code, exchange, bank number) were selected to be proportionally stratified by county and by telephone exchange within county. That is, the number of telephone numbers randomly sampled from within a given county is proportional to that county's share of telephone households in the United States. Estimates of the number of telephone households within each county are derived from 1990 Census data on residential telephone incidence that have been updated with Statelevel information on new telephone installations and county-level projections of the number of households.

At least four attempts were made to complete an interview at every sampled telephone number. The calls were staggered over times of the day and days of the week to maximize the chances of making a contact with a potential respondent. All interview breakoffs and refusals were recontacted at least once in order to attempt to convert them to completed interviews. In each contacted household, interviewers asked to speak with the "youngest male 18 or older who is at home." If there was no eligible male at home, interviewers asked to speak with "the oldest woman 18 or older who lives in the household." This systematic respondent selection technique has been shown empirically to produce samples that closely mirror the population in terms of age and gender. To compensate for potential biases in survey-derived estimates the sample data have been weighted in the analysis. The demographic weighting parameters were derived from an analysis of the most recently available U.S. Bureau of the Census' Current Population Survey (March 1994). This analysis produced population parameters for the demographic characteristics of households with adults 18 years of age and older, which are then compared with the sample characteristics to construct sample weights. The analysis included only households in the United States that contained a telephone.

YOUTH RISK BEHAVIOR SURVEILLANCE SYSTEM

The Youth Risk Behavior Surveillance System (YRBSS) is conducted by the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention and monitors priority health risk behaviors among youth and adults. The 1995 national school-based survey, a component of the YRBSS, employed a three-stage cluster sample design to produce a nationally representative sample of students in grades 9 through 12. The first-stage sampling frame contained 1.955 primary sampling units (PSUs), consisting of large counties or groups of smaller, adjacent counties. From the 1,955 PSUs, 52 were selected from 16 strata formed on the basis of the degree of urbanization and the relative percentage of black (non-Hispanic) and Hispanic students in the PSU. The PSUs were selected with probability proportional to school enrollment size. At the second sampling stage, 157 schools were selected with probability proportional to school enrollment size. To enable separate analysis of black and Hispanic students, schools with substantial numbers of black (non-Hispanic) and Hispanic students were sampled at relatively higher rates than were all other schools. The third stage of sampling consisted of randomly selecting one or two intact classes of a required subject (e.g., English or social studies) from grades 9 through 12 at each chosen school. All students in the selected classes were eligible to participate in the study. The school response rate was 70% and the student response rate was 86%, for an overall response rate of 60%. A total of 10,904 questionnaires were completed in 110 schools.

A weighting factor was applied to each student record to adjust for nonresponse and for the varying probabilities of selection, including those resulting from the oversampling of black (non-Hispanic) and Hispanic students. Numbers of students in racial/ethnic groups other than white (non-Hispanic), black (non-Hispanic), and Hispanic were too small for meaningful analysis. The weights were scaled so that the weighted count of students was equal to the total sample size and so that the weighted proportions of students in each grade matched national population proportions.

The data are representative of students in grades 9 through 12 in public and private schools in the 50 States and the District of Columbia.

Appendix 7

Monitoring the Future Survey methodology and definitions of terms

Note: The following information was excerpted from Lloyd D. Johnston, Jerald G. Bachman, and Patrick M. O'Malley, *Monitoring the Future 1995* (Ann Arbor, MI: Institute for Social Research, University of Michigan, 1997), pp. 2-11, 13, 14; Lloyd D. Johnston, Patrick M. O'Malley, and Jerald G. Bachman, *National Survey Results on Drug Use From the Monitoring the Future Study, 1975-1996*, Volumes I and II (Washington, DC: USGPO, 1997); and information provided by the Monitoring the Future Project. Non-substantive editorial adaptations have been made.

Survey methodology

The basic research design involves annual data collections from high school seniors during the spring of each year, beginning with the class of 1975. Each data collection takes place in approximately 130 public and private high schools selected to provide an accurate cross-section of high school seniors throughout the coterminous United States.

Since 1985, the results of a followup survey of those young adults 1 to 10 years beyond high school have been presented. These results should accurately characterize 85% of the young adults in the class cohorts 1 to 10 years beyond high school who are high school graduates. The high school dropout segment, missing from the senior year surveys, also is missing from the followup segments.

Also since 1985, the results of followup surveys of those high school students who have continued on to college have been presented. The college sample is limited to the most typical one for college attendance: 1 to 4 years past high school, which corresponds to the modal ages of 19 to 22 years old. This age category should encompass about 76% of all students enrolled in college full-time in 1993.

Sampling procedures

The procedure for securing a nationwide sample of high school seniors is a multistage one. Stage 1 is the selection of particular geographic areas, Stage 2 is the selection of one or more high schools in each area, and Stage 3 is the selection of seniors within each high school.

Stage 1: Geographic areas. The geographic areas used in this study are the primary sampling units (PSUs) developed by the Sampling Section of the Survey Research Center (SRC) for use in the Center's nationwide interview studies. These consist of 74 primary areas throughout the coterminous United States--including the 12 largest metropolitan areas, which contain about 30% of the Nation's population. Of the 62 other primary areas, 10 are in the Northeast, 18 in the North Central area, 24 in the South, and 10 in the West. Because these same PSUs are used for personal interview studies by the SRC, local field representatives can be assigned to administer the data collections in practically all schools.

Stage 2: Schools. In the major metropolitan areas more than one high school is often included in the sampling design; in most other sampling areas a single high school is sampled. In all cases, the selections of high schools are made such that the probability of drawing a school is proportionate to the size of its senior class. The larger the senior class (according to recent records), the higher the selection probability assigned to the high school. When a sampled school is unwilling to participate, a replacement school as similar to it as possible is selected from the same geographic area.

Stage 3: Students. Within each selected school, up to about 400 seniors may be included in the data collection. In schools with fewer than 400 seniors, the usual procedure is to include all of them in the data collection. In larger schools, a subset of seniors is selected either by randomly sampling classrooms or by some other random method that is convenient for the school and judged to be unbiased. Sample weights are assigned to each respondent so as to take account of variations in the sizes of samples from one school to another, as well as the (smaller) variations in selection probabilities occurring at the earlier stages of sampling.

The three-stage sampling procedure described above yielded the number of participating schools and students indicated in Table 1.

One limitation in the design is that it does not include in the target population those young men and women who drop out of high school before graduation (or before the last few months of the senior year, to be more precise). This excludes a relatively small proportion of each age cohort--between 15 and 20%. This is not an unimportant segment, since certain behaviors such as illicit drug use and delinquency tend to be higher than average in this group. However, the addition of a representative sample of dropouts would increase the cost of the present research enormously, because of their dispersion and generally higher level of resistance to being located and interviewed.

For the purposes of estimating characteristics of the entire age group, the omission of high school dropouts does introduce certain biases; however, their small proportion sets outer limits on the bias. For the purposes of estimating changes from one cohort of high school seniors to another, the omission of dropouts represents a problem only if different cohorts have considerably different proportions who drop out. The Source has no reason to expect dramatic changes in those rates for the foreseeable future, and recently published government statistics indicate a great deal of stability in dropout rates since 1970.

Some may use the high school data to draw conclusions about changes for the entire age group. While the Source does not encourage such extrapolation, the Source suspects that the conclusions reached often would be valid, since over 80% of the age group is in the surveyed segment of the population and the Source expects that changes among those not in school are very likely to parallel the changes among those who are. Nevertheless, for purposes of characterizing the entire age group the Source would urge the user to check the results emanating from the present monitoring system against those emerging from other data collection systems using different methods, such as household interviews.

One other important feature of the base-year sampling procedures should be noted. All schools (except for half of the initial 1975 sample) are asked to participate in two data collections, thereby permitting replacement of half of the total sample of schools each year. One motivation for requesting that schools participate for 2 years is administrative efficiency; it is a costly and timeconsuming procedure to secure the cooperation of schools, and a 2-year period of participation cuts down that effort substantially. Another important advantage is that whenever an appreciable shift in scores from one graduating class to the next is observed, it is possible to check whether the shift might be attributable to some differences in the newly sampled schools. This is done simply by repeating the analysis using only the 60 or so schools that participated both years. Thus far, the half-sample approach has worked quite well; and examination of drug prevalence data from the "matched half-samples" shows that the half samples of repeat schools yielded drug prevalence trends that were virtually identical to trends based on all schools.

Questionnaire administration

The questionnaire administration in each school is carried out by the local SRC representatives and their assistants, following standardized procedures detailed in a project instruction manual. The questionnaires are administered in classrooms during normal class periods whenever possible, although circumstances in some schools require the use of larger group administrations. Teachers are not asked to do anything more than introduce the SRC staff members and (in most cases) remain in the classroom to help guarantee an orderly atmosphere for the survey. Teachers are urged to avoid walking around the room, so that students may feel free to write their answers without fear of being observed.

The actual process of completing the questionnaires is quite straightforward. Respondents are given sharpened pencils and asked to use them because the questionnaires are designed for automatic scanning. Most respondents can finish within a 45-minute class period; for those who cannot, an effort is made to provide a few minutes of additional time.

Content areas and questionnaire design

Drug use and related attitudes are the topics that receive the most extensive coverage in the Monitoring the Future Project; but the questionnaires also deal with a wide range of other subject areas, including attitudes about government, social institutions, race relations, changing roles for women, educational aspirations, occupational aims, and marital and family plans, as well as a variety of background and demographic factors. The list below provides an outline of the 20 general subject areas into which all items are categorized. Given this breadth of content, the study is not presented to respondents as a "drug use study," nor do they tend to view it as such.

Measurement content areas

A. Drugs. Drug use and related attitudes and beliefs, drug availability and exposure, surrounding conditions and social meanings of drug use. Views of significant others regarding drugs.

B. Education. Educational lifestyle, values, experiences, and environments.

C. Work and leisure. Vocational values, meaning of work and leisure, work and leisure activities, preferences regarding occupational characteristics and type of work setting.

D. Sex roles and family. Values, attitudes, and expectations about marriage, family structure, sex roles, and sex discrimination.

E. Population concerns. Values and attitudes about overpopulation and birth control. **F. Conservation, materialism, equity, etc.** Values, attitudes, and expectations related to conservation, pollution, materialism, equity, and the sharing of resources. Preferences regarding type of dwelling and urbanicity.

G. Religion. Religious affiliation, practices, and views.

H. Politics. Political affiliation, activities, and views.

I. Social change. Values, attitudes, and expectations about social change.

J. Social problems. Concern with various social problems facing the Nation and the world.

K. Major social institutions. Confidence in and commitment to various major social institutions (business, unions, branches of government, press, organized religion, military, etc.).

L. Military. Views about the armed services and the use of military force. Personal plans for military service.

M. Interpersonal relationships. Qualitative and quantitative characteristics of cross-age and peer relationships. Interpersonal conflict.

N. Race relations. Attitudes toward and experiences with other racial groups.

O. Concern for others. Concern for others; voluntary and charitable activities.

P. Happiness. Happiness and life satisfaction, overall and in specific life domains.

Q. Other personality variables. Attitudes about self (including self-esteem), locus of control, loneliness, risk-taking, trust in others, importance placed on various life goals, counter-culture orientation, hostility.

R. Background. Demographic and family background characteristics, living arrangements.

S. Deviant behavior and victimization. Delinquent behaviors, driving violations and accidents (including those under the influence of drugs), victimization experiences.

T. Health. Health habits, somatic symptoms, medical treatments.

Because many questions are needed to cover all of these topic areas, much of the questionnaire content was divided into five different questionnaire forms in 1976-88 and six different questionnaire forms for 1989 and beyond, which are distributed to participants in an ordered sequence that produces virtually identical subsamples. About one-third of each questionnaire form consists of key or "core" variables that are common to all forms. All demographic variables and some measures of drug use are included in this "core" set of measures. This use of the full sample for drug and demographic measures provides a more accurate estimation on these dimensions and also makes it possible to link them statistically to all of the other measures that are included in a single form only.

Representativeness and validity

The samples for this study are intended to be representative of high school seniors throughout the 48 coterminous States. As previously mentioned, this definition of the sample excludes one important portion of the age cohort: those who have dropped out of high school before nearing the end of the senior year. But given the aim of representing high school seniors, it is useful to consider the extent to which the obtained samples of schools and students are likely to be representative of all seniors and the degree to which the data obtained are likely to be valid.

There are at least four ways in which survey data of this sort might fall short of being fully accurate. First, some sampled schools refuse to participate, which could introduce some bias. Second, the failure to obtain questionnaire data from 100% of the students sampled in participating schools would also introduce bias. Third, the answers provided by participating students are open to both conscious and unconscious distortions, which could reduce validity. Finally, limitations in sample size and/or design could place limits on the accuracy of estimates.

School participation

As noted in the description of the sampling design, schools are invited to participate in the study for a 2-year period. With very few exceptions, each school that has participated for one data collection has agreed to participate for a second. Thus far, from 66 to 80% of the schools initially invited to participate have agreed to do so each year; for each school refusal, a similar school (in terms of size, geographic area, urbanicity, etc.) was recruited as a replacement.

The selection of replacement schools almost entirely removes problems of bias in region, urbanicity, and the like that might result from certain schools refusing to participate. Other potential biases are more subtle, however. For example, if it turned out that most schools with "drug problems" refused to participate, that could seriously bias the drug estimates derived from the sample. And if any other single factor was dominant in most refusals, that also might suggest a source of serious bias. In fact, however, the reason for schools' refusals to participate are varied and largely a function of happenstance events of the particular year. Thus, there is a fair amount of confidence that school refusals have not seriously biased the surveys.

Student participation

Completed questionnaires are obtained from three-fourths to four-fifths of all students sampled. The single most important reason that students are missed is that they are absent from class at the time of data collection, and in most cases it is not workable to schedule a special followup data collection for them.

In addition to absenteeism, student nonparticipation occurs because of schedule conflicts with school trips and other activities that tend to be more frequent than usual during the final months of the senior year. Of course, some students refuse to complete or turn in a questionnaire. However, the SRC representatives in the field estimate this proportion to be only about 1%.

Research design for the surveys of lower grades

Beginning in 1991 the study was expanded to include nationally representative samples of eighth and tenth grade students. In general, the procedures used for the annual surveys of eighth and tenth grade students closely parallel those used for high school seniors, including the procedures for selecting schools and students, questionnaire administrations, and questionnaire formats. A major exception is that only two different questionnaire forms are used, rather than the six used with seniors. Identical forms are used for both eighth and tenth grades, and, for the most part, questionnaire content is drawn from the twelfth grade questionnaires. Thus, key demographic variables and measures of drug use and related attitudes and beliefs are generally identical for all three grades. Fewer questions about lifestyles and values are included in these forms than in the twelfth grade forms, in part because it is believed that many of these attitudes are more likely to be formed by twelfth grade, and therefore are best monitored there. For the national survey of eighth graders, approximately 160 schools are sampled, and approximately 18,000 to 19,000 students are surveyed. For the tenth graders, approximately 130 schools are sampled, and approximately 16,000 students are surveyed.

Research design for the followup surveys after high school

Beginning with the graduating class of 1976, each class is followed up and surveyed each year after high school for seven followup data collections. From the approximately 15,000 to 17,000 seniors originally participating in a given class, a representative sample of 2,400 individuals was chosen for followup. In order to ensure sufficient numbers of drug users in the followup surveys, those fitting certain criteria of current drug use (that is, those reporting 20 or more uses of marijuana or use of any of the other illicit drugs in the previous 30 days) were selected with higher probability (by a factor of 3.0) than the remaining seniors. Differential weighting is used in all followup analyses to compensate for the differential sampling probabilities.

The 2,400 selected respondents from each class were randomly assigned to one of two matching groups of 1,200 each; one group was surveyed on even-numbered calendar years, while the other group was surveyed on odd-numbered years. This biannual procedure was intended to reduce respondent burden.

Followup procedures

Using information provided by respondents at the time of the senior survey (name, address, phone number, and the name and address of someone who would always know how to reach them), students selected for the panels were contacted by mail. Newsletters were sent each year, and name and address corrections were requested. Questionnaires were sent by certified mail in the spring of each year. A check for \$5.00 made out to the respondent was attached to the front. Beginning with the class of 1992, the followup checks have been raised to \$10.00 to compensate for the effects of inflation over the life of the study. Reminder letters and post cards went out at fixed intervals thereafter and finally, those not responding received a prompting phone call from the Survey Research Center's phone interviewing facility in Ann Arbor. If requested, a second copy of the questionnaire was sent.

Panel retention rates

To date the panel retention rates have remained quite high. In the first followup after high school, about 80% of the original panel returned questionnaires. The retention rate decreases ordinally with time; however, the 1995 panel retention from the class of 1981--the oldest of the panels, now age 32 (14 years past their first data collection in high school)--is 60%. Since attrition is to a modest degree associated with drug use, corrections to the prevalence estimates are presented for the followup panels. These raise the prevalence estimates from what they would be uncorrected, but only slightly. It is believed that the resulting estimates are the most accurate obtainable, but still low for the age group as a whole due to the omission of dropouts and absentees from the population covered by the original panels.

Validity of self-report data

Survey measures of delinguency and of drug use depend upon respondents reporting what are, in many cases, illegal acts. Thus, a critical question is whether such selfreports are likely to be valid. Like most studies dealing with these areas, there is no direct, objective validation of the present measures; however, the considerable amount of inferential evidence that exists strongly suggests that the self-report questions produce largely valid data. A number of factors suggest a reasonable amount of confidence about the validity of the responses to what are presumably among the most sensitive questions in the study: a low nonresponse on the drug question, a large proportion admitting to some illicit drug use, the consistency of findings across several years of the present study, strong evidence of construct validity (based on relationships observed between variables), a close match between these data and the findings from other studies using other methods, and the findings from several methodological studies that have used objective validation methods.

Accuracy of the sample

A sample survey never can provide the same level of accuracy as would be obtained if the entire target population were to participate in the survey--in the case of the present study, about 2.8 million seniors per year. But perfect accuracy of this sort would be extremely expensive and certainly not worthwhile considering the fact that a high level of accuracy can be provided by a carefully designed probability sample. The accuracy of the sample in this study is affected both by the size of the student sample and by the number of schools in which they are clustered. Virtually all estimates based on the total sample have confidence intervals of plus or minus 1.5 percentage points or smaller--sometimes considerably smaller.

Interpreting racial differences

Data are given for the two largest racial/ethnic subgroups in the population-those who identify themselves as white or Caucasian and those who identify themselves as black or African-American. Data are not given for the other ethnic categories (American Indians, Asian Americans, Mexican Americans. Puerto Ricans. or other Latin Americans) since each of these groups comprises a small percentage of the sample in any given year, which means that their small N's (in combination with their clustered groupings in a limited number of schools) would yield estimates that would be too unreliable. In fact, even blacks--who constitute approximately 12% of each year's sample-are represented by only 350 to 425 respondents per year on any single questionnaire form. Further, because the sample is a stratified clustered sample, it yields less accuracy than would be yielded by a pure random sample of equal size. Therefore, because of the limited number of cases, the margin of sampling error around any statistic describing blacks is larger than for most other subgroups described in this survey.

There are factors in addition to unreliability, however, that could be misleading in the interpretation of racial differences. Given the social importance that has been placed on various racial differences reported in the social science literature, the reader is cautioned to consider the various factors that could account for differences. These factors fall into three categories: differential representation in the sample, differential response tendencies, and the confounding of race with a number of other background and demographic characteristics.

Differential representation--A smaller segment of the black population than of the white population of high school age is represented by the data contained here. Insofar as any characteristic is associated with being a school dropout or absentee, it is likely to be somewhat disproportionately underrepresented among blacks in the sample.

Differential response tendencies--In examining the full range of variables, certain racial differences in response tendencies were noted. First, the tendency to state agreement in response to agree-disagree questions is generally somewhat greater among blacks than among whites.

There also is a somewhat greater than average tendency for black respondents to select extreme answer categories on attitudinal scales. For example, even if the same proportion of blacks as whites felt positively (or negatively) about some subject, fewer of the whites are likely to say they feel very positively (or very negatively). In the process of interpreting racial differences, the reader should be aware that differences in responses to particular questions may be related to these more general tendencies.

A somewhat separate issue in response tendency is a respondent's willingness to answer particular questions. An exaggerated missing data rate for black males on the set of questions dealing with the respondent's own use of illicit drugs has been observed. Clearly a respondent's willingness to be candid on such questions depends on his or her trust of the research process and of the researchers themselves. The reader is advised to check for exceptional levels of missing data when making comparisons on any variable in which candor is likely to be reduced by lower system trust. One bit of additional evidence related to trust in the research process is that higher proportions of blacks than whites indicated that if they had used marijuana or heroin they would not have been willing to report it in the survey.

Covariance with other factors--Some characteristics such as race are highly confounded (correlated) with other variables--variables that may in fact explain some observed racial differences. Put another way, at the aggregate level one might observe a considerable racial difference on some characteristic, but once one controls for some background characteristics such as socioeconomic level or region of the country--that is, comparing the black respondents with whites who come from similar backgrounds-there may be no racial difference at all.

Definitions of terms

Drug types--Definitions or identifiers used in survey forms include:

Marijuana--pot, grass or hashish; Other psychedelics--mescaline,

peyote, psilocybin, PCP;

Amphetamines--uppers, pep pills, bennies, speed;

Quaaludes--quads, methaqualone; Barbiturates--downers, goofballs, reds, yellows;

Heroin--smack, horse;

Other narcotics--methadone, opium, codeine, paregoric;

Inhalants--glue, aerosols, laughing gas; Tranquilizers--Librium, Valium, Miltown.

Beginning with the 1979 survey, amyl and butyl nitrites were considered "other inhalants" for questions on one alternate survey form (N=one-fifth of total sample size in 1979-88 and N is one-sixth of total sample size in 1989-96). This was due to the fact that not all users of this subclass of inhalants were reporting themselves as inhalant users. Hallucinogen use had been similarly underestimated because some users of the hallucinogenic drug PCP do not report themselves as users of hallucinogens--even though PCP was included as an example of a hallucinogenic drug in earlier surveys and on other questions. The alternate questionnaire form contained a special set of questions about PCP, that provided other street names for it (e.g., angel dust). As a result of these definition changes, since 1979 data for drug use in these two drug classes have been adjusted for underreporting. For more information, see the Source.

Four-year college plans--Percentage distributions are given separately for (1) respondents who indicate that they "definitely will" or "probably will" graduate from a fouryear college program and (2) those who say that they "definitely won't" or "probably won't" graduate from a four-year college program. Respondents not responding are omitted from both columns. A number of those who do not expect to complete a four-year college program do expect to get some postsecondary education.

Illicit drug use: Lifetime--Percentage distributions are given separately for five mutually exclusive subgroups differentiated by their degree of involvement with illicit drugs. Eligibility for each category is defined below.

None--This column contains data from those respondents who indicated that they had not used marijuana at any time and did not report use of any of the following illicit drugs in their lifetime: LSD, other psychedelics, cocaine, amphetamines, tranquilizers, methaqualone, barbiturates, heroin, or other narcotics.

Marijuana only--This column contains data from other respondents who indicated that they had used marijuana (or hashish) but had never used any of the other illicit drugs just listed.

Few pills--This column contains data from those respondents who indicated having used one or more of the above listed drugs (other than marijuana) but who had not used any one class of them on three or more occasions and who had not used heroin at all.

More pills--This column contains data from respondents who had used any of the above listed drugs (other than marijuana) on three or more occasions but who had never used heroin.

Any heroin--This column contains data from those respondents who indicated having used heroin on one or more occasions in their lifetime.

Race--Percentage distributions are given separately for those describing themselves as "white or Caucasian" and "black or African-American." Comparable columns for the other racial or ethnic groups (Mexican Americans, Asian Americans, American Indians, etc.) are not shown because of the low number of cases in each group.

Region--Percentage distributions are given separately for respondents living in each of four mutually exclusive regions of the country. The regional classifications are based on U.S. Bureau of the Census categories and are defined as follows:

Northeast--Census classifications of New England and Middle Atlantic States; includes Maine, New Hampshire, Vermont, Massachusetts, Rhode Island, Connecticut, New York, New Jersey, and Pennsylvania.

North Central--Census classifications of East North Central and West North Central States; includes Ohio, Indiana, Illinois, Michigan, Wisconsin, Minnesota, Iowa, Missouri, North Dakota, South Dakota, Nebraska, and Kansas.

South--Census classifications of South Atlantic, East South Central, and West South Central States; includes Delaware, Maryland, District of Columbia, Virginia, West Virginia, North Carolina, South Carolina, Georgia, Florida, Kentucky, Tennessee, Alabama, Mississippi, Arkansas, Louisiana, Oklahoma, and Texas.

West--Census classifications of Mountain and Pacific States; includes Montana, Idaho, Wyoming, Colorado, New Mexico, Arizona, Utah, Nevada, Washington, Oregon, and California.

Sex--Percentage distributions are given separately for males and females. Respondents with missing data on the question asking the respondent's sex are omitted from both groupings.

Weighted number of cases (N)--The number of cases is stated in terms of the weighted number of respondents rather than the actual number, since all percentages have been calculated using weighted cases. The actual number of respondents generally is about 15% higher than the weighted number for data collected in 1975, 1976, and 1977. A comparison of weighted and unweighted numbers is provided in Table 3. For data collected in 1978 or later, the actual number of respondents is roughly equal to the weighted number. Weighting is used to improve the accuracy of estimates by correcting for unequal probabilities of selection, which arise in the multi-stage sampling procedures.

Table 1. Sample sizes and student response rates for high school seniors

				0										
	1983	1984	1985	1986	1987	1988	1989	1990	1991	1992	1993	1994	1995	1996
Number of public schools	112	117	115	113	117	113	111	114	117	120	121	119	120	118
Number of private schools	22	17	17	16	18	19	22	23	19	18	18	20	24	21
Total number of schools Actual number of partici-	134	134	132	129	135	132	133	137	136	138	139	139	144	139
	16,947	16,499	16,502	15,713	16,843	16,795	17,142	15,676	15,483	16,251	16,763	15,929	15,876	14,824
Student response rate (percent) ^b	84	83	84	83	84	83	86	86	83	84	84	84	84	83

^aSample weights are assigned to each respondent to correct for unequal probabilities of selection that arise in the multi-stage sampling procedure.

^bThe student response rate is derived by dividing the attained sample by the target sample (both based on weighted numbers of cases). The target sample is based upon listings provided by schools. Because such listings may fail to take account of recent student attrition, the actual response rate may be slightly underestimated.

Table 2. Sample size and student response rates for eighth and tenth grades

			-	-		
	1991	1992	1993	1994	1995	1996
Tenth grade						
Number of public schools	107	106	111	116	117	113
Number of private schools	14	19	17	14	22	20
Total number of schools Total number of participating	121	125	128	130	139	133
students ^a	14,996	14,997	15,516	16,080	17,285	15,873
Student response rate (percent) ^b	87	88	86	88	87	87
Eighth grade						
Number of public schools	131	133	126	116	118	122
Number of private schools	31	26	30	34	34	30
Total number of schools Total number of participating	162	159	156	150	152	152
students ^a	17,844	19,015	18,820	17,708	17,929	18,368
Student response rate (percent) ^b	90	90	90	89	89	91

^aSample weights are assigned to each respondent to correct for unequal probabilities of selection that arise in the multi-stage sampling procedure. ^bThe student response rate is derived by dividing the attained sample by the target sample (both based on weighted numbers of cases). The target sample is based upon listings provided by schools. Because such listings may fail to take account of recent student attrition, the actual response rate may be slightly underestimated.

Table 3. Weighted sample sizes in subgroups for high school seniors	Table 3	. Weighted san	nple sizes in sub	aroups for high	school seniors, b	v vear
---	---------	----------------	-------------------	-----------------	-------------------	--------

0	•	0		0									
	Class	Class											
	of 1984	of 1985	of 1986	of 1987	of 1988	of 1989	of 1990	of 1991	of 1992	of 1993	of 1994	of 1995	of 199
Total sample	16,499	16,502	15,713	16,843	16,795	17,142	15,676	15,483	16,251	16,251	15,389	15,876	14,824
Sex													
Male	7,800	7,776	7,261	7,912	7,861	8,156	7,862	7,617	7,582	7,582	6,918	7,293	6,800
Female	8,029	8,164	7,855	8,340	8,342	8,471	7,241	7,277	8,053	8,053	7,957	7,891	7,26′
Race													
White	12,337	12,291	11,713	12,478	12,051	12,250	11,410	10,754	11,029	11,029	10,656	11,012	9,890
Black	2,244	1,995	1,649	1,708	2,063	2,038	1,614	1,757	2,244	2,244	1,671	1,693	1,719
Region													
Northeast	3,386	3,878	3,720	3,611	3,348	3,305	3,358	2,862	2,887	2,887	2,695	2,881	3,12
North Central	4,611	4,516	4,440	4,489	4,435	4,589	4,284	4,089	4,529	4,529	4,031	4,380	3,878
South	5,568	5,028	4,855	5,431	5,753	6,255	5,262	5,330	5,787	5,787	5,636	5,593	5,34
West	2,932	3,079	2,698	3,313	3,260	2,992	2,773	3,202	3,048	3,048	3,027	3,022	2,479
College plans													
Complete 4 years	9,103	9,448	9,291	10,465	10,774	11,108	10,245	10,402	11,339	11,339	11,064	11,396	10,954
None or under 4 years	6,124	5,770	5,277	5,135	4,822	4,889	4,332	4,089	3,813	3,813	3,424	3,351	2,746
Illicit drug use													
None	6,199	6,412	6,535	7,188	7,606	8,253	8,006	8,464	9,441	9,441	8,395	7,996	7,101
Marijuana only	3,528	3,449	3,125	3,514	3,605	3,374	2,901	2,657	2,523	2,523	2,854	3,255	3,254
Few pills	2,196	2,264	2,223	2,142	2,133	2,057	1,872	1,742	1,757	1,757	1,736	1,756	1,654
More pills	3,936	3,802	3,264	3,410	2,896	2,889	2,380	2,128	1,974	1,974	2,221	2,247	2,149
Any heroin	206	193	161	203	186	222	194	141	199	199	171	250	263

Note: Data for 1984-88 are based on five questionnaire forms; N's for one-form questions are approximately one-fifth of the total sample N. Data for 1989-96 are based on six questionnaire forms; N's for one-form questions are approximately one-sixth of the total sample N.

Appendix 8

National Household Survey on Drug Abuse Survey methodology

Note: The following information was excerpted from U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration, *National Household Survey on Drug Abuse: Population Estimates 1995* (Rockville, MD: U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, 1996), pp. 1-13; and *National Household Survey on Drug Abuse: Main Findings 1995* (Washington, DC: USGPO, 1997), pp. 5-15, Appendix A, Appendix B, and Appendix E. Non-substantive editorial adaptations have been made.

Survey methodology

The National Household Survey on Drug Abuse (NHSDA) is a series of annual national surveys measuring the prevalence of drug and alcohol use among the American household population age 12 and older. Population estimates of drug use prevalence for the civilian, noninstitutionalized population of the United States are presented.

For the 1994 National Household Survey, a national probability sample of dwelling units in the United States was selected from 127 primary sampling units. For the 1995 and 1996 surveys, the samples were selected from 115 primary sampling units. The samples included persons living in some group quarters, such as rooming houses, college dormitories, and homeless shelters, but did not include transient populations such as the homeless not in shelters and residents of institutional quarters such as jails and hospitals, and active-duty military personnel.

Survey data are collected through personal visits to each selected residence. In each selected household, a short voluntary questionnaire recording the age, race/ethnicity, sex, marital status, and current smoking status of all household members age 12 and older was completed. Using a random sampling procedure, either two, one, or no residents were selected to be interviewed. (Selection probabilities were based on the race/ethnicity of the head of household and the ages and current smoking status of household members.) The procedure was designed to control the sample sizes for age and race/ethnicity groups of interest. Current smoking status was included

in the selection process beginning in 1993 to oversample smokers 18 to 34 years old. Because a statistical correlation exists between smoking status and the use of illicit drugs, this oversampling increases the precision of many illicit drug use estimates. It also has the advantage of providing increased accuracy for estimates of characteristics of illicit drug users due to the larger size of the sample of illicit drug users. In addition, to reduce survey costs, Hispanics were sampled in geographic areas where they are concentrated.

After selection, respondents were interviewed in person in their homes by trained interviewers. The interview process included interviewer-administered questions, selfadministered answer sheets and other procedures designed to assure respondents that their responses would be kept confidential and anonymous.

A revised questionnaire and editing procedure were introduced beginning with the 1994 National Household Survey (see discussion on next page). Data for 1994, 1995, and 1996 presented in SOURCEBOOK are based on the new questionnaire. A total of 17,747 respondents completed the 1995 questionnaire resulting in a response rate for screening sample households of 94.2% and 80.6% for interviewing sample individuals. Sample size and U.S. population breakdowns for the most recent survey available are presented in Table 1.

Table 1 1995 NHSDA sample size and U.S. population, by demographic characteristics

	1	1995
-	Sample	Population
Total	17,747	211,532,023
Sex		
Male	7,652	101.448.574
Female	10,095	110,083,449
Race, ethnicity		
White	8,459	159,721,700
Black	4,208	23,685,690
Hispanic	4,599	19,736,226
Other	481	8,388,407
<u>Age</u>		
12 to 17	4,595	22,208,177
18 to 25	3,963	27,820,039
26 to 34	5,213	35,974,680
35 years		
and older	3,976	125,529,127
Region		
Northeast	2,984	43,236,720
North Central	3,287	49,364,917
South	7,181	73,179,994
West	4,295	45,750,391

Note: Sample size is the unweighted number of respondents in the 1995 National Household Survey on Drug Abuse. Population in the United States as of July 1, 1990 is based on data provided by the U.S. Bureau of the Census.

For the 1994 National Household Survey, data were collected throughout the year, resulting in a total of 17,809 completed interviews with a 93.8% response rate for screening sample households and a 78.2% response rate for interviewing sample individuals.

At the time of publication of the 1996 SOUR-CEBOOK, only preliminary results from the 1996 National Household Survey were available. A total of 18,269 respondents completed the 1996 questionnaire resulting in a response rate for screening sample households of 93% and a 79% response rate for interviewing sample individuals.

Age and race/ethnicity were the two primary correlates of drug use on which the samples were stratified. The sample design ensured adequate sample sizes for four age groups (12 to 17, 18 to 25, 26 to 34, and 35 and older) and three race/ethnicity groups. This oversampling allowed certain subgroups to be large enough to support estimation. The national estimates presented include data from these special samples. Based on the respondents' self-classifications the race/ethnicity groups were classified as: (1) Hispanic in origin, regardless of race; (2) white, not of Hispanic origin; and (3) black, not of Hispanic origin. As defined, these groups are mutually exclusive. Those who did not identify themselves as Hispanic, non-Hispanic white, or non-Hispanic black were included in the category "other." This includes American Indians, Alaska Natives, Pacific Islanders, Asians, and other groups. Separate estimates are not provided for this category because the sample size is too small.

Development of weights

Sampling weights were calculated to reflect selection probabilities and to compensate for nonresponse and undercoverage. Each weight can be viewed as the number of population members that the responding sample member represents. Poststratification adjustments are made to force the respondent weight totals to equal U.S. Bureau of the Census projections for the civilian, noninstitutionalized population according to age group, sex, race, and Hispanic origin.

Adjusting for nonresponse through imputation

The prevalence estimates are based on the total sample or all cases in a subgroup, including some cases for which missing data for some recency-of-use and frequency-of-use variables were replaced with logically or statistically imputed (replaced) values. Prior to determining the completeness of a case, an editing procedure was implemented to check for inconsistencies and to determine if missing information was retrievable by using other information in the questionnaire. Logical imputation was then done to replace inconsistent, missing, or invalid data. Determination of completeness of a case was then made. To be classified as minimally complete interviews, and therefore included in the database, data on the recency of use of alcohol, marijuana, and cocaine had to have been provided by the respondent or logically imputed from other answers supplied by the respondent.

For some key variables that still had missing values after the application of logical imputation, statistical imputation was used to replace the missing data with appropriate valid response codes. Data still missing for recency-of-use questions (for drugs other than alcohol, cocaine, and marijuana) were statistically imputed using a technique known as "hot deck imputation." The first step in this procedure involves sorting the data file progressively using data on recency-of-use of alcohol, marijuana, and cocaine; age; sex; Hispanic origin; and race. The hot deck imputation procedure replaces a missing item on a particular record by the last encountered nonmissing response for that item (from a previous record) on the sorted database. The hot deck imputation procedure is appropriate for recency-of-use variables because the level of item nonresponse is low. Missing data for the frequency-of-usein-the-past-12-months variables are statistically imputed using a logistic regressionbased method of imputation.

Sampling error and confidence intervals

In the National Household Survey on Drug Abuse, as in every sample survey, there is some degree of statistical uncertainty or error. The estimates provided are subject to uncertainties of two types: nonsampling and sampling errors. Some sources of nonsampling error are recording and coding errors, nonresponse, computer processing errors, differences in respondents' interpretations of questions, and purposely false answers. Nonsampling errors cannot be quantified, however, rigorous attempts were made to minimize their occurrence through pretesting, interviewer training and evaluation, interview verification, coder training, coding verification, and other quality control measures.

Sampling errors denote the random fluctuations that occur in estimates when a sample of the population is drawn rather than conducting a complete census. Different samples drawn using the same procedures from the same population would be expected to result in different estimates. Many of these observed estimates would differ to some degree from the "true" population value and these differences are due to sampling error. Sampling errors are quantified by way of confidence intervals. Asymmetrical 95% confidence intervals were calculated for all estimated proportions and corresponding population estimates.

Regions

North Central--Includes the East North Central States--Illinois, Indiana, Michigan, Ohio, and Wisconsin; and the West North Central States--Iowa, Kansas, Minnesota, Missouri, Nebraska, North Dakota, and South Dakota.

Northeast--Includes the New England States--Connecticut, Maine, Massachusetts, New Hampshire, Rhode Island, Vermont; and the Middle Atlantic States--New Jersey, New York, Pennsylvania.

South--Includes the South Atlantic States--Delaware, District of Columbia, Florida, Georgia, Maryland, North Carolina, South Carolina, Virginia, and West Virginia; the East South Central States--Alabama, Kentucky, Mississippi, and Tennessee; and the West South Central States--Arkansas, Louisiana, Texas, and Oklahoma.

West--Includes the Mountain States--Arizona, Colorado, Idaho, Montana, Nevada, New Mexico, Utah, and Wyoming; and the Pacific States--Alaska, California, Hawaii, Oregon, and Washington.

Adjustment procedures for trend data

Beginning in 1994, the NHSDA began using an improved questionnaire and estimation procedure based on a series of studies and consultations with drug survey experts and data users. When the new questionnaire was introduced in 1994, a supplemental sample was selected for use with the old methodology (i.e., a questionnaire identical to previous years). This provided the capability to assess the impact of the new questionnaire and to measure the effects of the change in methodology. Because this new methodology produces estimates that are not directly comparable to previous estimates, the 1985-93 NHSDA estimates presented in tables 3.69 and 3.70 were adjusted to account for the new methodology that was begun in 1994. The substance use prevalence estimates, for nearly all of the substances presented, were adjusted using a simple ratio correction factor. The simple ratio correction factor measured the effect of the new methodology, relative to the old methodology, using data from the 1993 and 1994 NHSDAs. For the remaining substances, the prevalence estimates were adjusted by using a model based method. Similar to the ratio adjustment, this method

of adjusting previous estimates models the combined effect of all measurement error differences between the new and old methodologies.

In addition, beginning in 1991, the survey differs from previous years in two ways: Alaska and Hawaii were included in the sample and some individuals living in group quarters (e.g., civilians living on military installations, individuals living in college dormitories, or individuals living in homeless shelters) were included.